

800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC Quarterly Progress Report for the Quarter Ended December 31, 2008

MARCH 16, 2009



Quarterly Progress Report for the Quarter Ended December 31, 2008

Brett Haan, Managing Director

BearingPoint, Inc.
1676 International Drive
McLean, VA 22102
T: 703.747.4968
Brett.Haan@BearingPoint.com

Kamesh Mullapudi, Senior Manager

BearingPoint, Inc.
1676 International Drive
McLean, VA 22102
T: 703.747.6820
Kamesh.Mullapudi@BearingPoint.com

Robert B. Kelly, Partner

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. 1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004 T: 202.626.6216 F: 202.626.6780

rkelly@ssd.com

Douglas L. Povich, Partner

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P. 8000 Towers Crescent Dr. 14th Floor Tysons Corner, VA 22182 T: 703.720.7888 F: 703.720.7801 dpovich@ssd.com

Alan J. (Joe) Boyer, President

Baseline Wireless Services, LLC 2770 Arapahoe Road, Suite 132-133 Lafayette, CO 80026

T: 303.444.1480 F: 888.361.0603

JBoyer@BaselineTelecom.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | PRO | PROGRAM-WIDE SNAPSHOT AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS 6 | | | | | | | | |
|------|---------------|---|---|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | A. | Prog | gram Wide Snapshot | | | | | | | |
| | | 1. | Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Negotiations | 6 | | | | | | |
| | | 2. | Reconfiguration Implementation | 7 | | | | | | |
| | B. | Rece | ent Developments | 9 | | | | | | |
| | | 1. | FCC's Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order | 9 | | | | | | |
| | | 2. | Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline | 9 | | | | | | |
| | | 3. | Vacated Interleaved Band Channels | 10 | | | | | | |
| | | 4. | Sprint Nextel's Monthly Reports | 11 | | | | | | |
| | | 5. | Border Areas | 11 | | | | | | |
| II. | \mathbf{QU} | ARTEF | RLY UPDATE | 15 | | | | | | |
| | A. | Wav | e Update | 15 | | | | | | |
| | | 1. | Stage 1 (Channels 1-120) | 15 | | | | | | |
| | | 2. | Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) | 17 | | | | | | |
| | | 3. | Implementation Schedule Implications | 23 | | | | | | |
| | | 4. | Canadian Border Regions | 24 | | | | | | |
| | B. | Prog | ram Financial Information | 27 | | | | | | |
| | | 1. | Reconfiguration Expenditures | 27 | | | | | | |
| | | 2. | Letters of Credit | 29 | | | | | | |
| | | 3. | External Audit | 29 | | | | | | |
| | | 4. | Transition Administrator | 29 | | | | | | |
| | | 5. | Review and Status of Reconfiguration Completion Certifications | 30 | | | | | | |
| | C. | Spec | cialized Support Functions | 32 | | | | | | |
| | | 1. | Stakeholder Inquiries | 32 | | | | | | |
| | | 2. | TA-Produced Materials and the TA's Website | 33 | | | | | | |
| | | 3. | Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training | 34 | | | | | | |
| | | 4. | Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review | 35 | | | | | | |
| III. | APP | PENDI | CES | 36 | | | | | | |
| APP | ENDIX | X 1 | Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration A Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008 | | | | | | | |



| APPENDIX 2 | Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120, the Expansion Band, NPSPAC Channels, and the Southeast ESMR Band: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008 and Maps of Channels 1-120 Clearing, Expansion Band Reconfiguration Status, and Southeast ESMR Band Reconfiguration Status |
|------------|---|
| APPENDIX 3 | Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008 |
| APPENDIX 4 | Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2008 |
| APPENDIX 5 | Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of December 31, 2008 |
| APPENDIX 6 | Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008 |
| APPENDIX 7 | Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008 |
| APPENDIX 8 | Summary of FRAs and PFAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2008 |
| APPENDIX 9 | 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC Fees and Expenses through December 31, 2008 |



OVERVIEW

The 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC ("TA") provides its Quarterly Progress Report to the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") regarding the progress of the reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band for the quarter ended December 31, 2008. Pursuant to the FCC's *Reconfiguration Orders*, the TA, as the manager of the reconfiguration effort, is required to report on a quarterly basis the progress of band reconfiguration.²

The band reconfiguration program generally consists of two broad stages of activity: the clearing of 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz (Channels 1-120) and the relocation of Public Safety's NPSPAC channel users to this vacated spectrum. There are two phases of reconfiguration for each of these stages – the planning and negotiation phase that results in a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement ("FRA") between the licensee and Sprint Nextel and the implementation phase that consists of the physical retuning of the radio systems.

Section I.A. of this report provides a program-wide "snapshot" summarizing the status of the band reconfiguration program. That section describes two measures of program progress: FRA negotiations and reconfiguration implementation. It also describes major developments in the program that occurred during the period covered by this report.

In addition, based on developments occurring during the fourth quarter, the TA makes the following general observations and recommendations that it believes will assist licensees and stakeholders participating in the program.

First, non-border licensees are entering the final phases of the reconfiguration program. Pursuant to the waivers granted by the FCC, non-border licensees that are still in the reconfiguration process are required to complete their reconfigurations by the waiver date granted by the FCC or seek a further waiver from the FCC.³ As of December 31, 2008, FRA negotiations were not complete for 141 non-border Stage 2 FRAs. It will be challenging for

¹ Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, and Order, 19 FCC Rcd 14969 (2004), amended by Erratum, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Sept. 10, 2004), Second Erratum, 19 FCC Rcd 19651 (2004), and Third Erratum, 19 FCC Rcd 21818 (2004) ("Report and Order"); "Commission Seeks Comment on Ex Parte Presentations and Extends Certain Deadlines Regarding the 800 MHz Public Safety Interference Proceeding," Public Notice, 19 FCC Rcd 21492 (2004); Supplemental Order and Order on Reconsideration, 19 FCC Rcd 25120 (2004), amended by Erratum, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Jan. 19, 2005) ("Supplemental Order"); Memorandum Opinion and Order, 20 FCC Rcd 16015 (2005); Second Memorandum Opinion and Order, 22 FCC Rcd 10467 (2007), amended by Erratum, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. July 26, 2007); Third Memorandum Opinion and Order, 22 FCC Rcd 17209 (2007) ("Third MO&O"); "FCC Announces Supplemental Procedures and Provides Guidance for Completion of 800 MHz Rebanding," Public Notice, 22 FCC Rcd 17227 (2007) ("September 12, 2007 Public Notice"); Second Report and Order, 23 FCC Rcd 7605 (2008), amended by Erratum, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. May 28, 2008) ("Second Report and Order, 23 FCC Rcd 7605 (2008), amended by Erratum, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. May 28, 2008) ("Second Report and Order"); Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, 23 FCC Rcd 18512 (2008) ("Fourth MO&O") (collectively "Reconfiguration Orders").

³ See, e.g., Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Requests for Waiver of the June 26, 2008 Rebanding Deadline – Wave 1 Public Safety Regions, Order, 23 FCC Rcd 9430 (2008) ("Wave 1 Waiver Order"). The FCC granted a specific waiver date to each licensee. The latest granted waiver date is July 1, 2009.

² 47 C.F.R. § 90.676(b)(3) (2008).



these licensees to finish FRA negotiations and complete their implementation activities by July 1, 2009. The TA notes that there were fewer Stage 2 FRAs submitted to the TA in the fourth quarter than in prior quarters, and the number of FRAs submitted in a quarter has declined in each quarter over the past year. The TA believes that several factors contributed to the slower pace of completing FRA negotiations during the fourth quarter; among them are:

- 1. Many of the remaining non-border licensees without FRAs have large and complex systems, often with numerous call signs. The planning process and preparation of a cost estimate generally takes longer for these licensees, and there are a number of licensees that have not submitted a cost estimate, which is a prerequisite for beginning FRA negotiations. Once these licensees submit a cost estimate, the FRA negotiations are also complex.
- 2. The large number of concurrent negotiations and mediations has taxed the resources of Sprint Nextel and 800 MHz vendors and consultants. Besides the remaining non-border licensees, additional licensees entered into the negotiation process during the fourth quarter, with Canadian border licensees beginning Planning Funding Agreement ("PFA") negotiations in October 2008. The number of concurrent negotiations continues to increase as Canadian border non-public safety licensees entered into the FRA negotiation process in January 2009.

Certain non-border licensees that have not completed FRA negotiations are causing delays in the program and are impacting other licensees. In regions with extensive interoperability, the outstanding FRAs are causing delays in implementation as licensees with FRAs wait for the trailing licensees to complete FRA negotiations so that all licensees in the region can coordinate their implementation schedules in order to preserve interoperability and mutual aid operations. In particular, there are twenty NPSPAC regions where one or more key licensees that have not completed FRA negotiations are delaying implementation by other licensees in their region. Those NPSPAC regions are Region 1: Alabama, Region 6: Northern California, Region 8: Metropolitan, NYC Area (New York, New Jersey, & Connecticut), Region 9: Florida, Region 10: Georgia, Region 14: Indiana, Region 16: Kansas, Region 18: Louisiana, Region 19: New England, Region 20: District of Columbia, Maryland, & Northern Virginia, Region 23: Mississippi, Region 24: Missouri, Region 28: Eastern Pennsylvania (east of Harrisburg, southern New Jersey & Delaware), Region 31: North Carolina, Region 34: Oklahoma, Region 37: South Carolina, Region 39: Tennessee, Region 42: Virginia, Region 51: Texas – East (Houston Area), and Region 54: Southern Lake Michigan (Great Lakes including Wisconsin, Illinois, & Indiana).

Furthermore, although a significant number of Stage 2 licensees have successfully completed reconfiguration implementation and licensees continue to make progress with their implementation activities, much work remains to be done in the implementation phase. Approximately one-third of non-border Stage 2 licensees had completed the physical retuning of their radio systems and transitioned to their new channels as of December 31, 2008, but many licensees have yet to complete these activities. The TA is concerned about the pace of implementation, as there were only 30 FRAs for which Stage 2 licensees completed their physical retunes during the fourth quarter, which was approximately half the number that



completed their physical retunes in each of the prior quarters in 2008.⁴ Individual licensees' delays in completing implementation are negatively impacting other public safety licensees and operations, especially in regions with extensive interoperability.

Non-border licensees needing additional time beyond their waiver date previously granted by the FCC to complete their reconfiguration are required to request additional time from the FCC by filing a further request for waiver.⁵ In their further requests for waiver, licensees will be required to make a showing of good cause for extending the waiver, to make a sufficient showing of their progress to date, and to demonstrate that they have been working diligently and in good faith to complete rebanding expeditiously.⁶ Licensees will have an increased burden to meet to justify an extension of their existing waiver.

In addition, licensees and Sprint Nextel are reminded that they are not finished with the reconfiguration process once they have completed their physical retune and that they will still need to complete additional activities, such as filings with the FCC, the Actual Cost Reconciliation process, signing Completion Certifications, and the closing of their FRA. The TA encourages all parties in this phase of the process to focus on these post-implementation tasks and to complete them in a timely manner. NPSPAC licensees are advised that delays in releasing their old frequencies can lead to delays in additional interleaved band spectrum being made available for public safety because Sprint Nextel's staged clearing of the interleaved band is tied to clearing of the old NPSPAC band.

Second, the 30-month reconfiguration period for licensees in the U.S.-Canada border regions began on October 14, 2008. During the fourth quarter, the TA continued its efforts to support reconfiguration by Canadian border region licensees by conducting frequency planning to determine replacement frequencies, providing Frequency Proposal Reports ("FPRs") to licensees, publishing additional guidance for licensees on its website, and using the mediation process to assist licensees and Sprint Nextel in negotiating Planning Funding Agreements. In accordance with the schedule established in the TA's Implementation Plan and Timetable for the Reconfiguration of the 800 MHz Band in the U.S.-Canada Border Regions ("Implementation Plan"), 9 licensees in both Stage 1 (Business, Industrial and Land Transportation ("B/ILT") and

⁷ A Reconfiguration Completion Checklist, which describes the key milestones that need to be completed in order to complete the reconfiguration process, is available on the TA's website at http://www.800TA.org/content/PDF/reconfiguration materials/reconfiguration completion checklist.pdf.

⁴ There were 63 Stage 2 FRAs for which licensees completed their physical retunes during the third quarter, 62 in the second quarter, and 56 in the first quarter of 2008.

⁵ See, e.g., Wave 1 Waiver Order ¶ 13.

⁶ See, e.g., id. ¶¶ 8, 13.

⁸ See Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Relinquishment By Sprint Nextel of Channels in the Interleaved, Expansion, and Guard Bands, Order, 23 FCC Rcd 15966 (2008) ("Interleaved Order").

⁹ See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC, "Implementation Plan and Timetable for the Reconfiguration of the 800 MHz Band in the U.S.-Canada Border Regions," WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Oct. 1, 2008), available at http://www.800TA.org/content/PDF/other/Implementation_Plan_and_Timetable_CBR.pdf ("Implementation Plan"), approved by "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces that the 30-Month Transition Period



Specialized Mobile Radio ("SMR") licensees) and Stage 2 (NPSPAC and non-NPSPAC public safety licensees) are currently in the planning and negotiation phase.

Canadian border region licensees seeking planning funding were required to submit a Request for Planning Funding ("RFPF") by the October 14, 2008 deadline established by the FCC's Second Report and Order. Approximately 60 licensees, the vast majority of which were public safety licensees, sought planning funding by submitting an RFPF and entered into PFA negotiations with Sprint Nextel. Licensees submitting RFPFs that did not have a PFA by October 14, 2008 were placed into an expedited negotiation and mediation process. That process has proven successful in aiding licensees and Sprint Nextel in reaching agreements. By December 31, 2008, approximately half of these licensees completed PFA negotiations, submitted a PFA to the TA, and were able to begin their planning activities. Many other licensees had reached verbal agreement and were completing the paperwork necessary to execute the PFA or seeking to obtain approval of the PFA by a City or County government body.

Canadian border region licensees that do not require a PFA are also moving forward with their reconfiguration efforts. Stage 1 licensees that do not require a PFA officially began their planning period on October 14, 2008, and the majority of these licensees were required to submit a cost estimate by January 12, 2009. Stage 1 licensees that did not have an FRA by their cost estimate due date were placed into an expedited negotiation and mediation process on that date in order to complete FRA negotiations. In addition, Stage 2 licensees that do not require a PFA officially began their planning period on January 15, 2009. Such licensees are required to complete planning and submit a cost estimate to Sprint Nextel in 90, 100, or 110 days, depending upon the number of subscriber units in the licensee's system. If a Stage 2 licensee did not receive its proposed replacement frequencies by January 15, 2009, its cost estimate should be submitted to Sprint Nextel 90, 100, or 110 days from the date of receipt of those frequencies, depending upon the number of subscriber units in the system. The TA encourages Canadian border region licensees to move forward with their planning or negotiation activities (as applicable) and to contact the TA if they require assistance as they advance through the reconfiguration process.

Third, the U.S.-Mexico border region is the last major area for the reconfiguration program. The band plan for the U.S.-Mexico border region is still under development. Mexican border reconfiguration is a key element to completing the program.

Fourth, the FCC released its *Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order* on December 23, 2008, which addressed, among other things, the timetable for the financial reconciliation or "true-up" process for the reconfiguration program. In the *Report and Order*, the FCC required that band reconfiguration in non-border regions be completed in 36 months (*i.e.*, by June 26, 2008). The FCC directed the TA to perform a financial reconciliation or "true-up" to assess Sprint Nextel's total creditable costs for 800 MHz band reconfiguration and to determine whether Sprint Nextel would owe an anti-windfall payment to the U.S. Treasury. The true-up was required to occur within six months after the 36-month transition period ended (*i.e.*, by



December 26, 2008). The six-month period would provide time for Sprint Nextel to collect and provide cost information to the TA and for the TA to perform necessary accounting and reconciliation.

In the *Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order*, the FCC indicated that while substantial progress has been made, 800 MHz rebanding has taken longer than anticipated so the assumptions that led to the previous true-up deadline are no longer applicable. The FCC extended the true-up deadline from December 26, 2008 until July 1, 2009. The FCC also directed the TA to file a report with the Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau ("PSHSB") by May 1, 2009 with its recommendation of whether rebanding has made sufficient progress that conducting the true-up on July 1, 2009 would be appropriate, or whether the true-up deadline should be extended for an additional period. The FCC noted that it may be appropriate to conduct the true-up before rebanding is complete.

Fifth, the TA has received an increasing volume of Change Notices and Amendments, some of which are associated with project delays. The Change Notice process is designed to address unanticipated changes in cost, scope or schedule that occur during implementation or in the case of an emergency. The TA reminds licensees that this process should not be used to recover costs that were reasonably foreseeable during PFA or FRA negotiations but were not raised in negotiations, or that were considered and rejected. Whether a change is unanticipated or reasonably foreseeable will be assessed based on the individual circumstances surrounding each Change Notice submission.

The TA recently provided additional guidance regarding Change Notices and Amendments that seek reimbursement for increased costs associated with "project delays" and the criteria for the TA's review of such costs. 11 Change Notices requesting additional funds for project management because of project delay need to clearly describe: (1) the nature of the delay and why it was unforeseeable; and (2) how any additional costs were or will be mitigated. Licensees and project management vendors have an obligation to mitigate the impact of project delays. In addition, licensees that submit more than one Change Notice or Amendment for additional cost reimbursement will face, with each subsequent request, increasingly high burdens for demonstrating that additional costs are reasonable.

-

¹⁰ See Fourth MO&O ¶ 12.

The updated Change Notice Process Fact Sheet is available on the TA's website at http://www.800TA.org/content/documents/change_notice.asp.



I. PROGRAM-WIDE SNAPSHOT AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

This section of the Quarterly Progress Report provides a program-wide snapshot that summarizes the status of the 800 MHz band reconfiguration program, discusses recent developments, identifies program-wide issues, and describes specific actions that the FCC and the TA have taken to address issues identified to date.

A. Program Wide Snapshot

1. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Negotiations

The TA generally measures the progress of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement ("FRA") negotiations in two ways: (1) the number of FRAs that have been negotiated and agreed to between incumbent licensees and Sprint Nextel and submitted to the TA; and (2) the number of *call signs* included in those FRAs.

An FRA specifies the reconfiguration activities and related costs for each licensee as well as the frequencies to be cleared. The bar chart below depicts the number of non-border FRAs that have been negotiated and submitted to the TA for Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 and Southeast ESMR channels) licensees and Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) licensees.




Chart 1: Non-border FRAs Submitted to the TA as of December 31, 2008¹²

- Stage 1: 99 percent of non-border FRAs have been submitted to the TA
- Stage 2: 84 percent of non-border FRAs have been submitted to the TA (an increase from 78 percent as of September 30, 2008)

¹² Sprint Nextel is the data source for the number of expected FRAs. The estimate of total FRAs expected does not currently include all FRAs for licensees affected by the international border areas for Canada and Mexico.



For the program as a whole, 1,636 FRAs have been submitted to the TA out of a total of 1,783 FRAs expected for non-border licensees in Stages 1 and 2. This equates to 92 percent of all expected FRAs (an increase from 89 percent as of September 30, 2008).

Another indication of progress is the number of FCC licenses (each license has a unique call sign) included in FRAs. The chart below shows the number of call signs with frequencies in the Channels 1-120 and NPSPAC bands included in FRAs submitted to the TA.




Chart 2: Call Signs in Submitted FRAs by Band¹³

The relatively greater number of outstanding Channels 1-120 call signs not in FRAs that is shown in Chart 2, as compared to the number of outstanding FRAs, reflects the significant number of call signs to be reconfigured that are located in, or affected by, the Canadian and Mexican border areas. For NPSPAC, the difference is again the border factor (both Canadian and Mexican), as well as an indication that a number of the outstanding non-border FRAs are for large systems with multiple call signs.

2. Reconfiguration Implementation

The TA generally measures the status of reconfiguration implementation (physical retuning) progress in two ways: (1) the number of FRAs for which reconfiguration implementation is complete; and (2) the number of $call\ signs$ that Sprint Nextel reports as being cleared by licensees.¹⁴

¹³ This chart includes call signs within the Canadian and Mexican border regions. Data is based on the FCC's Universal Licensing System ("ULS") database. This chart does not include Expansion Band call signs.

¹⁴ Cleared means that the licensee has retuned its base stations to its new channel assignments and commenced system operations on the new channels.



The bar chart below depicts the number of non-border FRAs for Stages 1 and 2 licensees for which Sprint Nextel has reported that physical retuning is complete.




Chart 3: Non-border FRAs with Reconfiguration Implementation Complete

With Sprint Nextel reporting that physical retuning is complete for 95 percent of non-border Channels 1-120 FRAs, Channels 1-120 clearing is nearing completion. 64.6 percent of the Stage 1 Channels 1-120 site-specific call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees as of December 31, 2008. The difference between the numbers by percentages of *call signs* compared to the numbers by percentages of *FRAs* is because the FRA statistics do not include agreements in border areas, while the call sign statistics do include call signs in border areas. ¹⁵

NPSPAC reconfiguration implementations often involve complex system designs and interoperability requirements among numerous licensees in a region and generally require more time and coordination than was needed for Stage 1. During the fourth quarter, there were an additional 30 Stage 2 FRAs for which licensees reported their physical retunes as complete. As of December 31, 2008, there were 291 FRAs (34 percent) for which non-border Stage 2 licensees had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels. 15.2 percent of Stage 2 call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees as of December 31, 2008. The retuning of base stations to a licensee's new channel assignments and commencement of system operations on the new channels is a significant milestone because it means that the main goal of the reconfiguration process – separating Public Safety and commercial cellular operations – has been achieved.

¹⁵ There are also a small number of call signs in Wave 1 and 2 that are in the FCC-defined border areas that are not included in FRA data.



В. **Recent Developments**

1. FCC's Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order

On December 23, 2008, the FCC released the Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, which addressed the financial true-up, several Petitions for Reconsideration, several pending Petitions for *De Novo* Review, and the rebanding plan for the U.S. Virgin Islands.

In the Report and Order, the FCC directed the TA to perform a financial reconciliation or "true-up" to assess Sprint Nextel's total creditable costs for 800 MHz band reconfiguration and determine whether Sprint Nextel would owe an anti-windfall payment to the U.S. Treasury. The true-up was required to occur within six months after the 36-month transition period ended (i.e., by December 26, 2008). In the Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, the FCC extended the true-up deadline from December 26, 2008 until July 1, 2009. The FCC also directed the TA to file a report with the PSHSB by May 1, 2009 "with its recommendation (1) whether rebanding has made sufficient progress that conducting the true-up on July 1, 2009 would be appropriate, or (2) whether the true-up deadline should be extended for an additional period."¹⁶

2. Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline

In response to the FCC's January 17, 2008 Public Notice¹⁷ directing non-border licensees that require additional time past June 26, 2008 to complete rebanding to request a waiver of the deadline, 727 requests for waiver have been filed with the PSHSB as of December 31, 2008. The TA has created a webpage that provides information on the requests for waiver received by The link to this page can be found on the TA's website at http://www.800TA.org/content/documents/waiver requests.asp.

Licensees that were unable to propose a specific implementation timetable because they had not completed FRA negotiations as of the filing deadlines filed requests for "interim" waiver. In its June 17, 2008 Orders, the PSHSB generally granted the interim requests, conditioned on the licensee filing a supplemental waiver request by July 18, 2008. Many licensees filed supplemental requests for waiver in July 2008.

The remaining licensees have an executed FRA and filed "standard" requests for waiver requesting various dates for completing their reconfiguration implementation, ranging from a few months to a few years beyond June 2008. For the standard requests for waiver, the PSHSB granted a specific waiver date to each licensee, and the latest granted waiver date is July 1, 2009. Licensees are expected to complete their reconfiguration activities in accordance with

¹⁶ Fourth MO&O ¶ 12.

¹⁷ See "Federal Communications Commission Provides Guidance for Submission of Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline for Completion of 800 MHz Rebanding," Public Notice, 23 FCC Rcd 664 (2008).

¹⁸ See, e.g., Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Requests for Interim Waiver of the June 26, 2008 Rebanding Deadline – Wave 1 Public Safety Regions, Order, 23 FCC Rcd 9421 (2008).

¹⁹ See, e.g., Wave 1 Waiver Order.



the implementation schedule proposed in their request for waiver, and any changes to this schedule should be communicated to the FCC and the TA. If a licensee will need additional time beyond its waiver date previously granted by the FCC to complete its reconfiguration, it is required to request additional time from the FCC by filing a further request for waiver.

The table below contains statistics about the requests for waiver.

Table 1: Requests for Waiver of the June 26, 2008 Deadline, as of December 31, 2008

| | Total Number | | Interim | | Standard |
|----------------|---------------------|---------|----------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Wave | of Requests | Interim | Supplemental ²⁰ | Standard | Supplemental |
| 1 | 248 | 75 | 21 | 173 | 37 |
| 2 | 159 | 65 | 32 | 94 | 8 |
| 3 | 267 | 122 | 64 | 145 | 24 |
| 4 | 53 | 21 | 10 | 32 | 6 |
| Total Requests | 727 | 283 | 127 | 444 | 75 |

With regard to Sprint Nextel's June 17, 2008 Petition for Relief seeking to remain on its 800 MHz Interleaved Band (809-815/854-860 MHz), Expansion Band (815-816/860-861 MHz), and Guard Band (816-817/861-862 MHz) (collectively, the "Mid-Band") channels beyond June 26, 2008, the FCC released an Order on October 30, 2008 granting a waiver allowing Sprint Nextel to relinquish its Mid-Band spectrum in stages, tied to the rebanding progress of NPSPAC licensees in each NPSPAC region.²¹ The FCC set a deadline of March 31, 2010 by which Sprint Nextel must relinquish all of its non-border Interleaved Band spectrum. The FCC tasked the TA with monitoring the clearing process.

3. <u>Vacated Interleaved Band Channels</u>

The reconfiguration program recently reached a significant milestone with certain Interleaved Band spectrum cleared by Sprint Nextel becoming available to public safety licensees. In a December 29, 2008 Public Notice, the PSHSB announced that, beginning on January 28, 2009, it would start accepting applications for licensing of available channels in the 809-809.5/854-854.5 MHz portion of the 800 MHz band (Channels 231-250) that have been relinquished by Sprint Nextel in non-border NPSPAC regions. To facilitate licensing of available channels, Sprint Nextel has cancelled or modified a majority of its outstanding site-based and Economic Area ("EA")-based licenses that include available channels in the 809-809.5/854-854.5 MHz band in non-border NPSPAC regions. Pursuant to the *Report and Order*, the channels vacated by Sprint Nextel are exclusively available to public safety entities for the first three years and to public safety and critical infrastructure industry entities for the following

²⁰ Supplemental filings for Interim or Standard Requests for Waiver are made by licensees to update requested dates or to provide additional information regarding their original Request for Waiver filing.

²¹ See Interleaved Order.

²² See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces Application and Licensing Procedures for Channels Relinquished by Sprint Nextel Corporation in the 809-809.5/854-854.5 MHz Band," *Public Notice*, 23 FCC Rcd 18343 (2008).



two years. Eligible applicants can consult a qualified frequency coordinator or their attorney regarding how to apply for these vacated channels.

4. Sprint Nextel's Monthly Reports

The FCC's *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order* required Sprint Nextel to file monthly reports with the FCC and the TA on its clearing of Channels 1-120 spectrum. During the fourth quarter, Sprint Nextel filed reports on October 1, November 3, and December 1, 2008. Sprint Nextel also filed a report on January 1, 2009, in which it reported that it has cleared all non-Sprint Nextel, non-SouthernLINC incumbent licensees from Channels 1-120 in 47 NPSPAC regions (unchanged since October 1, 2008) and that more than 97 percent of Stage 1 licensees have been retuned. Sprint Nextel reported that of the nine remaining NPSPAC regions or U.S. Territories, seven of these regions have three or fewer Channels 1-120 licensees that remain to be retuned. For Stage 2, Sprint Nextel's January report noted that Stage 2 "continues to show strong progress." It provided a list of 298 NPSPAC licensees (up from 269 licensees as of October 1, 2008) that have agreed upon a date with Sprint Nextel by which Sprint Nextel will clear Channels 1-120 and that have established with Sprint Nextel a firm date for starting and completing their physical retuning work and noted that many have finished their retunes.

5. Border Areas

a. **Canada**

On October 10, 2008, the FCC issued a Public Notice announcing that the 30-month transition period for reconfiguration of licensees in the U.S.-Canada border regions would begin on October 14, 2008 and end on April 14, 2011.²⁶ The planning and negotiation phase is expected to last approximately seven to eight months, leaving 22 to 23 months for the reconfiguration implementation phase. In the Public Notice, the FCC also froze the acceptance of applications for 800 MHz licenses in the U.S.-Canada border regions until July 1, 2009.²⁷

In the *Second Report and Order*, the FCC directed the TA to develop, by October 14, 2008, a detailed region-by-region timetable with sequential milestones for completion of each stage of the implementation process. The TA submitted its Implementation Plan and Timetable for the Reconfiguration of the 800 MHz Band in the U.S.-Canada Border Regions to the FCC on

²³ See Third MO&O ¶¶ 29-31. The reports must include the following information: (1) the number of licensees that have been cleared from Channels 1-120 and the number that remain to be cleared; (2) the number of Channels 1-120 frequencies being used by Sprint Nextel in its own network and the number it has vacated; and (3) information regarding requests by NPSPAC licensees for Sprint Nextel to vacate Channels 1-120 frequencies.

²⁴ See Sprint Nextel Corporation, "Sprint Nextel's Status Report on 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration," WT Docket No. 02-55, at 1 (filed Jan. 1, 2009).

²⁵ See id.

²⁶ See Canadian Border Public Notice.

²⁷ See id. at 14879. The freeze applies to applications for new facilities or modification applications that involve a change of frequency or expand a station's existing coverage area.



October 1, 2008.²⁸ The PSHSB approved the TA's proposed Implementation Plan in the October 10, 2008 Public Notice.²⁹ The Implementation Plan sets forth the timing and sequence for planning, negotiations, and implementation for Canadian border region licensees. It also establishes two stages for the reconfiguration of Canadian border licensees, based upon the type of licensee, rather than the frequencies a licensee will be reconfiguring. Stage 1 includes non-public safety licensees, such as B/ILT and SMR licensees. Stage 2 is comprised of both NPSPAC and non-NPSPAC public safety licensees. The table below shows the key dates for reconfiguration in the Canadian border regions.

Table 2: Key Dates for Canadian Border Region Reconfiguration

| Licensee Stage / Planning Funding Requested | RFPF Latest Submission Date | PFA Planning Start Date/ TA Designated Date | Cost Estimate Due Date – Licensees with <5,000 Units | Cost Estimate Due Date – Licensees with 5,001 to 10,000 Units | Cost Estimate Due Date – Licensees with >10,000 Units |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Stage 1 or 2 – Licensees Requesting Planning Funding | 10/14/2008 | The later of TA approval of the PFA, or receipt of FPR(s) | 90 Days from the planning start date | 100 Days from the planning start date | 110 Days from the planning start date |
| Stage 1 – Licensees without PFAs | N/A | 10/14/2008 | 1/12/2009 | 1/22/2009 | 2/1/2009 |
| Stage 2 – Licensees without PFAs | N/A | 1/15/2009 | 4/15/2009 | 4/27/2009 | 5/5/2009 |

In addition to developing the Implementation Plan, the TA continued its efforts to support Canadian border region reconfiguration during the fourth quarter by conducting frequency planning to determine replacement frequencies, providing Frequency Proposal Reports to licensees, publishing additional guidance for licensees on its website, and using the mediation process to assist licensees and Sprint Nextel in negotiating PFAs.

Licensees in the Canadian border regions and licensees adjacent to the border regions that did not previously receive replacement frequencies have received a Frequency Proposal Report from the TA that identifies their frequencies designated for reconfiguration and details their proposed replacement frequencies. The TA mailed FPRs to Stage 1 licensees in October 2008. To identify the most appropriate replacement frequencies for Stage 2 licensees, the TA coordinated information gathering and planning with the 800 MHz NPSPAC Regional Planning Committees, public safety licensees, and Sprint Nextel. The TA began mailing FPRs to Stage 2 licensees in November 2008 and provided FPRs to the remaining Stage 2 licensees early in the first quarter of 2009. If a Stage 2 licensee did not receive its proposed replacement frequencies by January 15, 2009, its cost estimate should be submitted to Sprint Nextel 90, 100, or 110 days from the date of receipt of those frequencies, depending upon the number of subscriber units in the system.

²⁸ See Implementation Plan.

²⁹ See Canadian Border Public Notice.



Stage 1 or 2 licensees seeking planning funding were required to submit a Request for Planning Funding by the October 14, 2008 deadline established by the FCC and negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel. Licensees that submit an RFPF after October 14, 2008 must request an extension of this deadline from the FCC. As of December 31, 2008, 30 PFAs, with a total contract value of \$6.5 million, were submitted to the TA by licensees in or adjacent to the Canadian border regions. Licensees submitting RFPFs that did not have a PFA by October 14, 2008 were placed into an expedited negotiation and mediation process pursuant to procedures set forth in the FCC's Second Report and Order and in the TA's Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") Plan.³⁰ The licensee and Sprint Nextel had an additional 30 days from October 14, 2008 to continue PFA negotiations under the monitoring of a TA mediator. If, at the end of the 30-day period, there was no agreement on a PFA, the licensee and Sprint Nextel actively participated in mediation for 20 days. After reaching agreement on a PFA, such licensees are required to complete planning and submit a cost estimate within 90 to 110 days, depending on the number of subscriber units in their system, of the later of TA approval of the PFA or receipt of an FPR. Licensees should commence their planning activities prior to receiving their FPRs and can perform certain non-frequency-dependent planning activities, such as conducting inventory and non-frequency-specific engineering and implementation planning.

For those licensees that do not require a PFA, the TA announced applicable negotiation schedules in the Implementation Plan. The TA designated October 14, 2008 as the official planning start date for Stage 1 licensees that do not require a PFA. Such licensees were required to submit a cost estimate for their reconfiguration to Sprint Nextel within 90 to 110 days, depending on the number of subscriber units in their system. The majority of Stage 1 licensees have 5,000 subscriber units or less, so they were required to submit a cost estimate by January 12, 2009. Stage 1 licensees that did not have an FRA by their cost estimate due date were placed into an expedited negotiation and mediation process on that date. The licensee and Sprint Nextel had an additional 30 days to continue FRA negotiations under the monitoring of a TA mediator. If, at the end of the 30-day period, there was no agreement on an FRA, the licensee and Sprint Nextel actively participated in mediation for 20 days.

Pursuant to the TA's Implementation Plan, the official planning start date for Stage 2 licensees that do not require a PFA was January 15, 2009. Such licensees have 90 to 110 days from this date to complete planning and submit a cost estimate, depending upon the number of subscriber units in their system. The specific cost estimate due dates are shown in Table 2 above. If a licensee did not receive its proposed replacement frequencies by January 15, 2009, its cost estimate should be submitted to Sprint Nextel 90, 100, or 110 days from the date of receipt of those frequencies, depending upon the number of subscriber units in the system. Such licensees should commence their planning activities prior to receiving their FPRs and can perform certain non-frequency-dependent planning activities, such as conducting inventory and non-frequency-specific engineering and implementation planning.

³⁰ See Second Report and Order ¶ 40; see also "Alternative Dispute Resolution Plan for 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC (Version 1.6)," at 20-21, attached to Letter from Joseph P. Markoski, Squire, Sanders & Dempsey LLP, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed June 23, 2008) ("ADR Plan").



Once a licensee's planning is complete and a cost estimate is submitted to Sprint Nextel, the licensee and Sprint Nextel have 30 days for FRA negotiations. If they are unable to negotiate an FRA within the 30-day period, the parties will actively participate in mediation for 20 days.

b. Mexico

The band plan for the U.S.-Mexico border region is still under development. Accordingly, the FCC issued a Public Notice on December 29, 2008, modifying the schedule for border area non-NPSPAC (Stage 1) and NPSPAC (Stage 2) licensees in the U.S.-Mexico border region. The FCC extended the negotiation period until April 1, 2009 and postponed the start of the mediation period until April 2, 2009 for such licensees. The FCC also extended the filing freeze on new applications in the U.S.-Mexico border region until May 13, 2009. Extending the negotiation period for these licensees is expected to alleviate administrative burdens on licensees, avoid unnecessary rebanding expenditures, and provide additional time for resolution of border issues and issuance of frequency designations by the TA.

During the extended negotiation periods, the FCC has encouraged Wave 4 licensees affected by the Mexican border region to engage in planning and negotiation activities to the extent that they are not frequency-dependent and would not result in unnecessary duplication of costs. If licensees choose to engage in such planning and negotiation activities, the FCC has stated that Sprint Nextel will pay licensees' reasonable costs in accordance with the requirements of the FCC's orders. If planning funding is required, licensees will need to submit an RFPF to the TA and negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel. Licensees may submit an RFPF prior to receiving replacement frequencies. As of December 31, 2008, 9 PFAs, with a total contract value of \$4.2 million, were submitted to the TA by licensees in or adjacent to the Mexican border region.

³¹ See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Extends 800 MHz Rebanding Negotiation Period for Wave 4 Border Area NPSPAC and Non-NPSPAC Licensees Along the U.S.-Mexico Border," *Public Notice*, 23 FCC Rcd 18353 (2008).

³² See id.

³³ See id. (citing September 12, 2007 Public Notice at 17232).



II. QUARTERLY UPDATE

This section highlights the key areas within each wave and provides financial and support information about the program.

A. Wave Update

This section presents further detail about the status of negotiations and reconfiguration implementation for licensees. The pace of progress in implementing reconfiguration of Stage 1 systems has a direct effect on the pace of reconfiguration for Stage 2 systems. Stage 1 licensees must be relocated off their frequencies in the lower 1-120 channel portion of the 800 MHz band, before Stage 2 NPSPAC licensees can move to their new frequencies in that portion of the 800 MHz band. This interdependency of reconfiguration progress between Stages 1 and 2 is consistent across all four waves.

1. Stage 1 (Channels 1-120)

a. **Planning and Negotiations**

Most Stage 1 licensees included planning costs (if any) in their FRAs, but some negotiated Planning Funding Agreements ("PFAs") with Sprint Nextel. As of December 31, 2008, 54 PFAs, with a total contract value, including amendments, of \$7.5 million, were submitted to the TA for non-border Stage 1 licensees.³⁴

The negotiation of Stage 1 Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements is virtually completed, largely with the exception of border area licensees. As of December 31, 2008, 910 FRAs (99 percent) have been submitted to the TA out of the 916 FRAs anticipated for Stage 1 licensees in all waves. The contract value of these FRAs, including amendments, totals \$77.5 million. Appendix 1 provides additional information about the status of FRA negotiations for Channels 1-120 licensees.

In Stage 1, there were a total of four mediation dockets (or "cases") pending as of December 31, 2008 out of the 487 mediation dockets opened for Stage 1 licensees. All of these mediations are in Wave 4.³⁵ The pending mediation dockets fall into one of the following categories: (1) the mediation resulted in a PFA with an FRA still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFA; or (2) the parties reached agreement, but had not yet executed an FRA. As of December 31, 2008, 17 Stage 1 mediations (3.5 percent) had been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review, of which eight were settled by the parties prior to a decision by the FCC.

_

³⁴ Stage 1 PFA counts and total contract value appear to be lower than the numbers reported in the Quarterly Progress Report for the Quarter Ended September 30, 2008 because one PFA is now being reported within the category of border region PFAs.

³⁵ The remaining mediation dockets in Wave 4 include Wave 3, Stage 1 licensees whose Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests were granted in June 2006, resulting in the licensee's negotiations and reconfiguration implementation being moved to Wave 4, Stage 1.



b. **Reconfiguration Implementation**

As of December 31, 2008, Sprint Nextel reported that physical retuning is complete for 874 Stage 1 FRAs out of the 916 FRAs anticipated for Stage 1, which is 95.4 percent of non-border Channels 1-120 FRAs (an increase from 95.1 percent as of September 30, 2008). Physical retuning is not complete for only 42 non-border Stage 1 FRAs.


As of December 31, 2008, 64.6 percent (up from 63.8 percent as of September 30, 2008) of the Stage 1 Channels 1-120 site-specific call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees. The difference between the numbers by percentages of call signs compared to the numbers by percentages of FRAs is because the FRA statistics do not include agreements in border areas, while the call sign statistics do include call signs in border areas. As of December 31, 2008, there were 31 NPSPAC regions that reported that 100 percent of the Channels 1-120 call signs were cleared.

Map 1 below shows the progress of clearing Channels 1-120 to allow relocation of the NPSPAC band, with significant progress in all areas of the country outside the areas affected by international borders.

³⁶ There are also a small number of call signs in Wave 1 and 2 that are in the FCC-defined border areas that are not included in FRA data.



Map 1: Channels 1-120 Locations and Reconfiguration Status, by NPSPAC Region as of December 31, 2008³⁷



2. <u>Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band)</u>

a. **Planning and Negotiations**

In Stage 2, nearly half of the licensees sought planning funding and negotiated PFAs. As of December 31, 2008, 423 PFAs, with a total contract value, including amendments, of \$71.3 million, were submitted to the TA for non-border Stage 2 licensees.³⁸

Progress continues to be made in the negotiation of FRAs for Stage 2 licensees, albeit at a slower pace than in prior quarters. During the fourth quarter of 2008, parties completed

³⁷ The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Some regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available. Locations noted on the map are based upon ULS data regarding Channels 1-120 licensing as of November 2004.

³⁸ Stage 2 PFA counts and total contract value appear to be lower than the numbers reported in the Quarterly Progress Report for the Quarter Ended September 30, 2008 because one Stage 2 PFA is now being reported within the category of border region PFAs.



negotiations on and submitted to the TA an additional 43 Stage 2 FRAs. As shown in the table below, as of December 31, 2008, 726 FRAs (84 percent) have been submitted to the TA out of the 867 FRAs anticipated for non-border Stage 2 licensees in all waves. The contract value of these FRAs, including amendments, totals \$428.8 million.

Table 3: Status of Reconfiguration for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) Licensees as of December 31, 2008 (milestones achieved by number of FRAs)³⁹

| Dana | Literisces as of Determiner 31, 2000 (| | | innestones achieved by number of FRAS) | | | | | |
|--------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | *_ | FRA Negotiations and Approval | | | Reconfiguration Implementation | | | | |
| Wave | Stage 2 Anticipated FRAs* | FRAs Submitted to TA | FRAs Approved by TA | % of Anticipated FRAs Approved by TA | # of FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed | % of Anticipated FRAs with Physical Retune Reported as Completed | Completion Certifications Submitted to TA | Completion Certifications Verified by TA | % of Anticipated FRAs with Completion Certifications Verified by TA |
| Wave 1 | 352 | 297 | 294 | 84% | 141 | 40% | 44 | 43 | 12% |
| Wave 2 | 230 | 206 | 202 | 88% | 99 | 43% | 44 | 43 | 19% |
| Wave 3 | 238 | 184 | 179 | 75% | 38 | 16% | 12 | 12 | 5% |
| Wave 4 | 47 | 39 | 37 | 79% | 13 | 28% | 6 | 6 | 13% |
| Total | 867 | 726 | 712 | 82% | 291 | 34% | 106 | 104 | 12% |

^{*} This table does not include any estimate of deals that will include call signs in or affected by the FCC-defined border areas.

The remaining licensees without FRAs are either: (1) in the planning process, in which they are conducting planning activities pursuant to a PFA and working on preparing a cost estimate for their reconfiguration; or (2) in the process of negotiating an FRA with Sprint Nextel. Some of these licensees have reached verbal agreement on, but have not yet executed, an FRA.

The table below provides Stage 2 status information in terms of the number of call signs that have achieved particular milestones.

_

³⁹ Sprint Nextel is the data source for the estimated number of anticipated FRAs in column 2. The figures have not been verified by the TA. Any changes to the number of anticipated FRAs from previous Quarterly Progress Reports are the result of how Sprint Nextel structures deals with licensees (*i.e.*, deals cancelled or consolidated). For Wave 3, Stage 2, the number of expected FRAs will increase as additional FRAs are reached with Wave 3 Southeast ESMR Band licensees who were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 to Wave 3, Stage 2 and those deals are added to the count. Given that some FRAs noted in the table are for licensees with mobile-only systems, there will be a difference between the number of FRAs and the number of FRAs reporting frequencies cleared.



Table 4: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) as of December 31, 2008 (milestones achieved by number of call signs)

| Zilpans | FRA Negotiations and Approval | | | | | Reconfiguration Implementation | | | | | |
|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | TRA Negotiations and Approval | | | Reconfiguration implementation | | | | | | |
| Wave | Stage 2 Call Signs * | # in FRAs Submitted to TA | # in FRAs Approved by TA | % in FRAs Approved by TA | # Reported as Cleared by Licensee | % Reported as Cleared by Licensee | Completion Certifications Submitted to TA | Completion Certifications Verified by TA | % with Completion Certifications Verified by TA | | |
| Wave 1 | 2190 | 1619 | 1608 | 73% | 464 | 22% | 27 | 25 | 1% | | |
| Wave 2 | 947 | 584 | 450 | 48% | 257 | 27% | 18 | 18 | 2% | | |
| Wave 3 | 1140 | 490 | 412 | 36% | 175 | 15% | 12 | 12 | 1% | | |
| Wave 4 | 1859 | 215 | 213 | 12% | 35 | 2% | 8 | 8 | 0.4% | | |
| Total | 6136 | 2908 | 2683 | 44% | 931 | 15% | 65 | 63 | 1% | | |

^{*} Includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas.

The mediation process has proven successful in aiding licensees and Sprint Nextel in reaching agreements. As of December 31, 2008, only 51 Stage 2 mediations (5.6 percent) had been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review, of which thirteen were settled by the parties prior to a decision by the FCC.

(i) **Wave 1**

Wave 1, Stage 2 is the largest of the NPSPAC channel reconfiguration waves, with 352 FRAs expected to be negotiated between Sprint Nextel and Public Safety agencies. As shown in Table 3 above, as of December 31, 2008, approximately 84 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 1, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 1, Stage 2 mediation period began on November 1, 2006. As of December 31, 2008, of the 337 mediation dockets opened for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees, 279 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 270 resolved as of September 30, 2008). The parties in 45 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; 12 of these had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. The parties in two other mediations in which the licensee did not have a PFA had also reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. Seven mediation dockets were the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC for *de novo* review. Two mediation dockets were the subject of orders issued by the PSHSB and were being reviewed by the full Commission.

(ii) Wave 2

As shown in Table 3 above, as of December 31, 2008, approximately 88 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 2, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.



The Wave 2, Stage 2 mediation period began on February 1, 2007. As of December 31, 2008, of the 233 mediation dockets opened for Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees, 209 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 201 resolved as of September 30, 2008). The parties in 20 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; two of these had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. The parties in one other mediation in which the licensee did not have a PFA had also reached agreement but had not yet executed an FRA. Two mediation dockets were the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC for *de novo* review. The parties in one mediation docket were in the process of negotiating an FRA following *de novo* review by the FCC.

(iii) Wave 3

Besides NPSPAC licensees, Wave 3, Stage 2 includes certain licensees with Southeast ESMR Band frequencies that were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 at the licensee's request. As shown in Table 3 above, as of December 31, 2008, approximately 75 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 3, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 3, Stage 2 mediation period began on May 1, 2007. As of December 31, 2008, of the 272 mediation dockets opened for Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees, 209 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 187 resolved as of September 30, 2008). The parties in 56 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; 18 had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. Three mediation dockets were the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC for *de novo* review.

(iv) Wave 4

As shown in Table 3 above, as of December 31, 2008, approximately 79 percent of the non-border area FRAs expected for Wave 4, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA. The expected FRAs for Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees with systems located in, or affected by, the international border areas with Mexico are not included in the FRA statistics for Wave 4.

The Wave 4, Stage 2 mediation period for non-border area licensees began on August 1, 2007. As of December 31, 2008, of the 66 mediation dockets opened for Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees, 55 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 43 resolved as of September 30, 2008). The parties in 7 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; one had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. In addition, the parties in one mediation docket had reached agreement on terms but not yet executed a PFA. No mediations were referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.

⁴⁰ Wave 3, Stage 1 licensees whose Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Requests were granted in June 2006 had their negotiations and reconfiguration implementation deferred to Wave 3, Stage 2.

⁴¹ The difference between the number of mediation dockets and the number of expected FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 2 is largely due to certain deals with Wave 3 Southeast ESMR Band licensees who were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 to Wave 3, Stage 2 not being included in the number of expected FRAs. The number of expected FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 2 will increase as additional FRAs are reached with such licensees and those deals are added to the count.



b. **Reconfiguration Implementation**

Stage 2 licensees continue to make progress with their implementation activities, but much work remains to be done in the implementation phase. A number of NPSPAC licensees are moving forward with and completing their implementation activities and are helping to define an implementation framework that can serve as a model for other NPSPAC licensees.

(i) <u>Implementation Planning Sessions</u>

One of the TA's methods for facilitating implementation activities has been to conduct regional Implementation Planning Sessions ("IPS" or "sessions"). These sessions bring together NPSPAC and interoperable Expansion Band licensees, their equipment vendors, technical consultants, and Sprint Nextel to develop consolidated implementation schedules. The FCC has stated that NPSPAC licensees "are expected to participate" in an IPS. ⁴²

During the sessions, the TA works with licensees to develop implementation schedules for the regions that identify key milestones and dependencies associated with reconfiguration implementation activities. This is particularly important because many FRAs for NPSPAC licensees do not contain firm implementation dates. The sessions help licensees adjust implementation schedules to deal with complicated interconnections and interdependencies of shared networks – particularly regarding setting dates for retuning mutual aid channels used by neighboring municipalities. IPS have also been successful in enabling parties to reach an understanding on the timing of clearing and surrendering frequencies for those licensees that are ready to transition to the new channels.

During the fourth quarter, the TA conducted IPS in Region 31: North Carolina and Region 37: South Carolina. As of December 31, 2008, the TA had conducted 28 IPS in 23 NPSPAC regions that were attended by 390 licensees operating 936,833 radios.⁴³ The TA will continue to hold sessions for all waves and follow-up sessions when needed. The TA encourages licensees to attend and participate in IPS in their region. Licensees that have participated in an IPS should continue to move forward with and complete their implementation activities in accordance with the regional schedule developed at the IPS.

(ii) Reconfiguration of Subscriber Equipment

A key component of reconfiguration implementation is the retuning, reflashing, and/or replacement of subscriber equipment. As of December 31, 2008, Stage 2 licensees had retuned, reflashed, or replaced 354,736 radios (an increase from approximately 312,000 radios as of September 30, 2008).

⁴² See September 12, 2007 Public Notice at 17230.

⁴³ The TA conducted 15 IPS in Wave 1 NPSPAC regions attended by 162 licensees, 8 IPS in Wave 2 NPSPAC regions attended by 121 licensees, and 5 IPS in Wave 3 NPSPAC regions attended by 107 licensees. The TA is currently gathering and analyzing information to assess future IPS for Wave 4.



(iii) Infrastructure Retuning and System Cutover

Another significant milestone in the implementation process is the retuning of base stations to the licensee's new channel assignments and commencement of system operations on the new channels. During the fourth quarter, there were an additional 30 Stage 2 FRAs for which licensees completed their physical retunes. Of the 867 non-border FRAs expected for Stage 2, there were 291 FRAs as of December 31, 2008 (an increase from 261 FRAs as of September 30, 2008) for which licensees had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels. As of December 31, 2008, physical reconfiguration was complete for 34 percent of non-border Stage 2 FRAs (an increase from 30 percent as of September 30, 2008). The TA is concerned about the pace of implementation, as the number of licensees that completed their physical retunes during the fourth quarter was approximately half the number that completed their physical retunes in each of the prior quarters in 2008.


As of December 31, 2008, 15.2 percent (up from 10.5 percent as of September 30, 2008) of Stage 2 call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees, which includes call signs in the border areas.

Map 2 graphically depicts a geographic perspective of NPSPAC reconfiguration progress, as of December 31, 2008, by licensed NPSPAC locations. Progress on Expansion Band clearing is detailed in Appendix 2.

⁴⁴ There were 63 Stage 2 FRAs for which licensees completed their physical retunes during the third quarter, 62 in the second quarter, and 56 in the first quarter of 2008.



Map 2: NPSPAC Locations and Reconfiguration Status, by NPSPAC Region as of December 31, 2008



3. Implementation Schedule Implications

The TA has identified below certain regions where one or more key licensees and Sprint Nextel have not yet reached agreement on an FRA, and where the resulting delay is impeding program progress and delaying implementation by other licensees within their region and, in some cases, outside their region. The TA encourages all parties to work to complete the steps necessary to allow appropriate dialogue on proposed costs and to reach agreement.

a. **Wave 1**

- Region 6: Northern California
- Region 8: Metropolitan, NYC Area (New York, New Jersey, & Connecticut)
- Region 14: Indiana
- Region 19: New England



- Region 20: District of Columbia, Maryland, & Northern Virginia
- Region 28: Eastern Pennsylvania (east of Harrisburg, southern New Jersey & Delaware)
- Region 42: Virginia
- Region 54: Southern Lake Michigan (Great Lakes including Wisconsin, Illinois, & Indiana)

In addition, a delay in the clearing of Channels 1-120 by one licensee in Region 19 has delayed the implementation of at least three other licensees.

b. Wave 2

- Region 16: Kansas
- Region 24: Missouri
- Region 34: Oklahoma
- Region 39: Tennessee
- Region 51: Texas East (Houston Area)

In addition, ongoing negotiations regarding a mutual aid system in Region 24 are delaying implementation progress for ten licensees in Regions 16 and 24.

c. Wave 3

- Region 1: Alabama
- Region 9: Florida
- Region 10: Georgia
- Region 18: Louisiana
- Region 23: Mississippi
- Region 31: North Carolina
- Region 37: South Carolina

4. <u>Canadian Border Regions</u>

The 30-month transition period for reconfiguration by Canadian border region licensees began on October 14, 2008. Based on an evaluation of FCC Registration Numbers ("FRNs") in the FCC's Universal Licensing System ("ULS") database, there are 334 Canadian border region licensees that are subject to reconfiguration. This will generally translate to a smaller number of FRAs as several licensees have multiple FRNs, multiple licensees may join into a single FRA, and certain non-public safety licensees may be excluded based on frequency planning results. Of




the 334 identified FRNs, 174 are related to Public Safety licensees and 160 are non-Public Safety licensees.

As of December 31, 2008, 30 PFAs, with a total contract value of \$6.5 million, were submitted to the TA by licensees in or adjacent to the Canadian border regions.


In the fourth quarter of 2008, the TA analyzed and proposed 1,670 replacement frequencies for Canadian border region Stage 2 licensees. The TA sent FPRs for a total of 105 call signs representing 64 licensees during this period.

The maps below show the locations and frequency density of Stages 1 and 2 licensees in the Canadian border regions. 45



⁴⁵ Frequency density defines the number of distinct reconfiguring base station frequencies at the noted location. Locations and frequency counts are based on FCC ULS data.





Map 4: Canadian Border Region Stage 2 Frequency Locations and Density

In preparation for the mediation period for Canadian border region licensees, the TA reviewed and revised its training materials for TA Mediators to focus on the potential issues faced in this wave of mediations, such as border area frequency issues. As it has done prior to other mediation periods, the TA conducted additional training for TA Mediators.

The expedited negotiation and mediation period for Canadian border region licensees negotiating Planning Funding Agreements began on October 14, 2008. Prior to the formal start of this period, the TA granted one request for early mediation involving a Canadian border region licensee. On October 14, 2008, the formal start of the negotiation and mediation period, the TA opened 87 mediation dockets, in addition to the one that had been previously opened, for a total of 88 mediation dockets. A licensee and Sprint Nextel had 30 days from October 14, 2008 to negotiate a PFA under the monitoring of a TA mediator. If, at the end of the 30-day period, there was no agreement on a PFA, the licensee and Sprint Nextel actively participated in mediation for 20 days.

As of December 31, 2008, 17 mediation dockets had been resolved. The parties in 34 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning. The parties in 24



mediations had reached agreement but had not yet executed PFAs. No mediation dockets were referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.

In addition, the expedited negotiation and mediation period for Canadian border region Stage 1 licensees without a PFA began on January 12, 2009. A licensee and Sprint Nextel had 30 days to negotiate an FRA under the monitoring of a TA mediator. If, at the end of the 30-day period, there was no agreement on an FRA, the licensee and Sprint Nextel actively participated in mediation for 20 days.

B. <u>Program Financial Information</u>

This section provides information for the fourth quarter of 2008 regarding reconfiguration expenditures, letters of credit, the external audit, the TA's fees and expenses, and reconfiguration Completion Certifications.

1. Reconfiguration Expenditures

a. 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs

As of December 31, 2008, Sprint Nextel and incumbent licensees had executed FRAs and PFAs pursuant to TA-approved cost estimates totaling approximately \$559.8 million, and Sprint Nextel had paid approximately \$261.9 million of this amount as advance payments and for work completed to date. This compares to \$503.3 million in executed FRAs and PFAs and \$217.6 million paid as of September 30, 2008.

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$719 million (through December 31, 2008) in costs for: (1) payments made to incumbent licensees or their vendors in accordance with TA-approved agreements executed by incumbent licensees and Sprint Nextel; and (2) replacement equipment costs, including costs related to the development of software for certain incumbent licensee subscriber equipment that enables such subscriber equipment to be retuned rather than replaced and costs related to replacement equipment to be provided to incumbent licensees by Sprint Nextel (collectively "800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs"). To date, Sprint Nextel had requested that the TA assess approximately \$295.4 million of the 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs (submitted as of September 30, 2008) for the purpose of determining whether those costs are creditable against the payment Sprint Nextel will make to the United States Treasury at the completion of reconfiguration ("Creditable Costs"). The TA has performed a review of approximately \$295.4 million in costs (submitted as of September 30, 2008). The status of these costs is as follows:

- \$230.6 million was determined by the TA to be Creditable Costs pending the Final Accounting to be performed at completion of reconfiguration and the results of the external audit.
- The remaining \$64.8 million requires additional information from Sprint Nextel or incumbents to determine whether these costs are creditable.



Sprint Nextel has not submitted the remaining \$423.5 million of the 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit.

b. Sprint Nextel Costs

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$285 million (through December 31, 2008) in costs to support: (1) incumbent licensee negotiations; (2) systems development costs for tracking and reporting of program activity, (3) Sprint Nextel's program and financial management activities; (4) other program costs; and (5) reconfiguration of Sprint Nextel's systems in the 800 MHz band (collectively "Sprint Nextel Costs"). The Sprint Nextel Costs exclude certain amounts incurred related to Sprint Nextel's internal network costs that Sprint Nextel may submit for credit at a later date ("To Be Determined Sprint Nextel Costs"). To date, Sprint Nextel has requested that the TA assess approximately \$219.5 million of the Sprint Nextel Costs (submitted as of September 30, 2008) for the purpose of determining whether those costs are Creditable Costs. The TA has performed a review of approximately \$187.7 million in costs (submitted as of December 31, 2007). The status of these costs is as follows:

- \$27.5 million was determined by the TA to be Creditable Costs pending the Final Accounting to be performed at completion of reconfiguration and the results of the external audit.
- The remaining \$160.2 million requires additional information from Sprint Nextel to determine whether these costs are creditable.

Sprint Nextel has not submitted the remaining \$65.5 million of the Sprint Nextel Costs or any of the To Be Determined Sprint Nextel Costs to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit.

c. <u>1.9 GHz Clearing Costs</u>

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it has incurred approximately \$578.9 million in costs (through December 31, 2008) associated with reconfiguration of the 1.9 GHz band. These costs are reported for informational purposes only. The TA does not conduct a review of these costs.

d. Estimated Total Reconfiguration Expenditures

On February 27, 2009, Sprint Nextel filed its Form 10-K filing for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and disclosed that from the inception of the program through December 31, 2008, Sprint Nextel has incurred



approximately \$1.8 billion of costs directly attributable to the spectrum reconfiguration program. 46

2. Letters of Credit

For the quarter ended December 31, 2008, Sprint Nextel made all its required payments to licensees and vendors. Accordingly, there has been no need to draw on the Letters of Credit through December 31, 2008. Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$59.5 million (through December 31, 2008) in Letter of Credit Fees.

The TA reviewed the \$2.078 billion Letters of Credit in view of the programmatic obligations satisfied through September 30, 2008 by Sprint Nextel. On December 22, 2008, the TA recommended that the Letters of Credit be reduced by \$107 million based on costs paid through September 30, 2008. The FCC concurred with the TA's request on December 29, 2008, and the Letters of Credit were reduced from \$2.078 billion to \$1.971 billion.

3. External Audit

On December 11, 2008, the TA filed with the FCC its Annual Report and Statement of Program Expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2007 and for the period from inception (August 6, 2004) through December 31, 2007. 47

In the fourth quarter of 2008, Reznick Group, the external auditors selected by the TA, continued the annual audit of program expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2008 and for the period from inception (August 6, 2004) through December 31, 2008 ("the 2008 Audit").

4. Transition Administrator

a. Fees, Expenses, and Staffing

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ended December 31, 2008 were \$8.4 million in fees and \$0.1 million in expenses, for a total of approximately \$8.5 million, which is approximately \$0.8 million lower than the forecast for the fourth quarter. Additional details are provided in Appendix 9. TA staffing as of December 31, 2008 consisted of 67 Full Time Equivalents ("FTEs").

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ending March 31, 2009 are estimated at \$8.4 million in fees and \$0.1 million in expenses, for a total of \$8.5 million.

⁴⁶ See Sprint Nextel Corporation, Annual Report (Form 10-K), at 8 (filed Feb. 27, 2009), available at http://idea.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/101830/000119312509040575/d10k.htm.

⁴⁷ See "800 MHz Reconfiguration Statement of Program Expenditures, December 31, 2007," attached to Letter from Steve F. Lederman, Squire, Sanders & Dempsey LLP, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Dec. 11, 2008).



b. **Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees**

In accordance with the TA's Independence Management Plan, the TA reports that BearingPoint did not receive from Sprint Nextel any non-TA fees and costs for the quarter ended December 31, 2008. 48

5. Review and Status of Reconfiguration Completion Certifications

During the fourth quarter of 2008, an additional 24 PFA Completion Certifications were submitted to the TA, raising the total number received by the TA to 129.⁴⁹ Of these, the TA had reviewed and certified 127 PFAs as complete, with the remaining two under review as of December 31, 2008. Appendix 8 contains a summary of PFAs that have closed as of December 31, 2008.

During the fourth quarter of 2008, an additional 43 FRA Completion Certifications⁵⁰ were submitted to the TA, raising the total number received by the TA to 840.⁵¹ Of these, the TA had reviewed and certified 827 FRAs as complete, with the remaining 13 under review as of December 31, 2008. Appendix 8 contains a summary of FRAs that have closed as of December 31, 2008.

These Completion Certifications were filed as part of the closing process once all planning or reconfiguration implementation activities were completed. The TA has reviewed the amounts expended on planning activities and reconfiguration implementation activities covered by these PFAs and FRAs, and concurs with the identified remaining payments due incumbent licensees or refunds due Sprint Nextel, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audit.

Sprint Nextel has provided the TA with the following data which describes the status of deals, in terms of numbers of FRAs in each stage of the contract closing process as of December 31, 2008.

⁴⁸ See "Independence Management Plan for 800 MHz Transition Administrator Team Members (Version 1.2)," at 5, attached to Letter from Joseph P. Markoski, Squire, Sanders & Dempsey LLP, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Aug. 23, 2005).

⁴⁹ Of these, 20 were for Stage 1 licensees and 109 were for Stage 2 licensees.

⁵⁰ From this point forward all subsequent information is related to FRA Completion Certifications only.

⁵¹ Of these, 734 were for Stage 1 licensees and 106 were for Stage 2 licensees.



Table 5: Status of FRAs in the Closing Process (after completion of physical reconfiguration)⁵²

| Status of FRAs | Number | | | | |
|---|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Closed FRAs | 827 | | | | |
| FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review | 13 | | | | |
| FRAs Pending in the Sprint Nextel Closing Process: | | | | | |
| Sprint Nextel Execution of the Completion Certificates | 16 | | | | |
| Sprint Nextel Receipt of Signed Completion Certificates from Incumbent Licensees | 18 | | | | |
| Sprint Nextel Preparation of Completion Certificates | 23 | | | | |
| Total FRAs in the Sprint Nextel Closing Process | 57 | | | | |
| FRAs Pending in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process: | | | | | |
| Sprint Nextel Pending Receipt of Signed Reconciliation Statement | 25 | | | | |
| Sprint Nextel Waiting for Receipts and Preparing Actual Cost Reconciliation Statement | 138 | | | | |
| Sprint Nextel Preparing Request for Receipt Letter | 18 | | | | |
| Total FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process | 181 | | | | |
| FRAs not in the above Closing or Actual Cost Reconciliation Processes: | | | | | |
| Finalization of either certain Reconfiguration Project Management activities or Requisite | 3 | | | | |
| Regulatory Filings | | | | | |
| Completion of the Actual Cost Reconciliation and either Finalization of certain | 32 | | | | |
| Reconfiguration Project Management activities or Requisite Regulatory Filings | | | | | |
| Completion of the Actual Cost Reconciliation, Requisite Regulatory Filings, and certain | 52 | | | | |
| Reconfiguration Project Management activities | | | | | |
| Total FRAs not in the above Closing or Actual Cost Reconciliation Processes | 87 | | | | |
| Total FRAs for which physical reconfiguration is complete | 1165 | | | | |

The TA has observed significant lags between completing physical reconfiguration and completing all necessary filings with the FCC and processing Completion Certifications through Sprint Nextel and the TA. For the closed FRAs (including those pending TA review), the average elapsed time between the completion of the physical reconfiguration and completion of the closing process was 8.0 months as of December 31, 2008 (compared to 7.7 months for the period ending September 30, 2008). For FRAs that have not yet closed, the average outstanding elapsed time from completion of the physical reconfiguration is 14.0 months as of December 31, 2008 (compared to 12.5 months as of September 30, 2008).

As of December 31, 2008, the major area of delay was the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process which accounts for 56 percent of the FRAs that have completed the physical reconfiguration but are not yet closed. Of the 181 FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process, 151 (83 percent) of the FRAs have been in this same stage for three months or longer. The status of deals, in terms of numbers and percentage of FRAs outstanding in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Stage is listed in the table below.

⁵² Sprint Nextel is the data source for this table.



Table 6: Status of Outstanding FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Stage (after completion of physical reconfiguration but prior to closing)⁵³

| Outstanding for | Number of FRAs | Percentage of the Total FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process |
|---------------------|----------------|--|
| Less than 3 Months | 30 | 17% |
| 3 to 6 Months | 50 | 28% |
| 6 to 9 Months | 20 | 11% |
| 9 to 12 Months | 13 | 7% |
| 12 Months or Longer | 68 | 38% |

The average time to complete the Actual Cost Reconciliation under an FRA once the physical reconfiguration is completed continues to be impacted by delays in processing Change Notices by the incumbent licensee and Sprint Nextel. Another factor is delays in incumbent licensees submitting to Sprint Nextel complete, accurate, and/or timely information required for the Actual Cost Reconciliation to substantiate Actual Costs incurred. Sprint Nextel delays in administering the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process also have contributed to the overall time lag between completion of physical reconfiguration and closing. The TA encourages licensees and Sprint Nextel to strive to complete these activities in a more timely manner. To minimize the effort and times associated with the closing process, incumbent licensees are strongly encouraged to review the following TA guidance, *Change Notice Process Fact Sheet*, *Actual Cost Reconciliation Fact Sheet*, and *Incumbent Labor Reimbursement Policy*, which are available on the TA's website at www.800TA.org. In addition, the TA has developed an Actual Cost Reconciliation Webinar to assist licensees' understanding of the process from start to finish. The TA will continue to monitor the closing process.

C. Specialized Support Functions

This section outlines additional information about TA activities that support the program, such as the Contact Center, the website, outreach, and FRA review.

To facilitate successful 800 MHz band reconfiguration, licensees and other stakeholders must have rapid and consistent access to accurate reconfiguration information, processes, and procedures. As such, the TA executes an approach that is intended to engage, educate, and equip the impacted stakeholders with the knowledge necessary to plan and implement reconfiguration activities. The TA employs a multi-pronged approach, including direct calling campaigns, conference and event attendance ("Stakeholder Outreach"), Webinars (online training seminars), and interaction with industry press to accomplish these goals.

1. Stakeholder Inquiries

The TA maintains a "Contact Center" to receive and process questions and requests for information regarding reconfiguration and the TA's activities. The TA receives inquiries from a variety of stakeholders: licensees, vendors, consultants, associations, and the trade press.

⁵³ Sprint Nextel is the data source for this table.



During the fourth quarter, the TA received a total of 4,367 inquiries to the Contact Center (1,815 in October 2008; 1,039 in November 2008; and 1,513 in December 2008). Access to the Contact Center is critically important to ensure that licensees and other stakeholders are able to obtain information to prepare for and implement the reconfiguration of their systems.

2. TA-Produced Materials and the TA's Website

During the fourth quarter, the TA continued to distribute informational materials to stakeholders relating to the reconfiguration process, including fact sheets, licensee forms, direct mailings, and other materials as listed below. Many of these items are posted on the TA's website (www.800TA.org).

- Canadian Border Region Refined and updated a section on the TA's website containing information about reconfiguration in the Canadian Border Region, including a section dedicated to the latest news and upcoming dates and submission deadlines for Canadian Border Region licensees; FCC Public Notices; the TA's Implementation Plan; and Frequency Reconfiguration Presentations.
- Reconfiguration Handbook Updated to version 3.0.
- Cost Metrics The PFA and FRA Cost Metrics were updated to include data through December 31, 2008.
- Fact Sheets Created and posted a Reconfiguration Completion Checklist; a Licensee Progress Reporting Fact Sheet; a Canadian Border Region Frequency Proposal Report Fact Sheet; and a Non-Frequency Dependent Activities Fact Sheet. Updated and posted Key Dates Fact Sheet; Negotiations Period Fact Sheet; Actual Cost Reconciliation Fact Sheet; and Incumbent Labor Reimbursement Policy.
- Forms Created a Canadian Border Region B/ILT-SMR (Non-ESMR) Election Form and updated the Implementation Planning Session Worksheet.
- Frequently Asked Questions ("FAQs") A "Reconfiguration Completion FAQs" section was added to the FAQs page of the TA's website.
- Graphics Key Dates graphic and Negotiations Period table were edited to reflect information in FCC Orders and the TA's Implementation Plan. Updated graphics were posted in the TA's Reconfiguration Handbook, as well as to various locations on the TA's website.
- Mail Campaigns Targeted mailings to licensees in reference to Special Temporary Authority requests and Expectations letters designed to identify next steps for licensees after an approved FRA. Additionally, Frequency Proposal Reports and cost estimate filing deadline reminders were sent to Canadian border region licensees.
- TA Alerts TA Alerts are the TA's listserv feature, which allows website visitors to sign up to receive emails from the TA with the latest updates and news. TA Alerts are sent when changes or updates are made to the website, when the FCC releases an Order or Public Notice, and/or when the TA has an announcement. There are 316 active subscribers for TA Alerts to date. In the fourth quarter, the TA sent eight TA Alerts.



These new or modified materials, in addition to materials previously published, are intended to provide stakeholders with sufficient information to effectively plan, negotiate, and implement reconfiguration.

During the fourth quarter, the TA also submitted written articles to various Public Safety communications publications as another medium to communicate with licensees and to educate those involved in the rebanding process.

The TA's website is a significant component of the Stakeholder Outreach efforts. During the fourth quarter, updates were made to the TA's website to post and advertise new and updated TA-produced materials, including the TA's Reconfiguration Handbook (updated to version 3.0) and the TA's Implementation Plan, and to post copies of FCC Public Notices and Orders. The TA's website received an estimated 6,933 visits during the fourth quarter.⁵⁴

3. Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training

a. Webinars

The TA has continued to conduct Webinars that provide information on all facets of reconfiguration. In the fourth quarter, the TA offered Webinars on the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process and on Preparing for an Implementation Planning Session. In the first quarter of 2009, the TA is scheduled to offer additional Webinars on these topics, as well as Webinars on Review Rights specifically for licensees selected for the process.

Webinars have proven to be an effective, low-cost method for reaching wide audiences and providing interactive and just-in-time guidance. The TA solicited feedback following each delivery. According to participant surveys, reaction to the Webinars has been overwhelmingly positive, with participants indicating that the opportunity for live discussion is the most helpful aspect.

b. <u>Licensee Outreach Campaigns</u>

In an effort to further the progress of reconfiguration, the TA continued its communication and outreach efforts this quarter. The TA followed the mailing of Frequency Proposal Reports to Canadian border region licensees with a reminder email sent to affected Stage 1 licensees stressing the importance of filing their cost estimate in accordance with deadlines beginning January 12, 2009.

⁵⁴ The TA has adopted "visits" instead of "hits" as a more accurate metric to utilize in identifying website traffic.



4. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review

The TA continues to meet its service-level goals for reviewing FRAs. ⁵⁵ Detailed information about the time required by the TA to review and approve Stages 1 and 2 FRAs submitted to the TA is available in Appendices 6 and 7.

⁵⁵ The TA's service-level targets for FRA review are review of 80 percent of FRAs within 5 business days, 95 percent within 10 business days, and 100 percent within 15 business days.



III. APPENDICES

Appendix 1
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs* | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee* | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement* | FRAs Submitted to TA | FRAs Approved by TA | FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed* |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | Num | ber of Frequency R | econfiguration | Agreements (| RAs) |
| Wave 1 | 354 | 354 | 354 | 354 | 354 | 347 |
| Multiregion | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 92 |
| 6 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| 7 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 8 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 13 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 14 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 19 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 20 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 14 |
| 27 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| 28 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| 35 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 41 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 42 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 |
| 45 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 54 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Wave 2 | 205 | 205 | 205 | 205 | 205 | 205 |
| Multiregion | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 |
| 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 12 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 15 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 16 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 17 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 22 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 |
| 24 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 25 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 26 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 38 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 39 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| 40 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 44 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 51 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 52 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |

Appendix 1
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs* | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee* | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement* | Submitted to | FRAs Approved by TA | FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed* |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | Num | ber of Frequency R | | Agreements (I | FRAs) |
| Wave 3 | 242 | 242 | 239 | 239 | 239 | 221 |
| Multiregion | 70 | 70 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 63 |
| 1 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 9 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 48 |
| 10 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 35 |
| 18 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 23 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 |
| 31 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| 37 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 47 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 48 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Wave 4 | 115 | 115 | 112 | 112 | 112 | 101 |
| Multiregion | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 21 |
| 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 3 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 5 |
| 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 23 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 29 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 30 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 33 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 36 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 43 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 50 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 53 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 54 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 916 | 916 | 910 | 910 | 910 | 874 |

^{*} Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.


Appendix 2 Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/08 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre- Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | Number of Call | | | | | |
| Wave 1 | Subtotal | 798 | 775 | 775 | 775 | 775 | 750 | 750 | 768 | | 751 | 709 |
| | CA - North | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 106 | 106 | 107 | 107 | 105 | 101 |
| 7 | Colorado | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 20 |
| | NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA) | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 94 |
| 11 | Hawaii | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 |
| _ | Illinois | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 37 | 37 | 40 | 40 | 37 | 37 |
| | Indiana | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| _ | ME, NH, VT,MA, RI, CT* | 80 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 49 | 49 | 55 | 55 | 49 | 49 |
| | MD; DC; VA - Northern | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 61 | 61 | 61 | 56 | 59 | 52 |
| _ | Nevada | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 |
| | NJ, PA, DE | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 63 |
| | Oregon | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 43 |
| _ | Utah | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| 42 | Virginia | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 44 | 44 | 52 | 49 | 48 | 35 |
| 45 | Wisconsin | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 54 | Chicago | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Wave 2 | Subtotal | 482 | 460 | 458 | 458 | 458 | 435 | 435 | 457 | 458 | 450 | 442 |
| 4 | Arkansas | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 38 |
| 12 | Idaho* | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 15 | Iowa | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| 16 | Kansas | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 |
| 22 | Minnesota* | 79 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 68 | 68 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 24 | Missouri | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 |
| 25 | Montana* | 19 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 11 | 11 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 14 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 32 | North Dakota* | 12 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 34 | Oklahoma | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 39 | Tennessee | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 39 | 37 |
| 40 | TX - Dallas | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| 44 | West Virginia | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 46 | Wyoming | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 49 | TX - Austin | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 8 |
| 51 | TX - Houston | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 39 | 39 | 42 | 42 | 41 | 40 |
| 52 | TX - Lubbock | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 33 | 33 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |

Appendix 2 Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/08 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre- Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | Number of Call | | 400 | | | |
| Wave 3 | Subtotal | 573 | 572 | | 509 | 509 | 425 | - | 482 | 384 | 419 | 351 |
| 1 | Alabama | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 |
| 9 | Florida | 221 | 220 | 220 | 219 | 219 | 199 | | 213 | 118 | 162 | 145 |
| | Georgia | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 41 | 41 | 48 | 48 | 46 | 32 |
| 18 | Louisiana | 79 | 79 | 76 | 75 | 75 | 53 | | 56 | 55 | 53 | 52 |
| | Mississippi | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 20 | | 21 | 19 | 21 | 19 |
| | North Carolina | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 50 | | 67 | 67 | 64 | 46 |
| | South Carolina | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 22 | | 37 | 37 | 36 | 20 |
| | Puerto Rico | 66 | 66 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 18 | | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 48 | US Virgin Islands | 23 | 23 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | 893 | 319 | 182 | 176 | 176 | 170 | 170 | 176 | 171 | 161 | 155 |
| 2 | Alaska* | 23 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 3 | Arizona* | 74 | 53 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 48 |
| 5 | CA - South* | 138 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 21 | Michigan* | 61 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 29 | New Mexico* | 25 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| 30 | NY - Albany* | 93 | 24 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 33 | Ohio* | 107 | 48 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 14 |
| 36 | Pennsylvania* | 12 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 43 | Washington* | 153 | 92 | 26 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 17 |
| 50 | TX - El Paso* | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio* | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 8 | 8 |
| 54 | MI portion of Chicago* | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 55 | New York - Buffalo* | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 | Gulf of Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total for Way | res 1-4 | 2746 | 2126 | 1928 | 1918 | 1918 | 1780 | 1780 | 1883 | 1773 | 1781 | 1657 |

- a. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.
- c. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- d. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- e. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- f. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- g. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.



The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available.


Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Expansion Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/08 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre- Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC Number of Call S | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Wave 1 | Subtotal | 292 | 291 | 233 | 228 | 227 | Number of Call S | igns 162 | 106 | 79 | 82 | 33 |
| 6 | CA - North | 88 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 86 | 52 | 52 | 100 | 10 | 11 | 33 |
| 7 | Colorado | 15 | 15 | | 15 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 1 |
| 8 | NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA) | 26 | 26 | | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 5 |
| 11 | Hawaii | 5 | 5 | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| 13 | Illinois | 12 | 12 | | 11 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 14 | Indiana | 34 | 34 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 5 |
| 19 | ME, NH, VT,MA, RI, CT* | 24 | 24 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 20 | MD; DC; VA - Northern | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 27 | Nevada | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| 28 | NJ, PA, DE | 9 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 35 | Oregon | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | Utah | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 42 | Virginia | 23 | 23 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 6 | 1 |
| 45 | Wisconsin | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 54 | Chicago | 32 | 32 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 14 | 17 | 8 |
| Wave 2 | Subtotal | 176 | 175 | 167 | 160 | 121 | 79 | 79 | 93 | 74 | 54 | 30 |
| 4 | Arkansas | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| 12 | Idaho* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Iowa | 16 | 16 | | 16 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| 16 | Kansas | 6 | 6 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 13 | 13 | | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 |
| 22 | Minnesota* | 10 | 10 | | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 |
| 24 | Missouri | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| 25 | Montana* | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 32 | North Dakota* | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Oklahoma | 3 | 3 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | Tennessee | 30 | 29 | 25 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 16 | 9 | 4 |
| 40 | TX - Dallas | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 0 |
| 44 | West Virginia | 1 2 | 1 | 1 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | Wyoming | _ | | | 2 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 49 51 | TX - Austin TX - Houston | 9 5 | 9 5 | | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 52 | | 1 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | TX - Lubbock | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 |

Appendix 2 Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Expansion Band: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/08 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre- Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC Number of Call S | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Wave 3 | Subtotal | 198 | 196 | 144 | 119 | 116 | Number of Call S | | 71 | 67 | 45 | 21 |
| 1 | Alabama | 29 | 28 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 5 |
| 9 | Florida | 60 | 60 | 34 | 31 | 29 | 17 | 17 | 23 | 21 | 13 | 5 |
| 10 | Georgia | 28 | 28 | 23 | 19 | 19 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 3 |
| 18 | Louisiana | 15 | 15 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | Mississippi | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 3 |
| 31 | North Carolina | 24 | 24 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
| 37 | South Carolina | 23 | 22 | 22 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 2 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | US Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | 291 | 188 | 129 | 126 | 125 | 95 | 94 | 17 | 13 | 15 | 9 |
| 2 | Alaska* | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | Arizona* | 20 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | CA - South* | 98 | 39 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 21 | Michigan* | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | New Mexico* | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | NY - Albany* | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 61 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 33 | Ohio* | 35 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | Pennsylvania* | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 43 | Washington* | 20 | 13 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 50 | TX - El Paso* | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio* | 16 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | MI portion of Chicago* | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| - | New York - Buffalo* | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Gulf of Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total for Wav | res 1-4 | 957 | 850 | 673 | 633 | 589 | 396 | 394 | 287 | 233 | 196 | 93 |

- a. Data does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.
- c. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- d. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the Expansion Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- e. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- f. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- g. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.



Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/08 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre- Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | 4000 | | | | | Number of Call S | ~ | | | | 201 |
| Wave 1 | Subtotal | 1898 | 1796 | | 1391 | 1381 | 834 | 831 | 632 | 385 | 366 | |
| 7 | CA - North Colorado | 136 226 | 122 224 | 117 224 | 112 224 | 103 224 | 32 213 | 213 | 36 221 | 30 220 | 27 208 | 26 207 |
| 8 | NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA) | 386 | 384 | 352 | 335 | 334 | 301 | 213 | 50 | 220 | 208 | 43 |
| 11 | Hawaii | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 12 | | 28 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 13 | Illinois | 138 | 136 | 135 | 118 | 118 | 13 | 13 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 2 |
| 14 | Indiana | 135 | 116 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 8 |
| 19 | ME, NH, VT,MA, RI, CT* | 129 | 117 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 22 | 22 | 30 | 23 | 18 | 18 |
| 20 | MD; DC; VA - Northern | 92 | 83 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | Nevada | 42 | 36 | | 31 | 31 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 28 | NJ, PA, DE | 219 | 191 | 154 | 138 | 138 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 14 | 17 | 17 |
| 35 | Oregon | 38 | 38 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 19 | 19 | 21 | 11 | 4 | 4 |
| 41 | Utah | 150 | 149 | 149 | 146 | 146 | 144 | 144 | 145 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 42 | Virginia | 45 | 42 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 6 |
| 45 | Wisconsin | 3 | 3 | | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 54 | Chicago | 131 | 127 | 89 | 80 | 80 | 25 | 25 | 32 | 23 | 15 | 14 |
| Wave 2 | Subtotal | 771 | 668 | 627 | 424 | 329 | 168 | 168 | 229 | 183 | 125 | 124 |
| 4 | Arkansas | 95 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | Idaho* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | lowa | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 16 | Kansas | 285 | 198 | 189 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 16 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| 22 | Minnesota* | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 41 | 41 | 50 | 50 | 40 | 40 |
| 24 | Missouri | 19 | 19 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 12 | 11 |
| 25 | Montana* | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 21 | 21 | 27 | 15 | 20 | 20 |
| 32 | North Dakota* | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Oklahoma | 38 | 35 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | Tennessee | 56 | 56 | 49 | 21 | 20 | 14 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 9 | 9 |
| 40 | TX - Dallas | 46 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 42 | 16 | 16 | 26 | 23 | 8 | 8 |
| 44 | West Virginia | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | Wyoming | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| 49 | TX - Austin | 67 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 7 | 7 | 35 | 26 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | TX - Houston | 42 | 37 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 9 | 6 | 6 |
| 52 | TX - Lubbock | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix 2 Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/08 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre- Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Wave 3 | Subtotal | 942 | 854 | 512 | 371 | 296 | Number of Call S | igns 79 | 140 | 108 | 42 | 42 |
| 1 | Alabama | 34 | 31 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| 9 | Florida | 327 | 311 | 162 | 158 | 96 | 27 | 26 | 46 | 24 | 6 | 6 |
| 10 | Georgia | 82 | 75 | 59 | 56 | 51 | 29 | 29 | 39 | | 16 | 16 |
| 18 | Louisiana | 62 | 61 | 54 | 52 | 52 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | Mississippi | 22 | 22 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| 31 | North Carolina | 240 | 191 | 40 | 38 | 37 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 14 | 3 | 3 |
| 37 | South Carolina | 165 | 153 | 153 | 28 | 21 | 12 | 12 | 20 | 19 | 10 | 10 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 10 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | US Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | 1568 | 777 | 209 | 89 | 88 | 30 | 30 | 33 | 22 | 14 | 13 |
| 2 | Alaska* | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | Arizona* | 100 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | CA - South* | 378 | 205 | 86 | 34 | 33 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | Michigan* | 287 | 251 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | New Mexico* | 9 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 30 | NY - Albany* | 187 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 33 | Ohio* | 140 | 108 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| 36 | Pennsylvania* | 241 | 66 | 65 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 43 | Washington* | 148 | 87 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | TX - El Paso* | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio* | 27 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 54 | MI portion of Chicago* | 20 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55 | New York - Buffalo* | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 | Gulf of Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total for Wa | ves 1-4 | 5179 | 4095 | 2811 | 2275 | 2094 | 1113 | 1108 | 1034 | 698 | 547 | 540 |

- a. Data does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.
- c. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- d. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the 866-869 MHz range, and those with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- e. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- f. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- g. As of the second quarter of 2007, the NSPAC call sign data includes STA NPSPAC call signs and call signs without fixed locations (e.g., mobile-only authorizations).
- h. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.


Appendix 2 Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Southeast ESMR Band: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/08 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre- Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC Number of Call S | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Wave 1 | Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | oigns 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | CA - North | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Colorado | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA) | 0 | 0 | <u> </u> | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Hawaii | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Illinois | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Indiana | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | ME, NH, VT,MA, RI, CT* | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | MD; DC; VA - Northern | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | Nevada | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | NJ, PA, DE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | Oregon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | Utah | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | Virginia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | Wisconsin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | Chicago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wave 2 | Subtotal | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| 4 | Arkansas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Idaho* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Iowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Kansas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | Minnesota* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | Missouri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | Montana* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | North Dakota* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Oklahoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | Tennessee | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| 40 | TX - Dallas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | West Virginia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | TX - Austin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | TX - Houston | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | TX - Lubbock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix 2 Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Southeast ESMR Band: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/08 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre- Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC Number of Call S | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Wave 3 | Subtotal | 240 | 239 | 193 | 182 | 182 | | 148 | 154 | 148 | 136 | 105 |
| 1 | Alabama | 59 | 59 | 42 | 40 | 40 | 26 | 26 | 29 | 29 | 27 | 22 |
| 9 | Florida | 32 | 32 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 4 |
| 10 | Georgia | 75 | 75 | 70 | 67 | 67 | 60 | 60 | 59 | 57 | 51 | 39 |
| 18 | Louisiana | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 23 | Mississippi | 50 | 50 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 39 | 39 | 42 | 42 | 39 | 34 |
| 31 | North Carolina | 7 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 37 | South Carolina | 12 | 12 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | US Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Alaska* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Arizona* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | CA - South* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Michigan* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | New Mexico* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | NY - Albany* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | Ohio* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | Pennsylvania* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | Washington* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | TX - El Paso* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | MI portion of Chicago* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55 | New York - Buffalo* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 | Gulf of Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total for Wav | ves 1-4 | 247 | 246 | 200 | 189 | 189 | 155 | 155 | 161 | 153 | 141 | 109 |

- a. Data for the Southeast ESMR Band call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel
- b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- c. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the Southeast ESMR Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- d. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- e. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- f. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.



Appendix 3
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | Number of Stage 2 FRAs* | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee* | Contract Agreement* | FRAs Submitted to TA | FRAs Approved by TA | FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed* |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | Numbe | er of Frequency R | econfiguratio | n Agreemen | ts (FRAs) |
| Wave 1 | 352 | 349 | 301 | 297 | 294 | 141 |
| Multiregion | 56 | 55 | 46 | 45 | 44 | 14 |
| 6 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 20 |
| 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 6 |
| 8 | 42 | 42 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 23 |
| 11 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 13 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 |
| 14 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 13 |
| 19 | 50 | 50 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 20 |
| 20 | 27 | 27 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| 27 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 28 | 21 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 9 |
| 35 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| 41 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 |
| 42 | 23 | 23 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 3 |
| 45 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| 54 | 40 | 40 | 34 | 33 | 33 | 22 |
| Wave 2 | 230 | 228 | 211 | 206 | 202 | 99 |
| Multiregion | 26 | 25 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 4 |
| 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 6 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 6 |
| 16 | 20 | 20 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 8 |
| 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 13 |
| 22 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 24 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 7 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 12 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 3 |
| 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | 25 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 9 |
| 40 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 9 |
| 44 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 46 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 49 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 1 |
| 51 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 3 |
| 52 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |

Appendix 3
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | Number of Stage 2 FRAs* | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee* | Contract Agreement* | FRAs Submitted to TA | FRAs Approved by TA | FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed* |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | r of Frequency R | | | ts (FRAs) |
| Wave 3 | 238 | 230 | 191 | 184 | 179 | 38 |
| Multiregion | 17 | 17 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 3 |
| 1 | 19 | 19 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 5 |
| 9 | 63 | 59 | 47 | 45 | 43 | 6 |
| 10 | 44 | 43 | 38 | 37 | 36 | 13 |
| 18 | 31 | 29 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 0 |
| 23 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 4 |
| 31 | 28 | 28 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 3 |
| 37 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 4 |
| 47 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wave 4 | 47 | 47 | 40 | 39 | 37 | 13 |
| Multiregion | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 29 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| 30 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| 33 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 |
| 36 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 43 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 50 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 53 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| 54 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 867 | 854 | 743 | 726 | 712 | 291 |

^{*} Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

| Linamana | ОТ | Oall Cinn | Enamenaire |
|--|----------|--------------------|---|
| Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
| Anchorage, Municipality of | | KNNQ425 WNQL400 | 860.9875 |
| Anchorage, Municipality of | _ | | 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.9875 |
| Anchorage, Municipality of | | WPRG698 | 860.7125 |
| Anchorage, Municipality of North Slope, Borough of | | WPRR956 | 860.7375 |
| | | WNDX449 WPZW513 | 860.7375 |
| North Slope, Borough of | AK | WPZW513 | 860.7375 |
| North Slope, Borough of Dothan, City of | | | 860.7375 |
| Houston, County of | AL AL | WPQD755 WPQH284 | 858.4875 857.7625, 858.2625 |
| Mobile, County of | AL | WNUX634 | 857.7625, 857.9875, 858.2375, 858.2625, 858.4375, |
| INIODITE, County of | AL | WNUA634 | 858.4625 |
| Northwest Oits of | Λ.Ι | WALIDOOO | |
| Northport, City of | AL | WNJD323 | 857.7125 |
| Bentonville, City of | AR | WPPH830 WPJI661 | 860.2625 |
| Fayetteville, City of | AR | | 860.2375, 860.7375 |
| Hot Springs, City of | AR | WPHP482 | 860.2625 |
| Jefferson, County of | AR | WNVR873 | 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7375, 860.9625 |
| Jefferson, County of | | WPLY444 | 860.2125 |
| Paragould, City of | | WPFN317 | 860.2875 |
| Arizona, State of | ΑZ | WNMY720 | 860.2125, 860.9375 |
| Flagstaff, City of | ΑZ | WPWK889 | 860.4375 |
| Lake Havasu City, City of | ΑZ | WPXB342 | 860.4375, 860.9625 |
| Paradise Valley, Town of | ΑZ | WNMW364 | 860.2375 |
| Phoenix, City of | ΑZ | WNMT600 | 860.9875 |
| Phoenix, City of | | WPXD838 | 860.9875 |
| City of Palm Springs | | WNZH770 | 860.7125 |
| Contra Costa Community College District | | WNMM865 | 860.2375 |
| Contra Costa Community College District | | WNMM866 | 860.2375 |
| Elk Grove Unified School District | | WPJY405 | 860.7875, 860.8125 |
| Lassen Union School District | | WPEF987 | 860.7875 |
| Lodi, City of | | WNLH967 | 860.2125 |
| Long Beach, City of | CA | KNCR530 | 860.2375 |
| Los Angeles, County of | | KNER447 | 860.2625 |
| Los Angeles, County of | CA | WPDV636 | 860.2625 |
| Marin, County of | | KNJH407 | 860.9375 |
| Marin, County of | CA | WPFQ266 | 860.4625 |
| Merced, City of | CA | WPPX706 | 860.4375 |
| Monterey Salinas Transit Authority | CA | WPRI866 | 860.2125 |
| Mountain Valley Emergency Medical Services Agency | CA | WNVJ731 | 860.9375 |
| (Stanislaus County) | | | |
| Orange, County of, CA | | | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | _ | | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | _ | WPMX750 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | _ | WPMX751 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | _ | WPMX752 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | | WPMY325 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | | WPMY330 | 815.2125, 815.4625, 815.7125, 815.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | | | 815.2125, 815.4625, 815.7125, 815.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | _ | | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | | | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | | WPMZ776 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | | WPNP991 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | | WQZ938 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Palo Alto, City of | _ | | 860.7125 |
| Placer, County of | | WPIE742 | 860.9375 |
| Pleasant Hill, City of | | WNMP521 | 860.4375 |
| Sacramento City Unified School District | | WNHX890 | 860.4625 |
| Sacramento, County of | _ | | 860.7125 |
| Sacramento, County of | _ | WPDD467 | 860.2125, 860.4375 |
| Sacramento, County of | _ | WPWV729 | 860.4875 |
| Sacramento, County of | | WPXL514 | 860.4875 |
| Sacramento, County of | | WQDK496 | 860.4875 |
| Sacramento, County of | CA | WQDK705 | 860.4875 |

| | 0.7 | 0.11.01. | |
|---|-----|-----------|---|
| Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
| San Bernardino, County of | | WNNB563 | 860.2500, 860.4750 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WNNB565 | 860.2500 |
| San Bernardino, County of | _ | WNNB566 | 860.4500 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WNNB567 | 860.2500 |
| San Bernardino, County of | | WNNB568 | 860.2500 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WNNB575 | 860.2500 |
| San Bernardino, County of | _ | WNNB576 | 860.4500 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WNNB578 | 860.2250, 860.9500 |
| San Bernardino, County of | _ | WPMC676 | 860.2500, 860.4250 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WPNW306 | 860.2875, 860.8125, 860.8375 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WPNZ337 | 860.2875, 860.8375 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WPYQ990 | 860.8125 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WQCW497 | 860.8125 |
| San Francisco, City and County of | CA | KNGD851 | 860.4875 |
| San Francisco, City and County of | CA | WNMP411 | n/a* |
| San Francisco, City and County of | CA | WNMP522 | 860.4625 |
| San Francisco, City and County of | CA | WNNF327 | 860.4375 |
| San Francisco, City and County of | CA | WPQA782 | 860.4875 |
| San Francisco, City and County of | CA | WPQF830 | 860.2125 |
| San Rafael, City of | CA | WNSS412 | 860.9625 |
| Sierra Community College District | CA | WPIE754 | 860.9625 |
| Ventura, County of | CA | WPGV544 | 860.4625 |
| Ventura, County of | CA | WPHY525 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| Watsonville, City of | CA | WPKI847 | 860.2375 |
| Arapahoe, County of | CO | WNIJ887 | 860.3125 |
| Aurora, City of | CO | WNAU532 | 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625, 860.9875 |
| Baca, County of | | WPNP223 | 860.2125 |
| Pueblo, City of | СО | WQAL936 | 860.7125 |
| Cromwell, Town of | СТ | WNKR770 | 860.9625 |
| District of Columbia | | KNJU834 | 860.9875 |
| District of Columbia | DC | WPXT459 | 860.9875 |
| City of West Palm Beach | _ | KNER586 | 860.7125 |
| City of West Palm Beach | FL | WNKD520 | 860.2125 |
| Clay, County of | FL | WPDC613 | 860.4375, 860.9625 |
| Collier, County of | FL | WNLK955 | 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, |
| | | | 860.9625 |
| Collier, County of | FL | WNSK615 | 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9625 |
| Indian River, County of | FL | WNMZ770 | 860.4875, 860.9875 |
| Indian River, County of | FL | WQHR977 | 860.9875 |
| Jacksonville, City of | FL | WNFP698 | 860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.9375 |
| Jacksonville, City of | FL | WNRE843 | 860.9375 |
| Jacksonville, City of | FL | WNSC913 | 860.2375, 860.7375 |
| Jacksonville, City of | FL | WPGY728 | 860.9875 |
| Jacksonville, City of | FL | WPTF860 | 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9375 |
| Miami, City of | FL | KNGR376 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125 |
| Miami, City of | FL | WNCE612 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125 |
| Palm Beach, County of | FL | WNHE888 | 860.3125, 860.3375 |
| Palm Beach, County of | FL | WPRS827 | 860.3125, 860.3375 |
| Reedy Creek Improvement District | FL | WPTU288 | 860.2625 |
| Saint Lucie, County of | FL | WPDV207 | 860.2375, 860.4625 |
| The School Board of Broward County, Florida | FL | KNJJ560 | 860.9375, 860.9625 |
| Volusia, County of | FL | WNHE867 | 860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625 |
| Volusia, County of | FL | WPFQ272 | 860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, |
| | | | 860.9375 |
| Volusia, County of | FL | WPPW666 | 860.2125 |
| DeKalb County Police Department | GA | KNAN828 | 858.2625 |
| Guam, Government of | | | 860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.7125, |
| | GU | WPDA554 | 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875 |
| Northern Mariana Islands, Commonwealth of | GU | WPCG201 | 860.2625 |
| Northern Mariana Islands, Commonwealth of | GU | WPTY944 | 860.9875 |
| Honolulu, City and County of | HI | WPQZ565 | 860.4625 |
| Honolulu, City and County of | HI | WPRG484 | 860.4625 |
| | | | |

| Licenses | OT | Call Ciam | F |
|---|----------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
| lowa City, City of lowa City, City of | IA | | 860.2625 |
| Story, County of | IA | WNXG746 | 860.9875 |
| The University of Iowa Hospital & Clinics | IA IA | WPQI296 | 860.4375 |
| Boise, City of | IA | WPKN529 | 860.2125 |
| Emmett Independent School District | ID | WPII857 WPYY420 | 860.9375 |
| Idaho, State of | ID | WP11420 WPIP622 | 860.8875 |
| , | ID | WPIP622 WPIP626 | 860.7625 |
| Idaho, State of | ID ID | WPIS652 | 860.7625 |
| Idaho, State of | | WPUD400 | 860.7625 |
| Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District City Colleges of Chicago | ID :- | | 860.7875 |
| | IL : | WNMA681 | 860.2375 |
| Decatur, City of | IL : | WNKZ536 | 860.2625, 860.4625 |
| Deerfield, Village of (Police Department) | L | WNGC398 | 860.7375 |
| Effingham, County of | L : | WPNY754 | 860.4875 |
| Illinois, State of | IL : | WQCT712 | 860.9375 |
| Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections) | IL : | WPLR422 | 860.2625 |
| Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections) | IL | WPMR362 | 860.7375 |
| Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections) | IL | WPPD278 | 860.9375 |
| Jefferson, County of | IL | WPTX994 | 860.4375 |
| La Salle County of | IL | WPUK993 | 860.4875 |
| Lansing, Village of | IL | WNNS478 | 860.7375 |
| Marion County ETSB | IL | KNNT505 | 860.9875 |
| Normal, Town of | IL | WPIX239 | 860.4875 |
| Ogle County Sheriff's Office | IL | | 860.7125 |
| Peoria County Sheriffs Department | IL | WQAB235 | 860.2625, 860.9625, 860.9875 |
| Rolling Meadows, City of | IL | KNJU694 | 860.2125 |
| Springfield, City of | IL | WQAZ465 | 860.4875 |
| Tazewell, County of | IL | WPNW387 | 860.7125 |
| Tazewell, County of | IL | WQCX272 | n/a* |
| Williamson, County of | ⊒ | WPKM918 | 860.7625 |
| Floyd, County of | IZ | WPNQ948 | 860.4875 |
| Indiana University | IZ | WPCW647 | 860.8875 |
| Steuben, County of | IN | WPDU229 | 860.2125 |
| Tippecanoe, County of | IZ | WNQH693 | 860.7375 |
| Garden City, City of | KS | WPMI551 | 860.4375 |
| Kansas City, City of | KS | WNWF608 | 860.7625, 860.9375 |
| Kansas City, City of | KS | WPGP232 | 860.3125 |
| Kentucky, Commonwealth of | KY | WQCP214 | 860.2625 |
| Madison, County of | KY | WNVN963 | 860.4875, 860.7375 |
| Powderly, City of | KY | WQCD705 | 860.4375 |
| Richmond, City of | KY | WQDE403 | 860.2625 |
| Caddo Parish Communications District No 1 | LA | WPMA320 | 860.7125 |
| Caddo Parish Communications District No 1 | LA | WPSQ740 | 860.7125 |
| East Baton Rouge, Parish of | LA | KNJU727 | 860.7125 |
| Iberia, Parish of | LA | WPQY650 | 860.7625 |
| Lafourche, Parish of | LA | WPRX834 | 860.9375 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WNII532 | 860.2375 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WNII533 | 860.2375, 860.7625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WNII534 | 860.7625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WNII535 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WNII536 | 860.4375, 860.9875 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WNMA687 | 860.2625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE601 | 860.7625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE605 | 860.2375 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE609 | 860.9625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE617 | 860.9875 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE629 | 860.9625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE633 | 860.4375 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE641 | 860.7625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | | 860.2375, 860.4375 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE661 | 860.4875 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHF287 | 860.9625 |
| , 0.0.0 0. | ٠,٠ | **1 111 201 | 000.0020 |

| Harman | OT | Call Ciana | For more size |
|---|----------|--------------|---|
| Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHG955 | 860.4625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPIB392 | 860.7125 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPIR915 | 860.4625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPIR919 | 860.7125 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPIR923 | 860.7625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPJI711 | 860.4625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPKD955 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPMI999 | 860.2625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPMQ475 | 860.4875 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPNS672 | 860.9875 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPPE847 | 860.2125 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPPE848 | 860.9375 |
| Madison, Parish of | LA | WPMA348 | 857.9625 |
| Minden, City of | LA | WPWJ531 | 860.4875 |
| New Orleans Convention Center | LA | WPJS469 | 860.2375 |
| New Orleans Regional Transit Authority | LA | WPAP726 | 860.2125, 860.4375 |
| New Orleans, City of | LA | WNCD880 | 860.7875, 860.8125 |
| Orleans Levee District | LA | WNQC758 | 860.2625 |
| Orleans Parish School Board | LA | KNJU690 | 860.7125 |
| Sterlington, Town of | LA | WPNZ848 | 860.7375 |
| Allegany, County of | MD | WPRS598 | 860.4875 |
| Baltimore, County of | MD | WNLM765 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Baltimore, County of | MD | WNLM766 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Baltimore, County of | MD | WNLM767 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Baltimore, County of | MD | WNLM768 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Baltimore, County of | | WNLM769 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Baltimore, County of | MD | WNLM770 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Baltimore, County of | 4 | WNLM771 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Baltimore, County of | _ | WNLM772 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Baltimore, County of | | WNQK948 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Garrett, County of (Board of Education) | | WPRU936 | 860.7375 |
| Howard, County of | MD | WPLU397 | 860.2375, 860.7375 |
| Howard, County of | | WPQX905 | 860.2375, 860.7375 |
| Howard, County of | MD | WPXJ396 | 860.7375 |
| Salisbury, City of | | WPHQ675 | 860.7625 |
| Somerset, County of | MD | WPWR884 | 860.9625 |
| Worcester, County of | | WPNW557 | 860.4625, 860.7125 |
| Forest Hills Public Schools | MI | WPUA724 | 860.7625 |
| Grand Rapids Public Schools | MI | WPIP999 | 860.2125, 860.9875 |
| Walker, City of | MI | WPVP312 | 860.4375 |
| Clay, County of | _ | WPUS888 | |
| Dakota, County of | _ | | 860.7125 |
| Metropolitan Council/Metro Transit | - | WPEP246 | 860.7375 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WPQH695 | 860.4375 |
| , | MN | WPKG265 | 815.2375, 815.2625, 815.4375, 815.9375, 815.9875 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WPKG266 | 815.2625, 815.4375, 815.9375, 815.9875 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WPYS897 | 860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4375, 860.7125, 860.7375, |
| | | 14/B) (1/000 | 860.9375, 860.9625 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WPYY636 | 815.2125, 815.2375, 815.4375, 815.7125, 815.7375, |
| | | | 815.9375, 815.9625 |
| Minnesota, State of | - | WPYY637 | 860.2375, 860.7375, 860.9375, 860.9625 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WQDF219 | 815.9375 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WQDF220 | 860.9375 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WQDG878 | 860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WQDJ490 | 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7625, 860.9625 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WQDJ508 | 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WQDR523 | 815.2375, 815.2625, 815.4375, 815.4625, 815.4875, |
| | <u>L</u> | <u> </u> | 815.7375, 815.7625, 815.9375, 815.9625, 815.9875 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WQHF462 | 860.2375, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WQHK535 | 860.2375, 860.4375, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WPER943 | 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WPKG359 | 860.9375 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WPKG360 | 860.2625 |
| * | • | | • |

| | ОТ. | 0.11.01. | |
|---|----------|---|--|
| Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
| Minnesota, State of | | WPYM573 | 860.9875 |
| Moorhead, City of | | WPHY859 | 860.4625 |
| Blue Springs, City of | - | WNDG561 | 860.4875 |
| Curators of the University of Missouri | MO | WPJI572 | 860.2125 |
| Saint Joseph, City of | _ | WPDC582 | 860.4875 |
| State of Missouri, Department of Corrections | MO | WPUK277 | 860.9375 |
| Bolivar County E911 | | WPXA863 | 860.2375 |
| City of Columbus | MS | WPNS534 | 858.2125 |
| De Soto, County of | . | WPCC361 | 860.4875, 860.7625 |
| Jackson-Evers International Airport Authority | MS | WQDD668 | 857.9875 |
| Meridian, City of | MS | WQAP232 | 858.2125 |
| Mississippi, University of | MS | WPJQ621 | 860.7375 |
| Smith, County of | MS | WPKG621 | 858.4375 |
| South Mississippi State Hospital | MS | WPQJ606 | 857.9875 |
| Vicksburg, City of | MS | KNNH519 | 857.7125, 858.2625 |
| Asheville, City of | NC | WNXR226 | 860.7625, 860.9875 |
| McDowell, County of | NC | KNNP950 | 860.9625 |
| Mecklenburg, County of | NC | WNGU623 | 860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875 |
| Mecklenburg, County of | NC | WQGP564 | 860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WNRU500 | 860.4875 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPHM257 | 860.7125 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPHM264 | 860.4375 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPJQ536 | 860.4375 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPKN567 | 860.4375 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPKN591 | 860.7375 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPKN594 | 860.9875 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPMB804 | 860.4375 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPOX341 | 860.4375 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPOX343 | 860.4375 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPOZ292 | 860.7125 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPPB719 | 860.7125 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPRJ405 | 860.4375 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPSM605 | n/a* |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPYC603 | 860.4375 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WQCQ971 | 860.4875 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WQCS908 | 860.9375 |
| Fargo, City of | ND | KNNT448 | 860.2125 |
| Omaha Public Power District | NE | KNER503 | 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375 |
| Omaha Public Power District | NE | KNER504 | 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375 |
| Omaha Public Power District | NE | WPPY921 | 860.9375 |
| Omaha Public Power District | NE | WPSZ331 | 860.3375 |
| Omaha Public Power District | NE | WPTA210 | 860.3375 |
| Scotts Bluff, County of | NE | WPKU672 | 860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7375 |
| Manchester, City of | NH | WPDK444 | 860.4875 |
| Atlantic City, City of | NJ | WPRS952 | 860.7625 |
| Camden, City of | NJ | WNWG655 | 860.9875 |
| Camden, City of | NJ | WQAF461 | 860.9875 |
| Delaware River Port Authority | NJ | WPXY839 | 860.9875 |
| New Jersey Department of Correction | NJ | KNJH415 | 860.2625 |
| New Jersey Department of Correction | NJ | KNJH416 | 860.2625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD570 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD571 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD572 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD573 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD574 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD575 | 860.2125, 860.7125 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD576 | 860.2125, 860.7125 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD577 | 860.9375 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD578 | 860.9375 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD579 | 860.9375 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD580 | 860.2125, 860.7125 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNHS409 | 860.9375 |
| rion dorocy, claic or | . 10 | *************************************** | 000.0010 |

| New Jersey, State of | Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
|--|--|----|-----------|--|
| New Jersey, State of N.J WNISS8 860.9375 | | | | |
| New Jersey, State of N.J WNJ.1598 86.0.9375 | | | | |
| New Jensey, State of N.J. WNPS351 80.0425, 800.0825 | | | | |
| New Jersey, State of N.J WNXC391 800.4625, 800.9625 | | | | |
| New Jersey, Slate of N.J WNXC981 860.2125, 860.7125 | | | | • |
| New Jersey, State of N.J WNX27118, 860.9625 | | | | · |
| New Jersey, State of N.J WNZ2517, 860.7125 | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| New Jersey, State of N.J WPSE888 860.2125, 860.7125 | | | | |
| Now Jersey, State of NJ WPUH543 860,9375 New Jersey, State of NJ WPC9725 860,4825, 880,9825 New Jersey, State of NJ WOR97316 860,4825, 880,9825 NJ WOR97316 NJ | · | NJ | | |
| Now Jersey, State of NJ WPY0725 860.4255, 860.9625 Now Jersey, State of NJ WPY0726 860.4255, 860.9625 NJ WRX JERSEY NJ WRX | · | NJ | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| No. Workeys State of Number | · | NJ | | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| Bernalilio, County of NM WPLW269 860.4375, 860.9625 | * | NJ | | · |
| Bernalilo, County of NM WPLW259 860, 4375, 860,9625 | Vineland, City of | NJ | WNXZ709 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| State of Nevada | · | NM | WNZW684 | n/a* |
| State of Nevada | Bernalillo, County of | NM | WPUW259 | 860.4375, 860.9625 |
| State of Nevada | State of Nevada | NV | WNZB252 | |
| State of Nevada | State of Nevada | NV | | |
| State of Nevada | State of Nevada | NV | WPKJ524 | 860.2125, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| State of Nevada | State of Nevada | NV | WPKJ526 | |
| State of Nevada | State of Nevada | NV | | 860.2125, 860.9875 |
| State of Nevada | State of Nevada | NV | WPQD806 | 860.2125, 860.9875 |
| State of Nevada | State of Nevada | NV | | 860.2125, 860.4375 |
| State of Nevada | State of Nevada | NV | | |
| State of Nevada | State of Nevada | NV | WPRY297 | 860.2375, 860.7375 |
| State of Nevada | State of Nevada | NV | WPST690 | 860.7375 |
| State of Nevada | State of Nevada | NV | WPTR307 | 860.2125 |
| State of Nevada | State of Nevada | NV | WPZZ385 | 860.2375 |
| University and Community College System | State of Nevada | NV | WQAV628 | 860.2125 |
| Washoe, County of NV WPRX312 860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9875 Washoe, County of NV WPRX313 860.7625 860.9375, 860.9875 Albany, County of NY WPBD381 860.7625 Albany, County of NY WPTC680 860.7375 Chemung, County of NY WPD7243 860.2625 City of Albany NY WPD7243 860.2625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY KNBX914 860.7375, 860.9875 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY KNBX914 860.7375, 860.9875 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML623 860.4375, 860.9375 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML524 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML525 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.4375 New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY | State of Nevada | NV | WQAV634 | 860.2125 |
| 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9875 | University and Community College System | NV | WPWK236 | 860.4625 |
| Albany County Airport Authority NY WPBD381 860.7625 Albany, County of NY WPTC680 860.7375 Chemung, County of NY WPD7243 860.2625 City of Albany NY WPDWW868 860.2125, 860.4375 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY KNBX914 860.7375, 860.9875 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML8623 860.4375, 860.9375 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML824 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML525 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML527 860. | Washoe, County of | NV | WPRX312 | |
| Albany, County of | Washoe, County of | NV | WPRX313 | 860.7625 |
| Chemung, County of NY WPDT243 860.2625 City of Albany NY WPWW888 860.2125, 860.4375 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY KNBX914 860.7375, 860.9875 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY KNBER623 860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML463 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML524 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML525 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML528 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML528 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML528 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML528 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML528 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML528 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | Albany County Airport Authority | NY | WPBD381 | 860.7625 |
| City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPWW868 860.2125, 860.4375 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY KNBX914 860.7375, 860.9875 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY KNER623 860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML463 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML524 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML525 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPRML526 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPRML526 860.7625 City | Albany, County of | NY | WPTC680 | 860.7375 |
| City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY KNBX914 860.7375, 860.9875 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY KNER623 860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML463 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML524 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML525 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPMC1526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPL526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPL526 860.7625 New York City Tran | Chemung, County of | NY | WPDT243 | 860.2625 |
| City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY KNER623 860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML463 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML524 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML525 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York City Transit Authority NY WPL3475 860.2625 Colonie, Town of NY WPL3475 860.2625 Colonie, Town of NY KNEB3096 815.3875, 815.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH691 815.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB684 <td>City of Albany</td> <td>NY</td> <td>WPWW868</td> <td>860.2125, 860.4375</td> | City of Albany | NY | WPWW868 | 860.2125, 860.4375 |
| City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML463 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML524 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML525 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WQC1937 860.4375 Colonie, Town of NY WNRN976 860.2625 Colonie, Town of NY WPJS475 860.2375 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH690 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH691 815.3875, 815.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH691 815.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WPKY419 860.9875 | City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | NY | KNBX914 | 860.7375, 860.9875 |
| City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML524 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML525 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WQC1937 860.4375 Colonie, Town of NY WNRN976 860.2625 Colonie, Town of NY WPJ8475 860.2375 New York City Transit Authority NY KB23096 815.3875, 815.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH690 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH691 815.3875, 815.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB684 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.9875 New York City Transit Authority NY WPED556 860.7250 Troy, City of NY WPED568 860.9375 Columbus, City of | City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | NY | KNER623 | 860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375 |
| City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML525 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DolTT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 Colonie, Town of NY WNRN976 860.2625 Colonie, Town of NY WPJS475 860.2375 New York City Transit Authority NY KB23096 815.3875, 815.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH690 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB684 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB684 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WPKY419 860.9875 Rockland, County of NY WPE0556 860.7250 Troy, City of NY WPPC882 860.9375 Columbus, City of OH< | City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | NY | WPML463 | 860.7625 |
| City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WPML526 860.7625 City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WQCI937 860.4375 Colonie, Town of NY WNRN976 860.2625 Colonie, Town of NY WPJS475 860.2375 New York City Transit Authority NY KB23096 815.3875, 815.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH690 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB684 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB684 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WPE0556 860.7250 Troy, City of NY WPE0568 860.7250 Troy, City of NY WPPC682 860.9375 Columbus, City of OH WNNJH360 860.9375 Forest Park, City of OH WNJH360 | City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | NY | WPML524 | 860.7625 |
| City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support NY WQCI937 860.4375 Colonie, Town of NY WNRN976 860.2625 Colonie, Town of NY WPJS475 860.2375 New York City Transit Authority NY KB23096 815.3875, 815.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH690 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB684 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.9875 Neckland, County of NY WPED556 860.7250 Rockland, County of NY WPED556 860.7250 Troy, City of NY WPPC682 860.9375 Columbus, City of OH WNIJ1360 860.9375 Grove City, City of OH WNIJ1360 860.9875 Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPG7687 860.48 | City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | NY | WPML525 | 860.7625 |
| Colonie, Town of NY WNRN976 860.2625 Colonie, Town of NY WPJS475 860.2375 New York City Transit Authority NY KB23096 815.3875, 815.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH690 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB684 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 Niskayuna, Town of NY WPKY419 860.9875 Rockland, County of NY WPED556 860.7250 Troy, City of NY WPPC682 860.9375 Columbus, City of NY WPPC882 860.2125, 860.4875, 860.9375 Grove City, City of OH WNJH360 860.9875 Grove City, City of OH WPBY922 860.2375 Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPG7687 860.4875, 860.9375 City of Norman OK WPPC871 860.7625, 860.9625 <td>City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support</td> <td>NY</td> <td>WPML526</td> <td>860.7625</td> | City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | NY | WPML526 | 860.7625 |
| Colonie, Town of NY WPJS475 860.2375 New York City Transit Authority NY KB23096 815.3875, 815.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH690 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH691 815.3875, 815.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB684 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.9875 Rockland, County of NY WPED556 860.7250 Troy, City of NY WPPC682 860.9375 Columbus, City of OH WNRJ541 860.2125, 860.4875, 860.9375, 860.9875 Forest Park, City of OH WNBY922 860.2375 Gouthwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPG7687 860.4875, 860.9375 City of Norman OK WPPC871 860.7625, 860.9625 Edmond, City of OK WPJT968 860.9375 Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 | City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | NY | WQCI937 | 860.4375 |
| New York City Transit Authority NY KB23096 815.3875, 815.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH690 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH691 815.3875, 815.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB684 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WPED556 860.7250 NY WPPC682 860.9375 860.9375 Columbus, City of NY WPPC682 860.9375 Columbus, City of OH WNJH360 860.9875 Forest Park, City of OH WNJH360 860.9875 Grove City, City of OH WPBY922 860.2375 Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPG7687 860.4875, 860.9375 City of Norman OK WPD7868 </td <td>Colonie, Town of</td> <td>NY</td> <td>WNRN976</td> <td>860.2625</td> | Colonie, Town of | NY | WNRN976 | 860.2625 |
| New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH690 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH691 815.3875, 815.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB684 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WPED556 860.9875 Rockland, County of NY WPED556 860.9375 NY WPPC682 860.9375 Columbus, City of OH WNRJ541 860.2125, 860.4875, 860.9375, 860.9875 Grove City, City of OH WPBY922 860.2375 Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPG7687 860.4875, 860.9375 City of Norman OK WPPC871 860.7625, 860.9625 Edmond, City of OK WPJT968 860.9375 Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 | Colonie, Town of | NY | WPJS475 | 860.2375 |
| New York City Transit Authority NY KNEH691 815.3875, 815.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB684 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 Niskayuna, Town of NY WPKY419 860.9875 Rockland, County of NY WPED556 860.7250 Troy, City of NY WPPC682 860.9375 Columbus, City of OH WNRJ541 860.2125, 860.4875, 860.9375, 860.9875 Forest Park, City of OH WNJH360 860.9875 Grove City, City of OH WPBY922 860.2375 Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPGY687 860.4875, 860.9375 City of Norman OK WPPC871 860.7625, 860.9625 Edmond, City of OK WPVJ1968 860.9375 Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 860.7375 | New York City Transit Authority | NY | KB23096 | 815.3875, 815.4125 |
| New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB684 860.3875, 860.4125 New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 Niskayuna, Town of NY WPKY419 860.9875 Rockland, County of NY WPED556 860.7250 Troy, City of NY WPPC682 860.9375 Columbus, City of OH WNRJ541 860.2125, 860.4875, 860.9375, 860.9875 Forest Park, City of OH WNJH360 860.9875 Grove City, City of OH WPBY922 860.2375 Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPG7687 860.4875, 860.9375 City of Norman OK WPPC871 860.7625, 860.9625 Edmond, City of OK WPJT968 860.9375 Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 860.7375 | New York City Transit Authority | NY | KNEH690 | 860.3875, 860.4125 |
| New York City Transit Authority NY WNUB732 860.3875, 860.4125 Niskayuna, Town of NY WPKY419 860.9875 Rockland, County of NY WPED556 860.7250 Troy, City of NY WPPC682 860.9375 Columbus, City of OH WNRJ541 860.2125, 860.4875, 860.9375, 860.9875 Forest Park, City of OH WNJH360 860.9875 Grove City, City of OH WPBY922 860.2375 Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPGY687 860.4875, 860.9375 City of Norman OK WPPC871 860.7625, 860.9625 Edmond, City of OK WPJT968 860.9375 Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 860.7375 | New York City Transit Authority | NY | KNEH691 | 815.3875, 815.4125 |
| Niskayuna, Town of NY WPKY419 860.9875 Rockland, County of NY WPED556 860.7250 Troy, City of NY WPPC682 860.9375 Columbus, City of OH WNRJ541 860.2125, 860.4875, 860.9375, 860.9875 Forest Park, City of OH WNJH360 860.9875 Grove City, City of OH WPBY922 860.2375 Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPGY687 860.4875, 860.9375 City of Norman OK WPPC871 860.7625, 860.9625 Edmond, City of OK WPJT968 860.9375 Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 860.7375 | New York City Transit Authority | NY | WNUB684 | 860.3875, 860.4125 |
| Rockland, County of NY WPED556 860.7250 Troy, City of NY WPPC682 860.9375 Columbus, City of OH WNRJ541 860.2125, 860.4875, 860.9375, 860.9875 Forest Park, City of OH WNJH360 860.9875 Grove City, City of OH WPBY922 860.2375 Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPGY687 860.4875, 860.9375 City of Norman OK WPPC871 860.7625, 860.9625 Edmond, City of OK WPVJ1968 860.9375 Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 860.7375 | New York City Transit Authority | NY | WNUB732 | 860.3875, 860.4125 |
| Troy, City of NY WPPC682 860.9375 Columbus, City of OH WNRJ541 860.2125, 860.4875, 860.9375, 860.9875 Forest Park, City of OH WNJH360 860.9875 Grove City, City of OH WPBY922 860.2375 Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPGY687 860.4875, 860.9375 City of Norman OK WPPC871 860.7625, 860.9625 Edmond, City of OK WPVM206 860.7375 Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 860.7375 | Niskayuna, Town of | NY | WPKY419 | 860.9875 |
| Columbus, City of OH WNRJ541 860.2125, 860.4875, 860.9375, 860.9875 Forest Park, City of OH WNJH360 860.9875 Grove City, City of OH WPBY922 860.2375 Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPGY687 860.4875, 860.9375 City of Norman OK WPPC871 860.7625, 860.9625 Edmond, City of OK WPJT968 860.9375 Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 860.7375 | Rockland, County of | NY | WPED556 | 860.7250 |
| Forest Park, City of OH WNJH360 860.9875 Grove City, City of OH WPBY922 860.2375 Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPGY687 860.4875, 860.9375 City of Norman OK WPPC871 860.7625, 860.9625 Edmond, City of OK WPJT968 860.9375 Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 860.7375 | Troy, City of | NY | WPPC682 | 860.9375 |
| Forest Park, City of OH WNJH360 860.9875 Grove City, City of OH WPBY922 860.2375 Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPGY687 860.4875, 860.9375 City of Norman OK WPPC871 860.7625, 860.9625 Edmond, City of OK WPJT968 860.9375 Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 860.7375 | | ОН | WNRJ541 | 860.2125, 860.4875, 860.9375, 860.9875 |
| Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority OH WPGY687 860.4875, 860.9375 City of Norman OK WPPC871 860.7625, 860.9625 Edmond, City of OK WPJT968 860.9375 Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 860.7375 | Forest Park, City of | ОН | | 860.9875 |
| City of Norman OK WPPC871 860.7625, 860.9625 Edmond, City of OK WPJT968 860.9375 Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 860.7375 | Grove City, City of | ОН | WPBY922 | 860.2375 |
| Edmond, City of OK WPJT968 860.9375 Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 860.7375 | Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority | ОН | WPGY687 | 860.4875, 860.9375 |
| Lincoln, County of OK WPVM206 860.7375 | City of Norman | OK | WPPC871 | 860.7625, 860.9625 |
| | | OK | WPJT968 | 860.9375 |
| Oklahoma, State of OK KNBU457 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875 | | OK | WPVM206 | 860.7375 |
| | Oklahoma, State of | OK | KNBU457 | 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875 |

| Licenses | СТ | Call Siam | Francisco |
|--|----------|----------------------|---|
| Licensee Oklahoma, State of | ST OK | Call Sign WPIP614 | Frequencies 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875 |
| Oklahoma, State of | _ | WPIP618 | 860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7125 |
| Oklahoma, State of | | WQCQ850 | 860.9875 |
| Oklahoma, State of | | WQCQ878 | 860.7125 |
| Oklahoma, State of | | WQFN465 | 860.7125 |
| Oklahoma, State of | | WQFN466 | 860.7375 |
| Oklahoma, State of | | WQFN467 | 860.2625 |
| Oklahoma, State of | | WQFR618 | 860.2125 |
| Oklahoma, State of | _ | WQFR886 | 860.4875 |
| Oklahoma, State of | _ | WQFR887 | 860.7625 |
| Oklahoma, State of | | WQFR889 | 860.9375 |
| Oklahoma, State of | | WQFR890 | 860.2375 |
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WQFZ462 | 860.7125 |
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WQGF211 | 860.4375 |
| Shawnee, City of | OK | WNWV280 | 860.4625 |
| Bend, City of | OR | WNVN568 | 860.9625 |
| City of Portland | OR | WNVL283 | 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.7125 |
| City of Portland | OR | WNZY850 | 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.7125 |
| City of Portland | OR | WPFQ300 | 860.8125, 860.8625 |
| Deschutes, County of | OR | WPHE354 | 860.7375, 860.9875 |
| Deschutes, County of | OR | WPJR649 | 860.2125, 860.9375 |
| Jackson County Juvenile Department | OR | WQCC874 | 860.2375 |
| Redmond, City of | OR | WQAY688 | 860.7625 |
| Salem, City of | OR | WPKB609 | 860.4875 |
| Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency | OR | WNSK475 | 860.2375, 860.7375 |
| | | | |
| Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency | OR | WQES432 | 860.2375, 860.7375 |
| | | | |
| Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency | OR | WQES436 | 860.2375, 860.7375 |
| | | | |
| Allegheny County Emergency Services | PA | KNJH332 | 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.7625 |
| Allegheny County Emergency Services | PA | WNWC473 | 860.2125, 860.4875 |
| Allegheny County Emergency Services | PA | WPJG234 | 860.2125, 860.4875 |
| Allentown, City of | PA | WPJK416 | 860.9375 |
| Carlisle, Borough of | PA | KNNK871 | 860.2375, 860.4875 |
| Chester, County of | PA | KNNM504 | 860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9875 |
| Chester, County of | PA | WPAJ870 | 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875 |
| Chester, County of | PA | WPAJ871 | 860.2625, 860.4375 |
| Chester, County of | PA | WPCN956 | 860.2375 |
| Chester, County of | _ | WPCN957 WPHC513 | 860.2375 860.2625 |
| Chester, County of | | | |
| Chester, County of Chester, County of | _ | WPHC515 | 860.2625 |
| Chester, County of | PA PA | WPHC705 WPHC709 | 860.4375, 860.4875 |
| Chester, County of | PA | WPHC709 WPHC717 | 860.4375, 860.4875 860.4375 |
| Chester, County of | PA | WPHK779 | 860.4875 |
| | PA | WPJR834 | |
| Chester, County of Chester, County of | PA | WPKX415 | 860.2625 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| Chester, County of | PA | WPMJ468 | 860.4375 |
| Chester, County of | PA | WPWE515 | 860.4875 |
| Chester, County of | PA | WPWI590 | 860.4875 |
| Chester, County of | PA | WPYE456 | 860.2375, 860.4875 |
| Chester, County of | PA | WPYG324 | 860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875 |
| Chester, County of | PA | WPYH558 | 860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875 |
| Commonwealth of Penna Bloomsburg University | PA | WPGD607 | 860.8375 |
| Cumberland County, Pennsylvania Department of | PA | WQAB589 | 860.4375 |
| Emergency Preparedness | l | | |
| Fayette, County of | PA | WPDS263 | 860.2375 |
| Fayette, County of | PA | WPDS263 | 860.4625 |
| Fayette, County of | PA | WPDS263 | 860.9875 |
| Lower Allen, Township of | PA | WPAP650 | 860.9875 |
| Luzerne, County of | PA | WPMZ512 | 860.7375 |
| | | | : - |

| Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
|---|----|-----------|--|
| Luzerne, County of | PA | WPQD915 | 860.9875 |
| Luzerne, County of | PA | WPYT624 | 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| School District of Philadelphia | PA | WNKV367 | 860.8125, 860.8375, 860.9125 |
| Westmoreland, County of | PA | WPFG220 | 860.9375 |
| Westmoreland, County of | PA | WPWE776 | 860.9375 |
| Westmoreland, County of | PA | WPZS814 | 860.9375 |
| Westmoreland, County of | PA | WPZU942 | 860.9375 |
| Whitehall, Borough of | PA | WPRF753 | 860.7125 |
| Rhode Island, State of | RI | WNCX326 | 860.3125 |
| Charleston, County of | SC | WNVH447 | 860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.9375 |
| Charleston, County of | SC | WPRR560 | 860.4625 |
| Clemson, City of | SC | WPKU649 | 860.7375 |
| Greenville, County of | SC | WPDK619 | 860.7125 |
| Greenwood, County of | SC | WPOX642 | 857.7375 |
| South Carolina State Ports Authority | SC | WPLU704 | 860.7125 |
| South Carolina, State of | SC | WPWM262 | 860.9875 |
| Spartanburg, County of | SC | WPGR361 | 860.4625, 860.9375 |
| Spartanburg, County of | SC | WPKZ275 | 860.2125 |
| Spartanburg, County of | SC | WPLZ536 | 860.2375, 860.2625 |
| Athens Utilities Board | TN | WQDM490 | 857.7375 |
| Bartlett, City of | TN | WNVF991 | 860.7375 |
| Clarksville, City of | TN | WQCL650 | 860.2375 |
| Jackson Energy Authority | TN | WQBJ748 | 860.7375 |
| Jackson Energy Authority | TN | WQBJ748 | 860.7375 |
| Jackson, City of | TN | WPEU965 | 860.2625, 860.7625 |
| Jackson, City of | TN | WQBB501 | 860.9875 |
| Memphis Shelby County Airport Authority | TN | WPUQ392 | 860.2625 |
| Memphis, City of | TN | WNRM943 | 860.2625 |
| Memphis, City of | TN | WPAB818 | 860.3375, 860.3875 |
| Shelby, County of | TN | WNQU968 | 860.2125 |
| Tennessee, State of | TN | WPKH401 | 860.9375 |
| Tennessee, State of | TN | WPZB947 | 858.4875 |
| Tennessee, State of | TN | WQBY860 | 857.9875, 860.2375 |
| Anderson County, Texas | TX | WPYA801 | 860.2375, 860.9875 |
| Austin, City of | TX | WNBZ704 | 860.2625, 860.4375 |
| Austin, City of | TX | WPYE613 | 860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4375 |
| Austin, City of | TX | WPYU318 | 860.4375 |
| City Public Service | TX | WNLI313 | 860.2875, 860.3375 |
| Dallas, City of | TX | WNBG573 | 860.7375, 860.9875 |
| Harris, County of | TX | WNBZ674 | 860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125 |
| Harris, County of | TX | WPPF214 | 860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125 |
| Harris, County of | TX | WQBM285 | 860.7125 |
| Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation) | TX | KNDH570 | 860.2875, 860.3125 |
| Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation) | TX | WPNW558 | 860.7375 |
| Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County | TX | KRX666 | 860.3875 |
| Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County | TX | WPTD745 | 860.3875 |
| Missouri City | TX | WNAS493 | 860.9625 |
| San Antonio, City of | TX | WPBG238 | 860.3625 |
| Texas Tech University | TX | KNNJ876 | 860.9625 |
| Travis County Emergency Service Dist #9 | TX | KSP328 | 860.9375 |
| Travis, County of | TX | WPYE612 | 860.2125, 860.2625 |
| Travis, County of | TX | WPZR511 | 860.4375 |
| Wichita Falls, City of | TX | WQAW913 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| League City, City of | TX | WNNL329 | 860.9875 |
| Murray, City of | UT | WPSK554 | 860.4375 |
| Murray, City of | UT | WPWH838 | 860.4375 |
| Murray, City of | UT | WPXK838 | 860.4375 |
| Salt Lake City, City of | UT | KNJU695 | 860.7625, 860.9625 |
| Salt Lake Department of Airports | UT | WNYR765 | 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875 |
| Salt Lake Department of Airports | UT | WQBM266 | 860.2625 |
| Salt Lake, County of | UT | WPGJ689 | 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375 |
| <u> </u> | | ,,,,,, | , |

| Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
|---|----|-----------|---|
| Utah Communications Agency Network | UT | KNIV722 | 860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, |
| | | | 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9625, |
| | | | 860.9875 |
| Utah Communications Agency Network | UT | WQCE706 | 860.7125 |
| Utah, County of | UT | WPZV887 | 860.2125, 860.9375 |
| Arlington, County of | VA | KNIQ704 | 860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375 |
| Fairfax, County of | VA | KNIH412 | 860.2625 |
| Fairfax, County of | VA | WNAJ365 | 860.2625 |
| Virginia Beach, City of | VA | WNAU439 | 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375 |
| Virginia Beach, City of | VA | WNSS359 | 860.4875, 860.7375 |
| Virginia, Commonwealth of (Department of Corrections) | VA | WPIZ624 | 860.4875 |
| Virginia, Commonwealth of (NVCC) | VA | WPRR746 | 860.4875 |
| Clark, County of | WA | WPJY899 | 860.9875 |
| Clark, County of | WA | WPLR403 | 860.9625 |
| Clark, County of | WA | WPLX749 | 860.7625, 860.9375 |
| Clark, County of | WA | WQEQ542 | 860.9875 |
| King, County of | WA | WQBZ725 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA | WA | KNNJ860 | 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375 |
| Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA | WA | KNNJ862 | 860.2375 |
| Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA | WA | KNNJ865 | 860.2625 |
| Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA | WA | KNNJ866 | 860.2625 |
| Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA | WA | KNNJ867 | 860.4375 |
| Valley Communications Center | WA | WQBD600 | 860.2625, 860.7125 |
| East Troy, Town of | WI | WNMD420 | 860.4375 |
| Oregon Schools | WI | WPMV532 | 860.8875 |
| Ozaukee, County of | WI | WNWS961 | 860.7125, 860.7625 |
| Sheboygan, County of | WI | WPTS436 | 860.2625 |
| Watertown Water, City of | WI | WPFD727 | 860.2375 |
| Wisconsin, University of | WI | WPJH396 | 860.7875 |
| Morgan, County of | WV | WPSD704 | 860.2125 |
| Morgan, County of | WV | WPTA421 | 860.2125 |
| Morgan, County of | WV | WPTA470 | 860.2125 |

^{*} Licensee listed a Call Sign on their Expansion Band Election Form that does not have any frequencies within the Expansion Band located at 860-861 MHz (857.5-858.5 MHz in the Southeastern U.S, except within a seventy-mile radius of Atlanta where it is located at 858-858.5 MHz).

Appendix 5 Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of December 31, 2008

| | Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008 | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------|--|--|
| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Channels 1-120 | Public Safety Expansion Band | NPSPAC Band | SE-ESMR ESMR Band | Total | | |
| | | | Νι | umber of Call Sig | ns | | | |
| Wave 1 | Subtotal | 798 | 292 | 1898 | 0 | 2988 | | |
| 6 | CA - North | 107 | 88 | 136 | 0 | 331 | | |
| 7 | Colorado | 27 | 15 | 226 | 0 | 268 | | |
| 8 | NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA) | 96 | 26 | 386 | 0 | 508 | | |
| 11 | Hawaii | 55 | 5 | 28 | 0 | 88 | | |
| 13 | Illinois | 40 | 12 | 138 | 0 | 190 | | |
| 14 | Indiana | 27 | 34 | 135 | 0 | 196 | | |
| 19 | ME, NH, VT,MA, RI, CT* | 80 | 24 | 129 | 0 | 233 | | |
| 20 | MD; DC; VA - Northern | 66 | 5 | 92 | 0 | 163 | | |
| 27 | Nevada | 63 | 5 | 42 | 0 | 110 | | |
| 28 | NJ, PA, DE | 67 | 9 | 219 | 0 | 295 | | |
| 35 | Oregon | 48 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 87 | | |
| 41 | Utah | 21 | 3 | 150 | 0 | 174 | | |
| 42 | Virginia | 52 | 23 | 45 | 0 | 120 | | |
| 45 | Wisconsin | 13 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 26 | | |
| 54 | Chicago | 36 | 32 | 131 | 0 | 199 | | |
| Wave 2 | Subtotal | 482 | 176 | 771 | 7 | 1436 | | |
| 4 | Arkansas | 39 | 44 | 95 | 0 | 178 | | |
| 12 | Idaho* | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | | |
| 15 | Iowa | 16 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 38 | | |
| 16 | Kansas | 33 | 6 | 285 | 0 | 324 | | |
| 17 | Kentucky | 16 | 13 | 16 | 0 | 45 | | |
| 22 | Minnesota* | 79 | 10 | 50 | 0 | 139 | | |
| 24 | Missouri | 37 | 11 | 19 | 0 | 67 | | |
| 25 | Montana* | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | | |
| 26 | Nebraska | 10 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 45 | | |
| 32 | North Dakota* | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | | |
| 34 | Oklahoma | 25 | 3 | 38 | 0 | 66 | | |
| 38 | South Dakota | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |
| 39 | Tennessee | 43 | 30 | 56 | 7 | 136 | | |
| 40 | TX - Dallas | 38 | 21 | 46 | | | | |
| 44 | West Virginia | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 13 | | |
| 46 | Wyoming | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 10 | | |
| 49 | TX - Austin | 11 | 9 | 67 | 0 | 87 | | |
| 51 | TX - Houston | 42 | 5 | 42 | 0 | 89 | | |
| 52 | TX - Lubbock | 42 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 45 | | |
| Wave 3 | Subtotal | 573 | 198 | 942 | 240 | 1953 | | |
| 1 | Alabama | 9 | 29 | 34 | 59 | 131 | | |
| 9 | Florida | 221 | 60 | 327 | 32 | 640 | | |
| 10 | Georgia | 49 | 28 | 82 | 75 | 234 | | |
| 18 | Louisiana | 79 | 15 | 62 | 5 | 161 | | |
| 23 | Mississippi | 22 | 17 | 22 | 50 | 111 | | |
| 31 | North Carolina | 67 | 24 | 240 | 7 | 338 | | |
| 37 | South Carolina | 37 | 23 | 165 | 12 | 237 | | |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 66 | 2 | 10 | | 78 | | |
| 48 | US Virgin Islands | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | | |

Appendix 5 Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of December 31, 2008

| | Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008 | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------|--|--|
| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Channels 1-120 | Public Safety Expansion Band | NPSPAC Band | SE-ESMR ESMR Band | Total | | |
| | | | Nı | ımber of Call Sig | ns | | | |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | 893 | 291 | 1568 | 0 | 2752 | | |
| 2 | Alaska* | 23 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 25 | | |
| 3 | Arizona* | 74 | 20 | 100 | 0 | 194 | | |
| 5 | CA - South* | 138 | 98 | 378 | 0 | 614 | | |
| 21 | Michigan* | 61 | 2 | 287 | 0 | 350 | | |
| 29 | New Mexico* | 25 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 38 | | |
| 30 | NY - Albany* | 93 | 62 | 187 | 0 | 342 | | |
| 33 | Ohio* | 107 | 35 | 140 | 0 | 282 | | |
| 36 | Pennsylvania* | 12 | 9 | 241 | 0 | 262 | | |
| 43 | Washington* | 153 | 20 | 148 | 0 | 321 | | |
| 50 | TX - El Paso* | 10 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 18 | | |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio* | 16 | 16 | 27 | 0 | 59 | | |
| 54 | MI portion of Chicago* | 7 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 31 | | |
| 55 | New York - Buffalo* | 174 | 15 | 27 | 0 | 216 | | |
| 61 | Gulf of Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 62 | Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 63 | Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Total for Waves | 1-4 | 2746 | 957 | 5179 | 247 | 9129 | | |

Current Population of Canadian Border Region Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008 Stage 1 Stage 2 Total **Public Safety PSR Name** Region (PSR) **Number of Call Signs** Wave 1 Subtotal CA - North Colorado NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA) Hawaii Illinois Indiana ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT* MD; DC; VA - Northern Nevada NJ, PA, DE Oregon Utah Virginia Wisconsin Chicago Wave 2 **Subtotal** Arkansas Idaho* lowa** Kansas Kentucky Minnesota* Missouri Montana* Nebraska North Dakota* Oklahoma South Dakota Tennessee TX - Dallas West Virginia Wyoming TX - Austin TX - Houston TX - Lubbock Wave 3 **Subtotal** Alabama Florida Georgia Louisiana Mississippi North Carolina South Carolina Puerto Rico US Virgin Islands

| Current Pop | Current Population of Canadian Border Region Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008 | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|---------|-------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Public Safety | PSR Name | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Total | | | | |
| Region (PSR) | F3R Name | Nι | ımber of Call Sig | ns | | | | |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | 271 | 1418 | 1689 | | | | |
| 2 | Alaska* | 32 | 0 | 32 | | | | |
| 3 | Arizona* | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 5 | CA - South* | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 21 | Michigan* | 56 | 313 | 369 | | | | |
| 29 | New Mexico* | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 30 | NY - Albany* | 20 | 242 | 262 | | | | |
| 33 | Ohio* | 80 | 202 | 282 | | | | |
| 36 | Pennsylvania* | 4 | 202 | 206 | | | | |
| 43 | Washington* | 59 | 254 | 313 | | | | |
| 50 | TX - El Paso* | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio* | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 54 | MI portion of Chicago* | 1 | 20 | 21 | | | | |
| 55 | New York - Buffalo* | 19 | 185 | 204 | | | | |
| 61 | Gulf of Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 62 | Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 63 | Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Total for Waves | 1-4 | 394 | 1425 | 1819 | | | | |

^{**} For Non-Canadian Border PSR 15, a call sign has frequencies in both PSR 15 and PSR 22. The reconfiguration of the frequencies on this call sign in PSR 22 necessitated the reconfiguration of the same frequencies in PSR 15.

Appendix 5 Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of December 31, 2008

| Frequency Proposal Reports for Waves 1-4, as of December 31, 2008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|--------|----------|--------|
| Status | Wave 1 | | Wave 2 | | | Wave 3 | | | Wave 4 | | | | | |
| | 1-120 | Exp Band | NPSPAC | 1-120 | SE-ESMR | Exp Band | NPSPAC | 1-120 | SE-ESMR | Exp Band | NPSPAC | 1-120 | Exp Band | NPSPAC |
| FPR Sent | 87.1% | 98.6% | 99.9% | 91.3% | 100.0% | 99.6% | 99.8% | 87.0% | 97.6% | 90.5% | 100.0% | 31.7% | 42.9% | 5.9% |
| Under Prior Contract | 12.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 6.9% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.3% | 2.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Affected by Border Zone | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 64.9% | 42.6% | 93.9% |
| EA/ESMR Related Call Signs | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 10.6% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Recent grants, revised or pending proposals | 0.1% | 1.4% | 0.1% | 0.8% | 0.0% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 9.5% | 0.0% | 1.0% | 14.5% | 0.2% |
| FPRs in process | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

- * Public Safety Region (PSR) includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.
- a. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs excludes call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit. Data for Expansion Band call signs excludes call signs under prior contract and call signs for which licensees have elected not to reconfigure.
- b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- c. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Expansion Band data includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location in the Expansion Band, as the Expansion Band may be defined inside and outside the Southeast ESMR region, with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. NPSPAC data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 866-869 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Southeast ESMR Band data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 858.5-862 MHz range within the Southeast ESMR region and with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Call signs with locations in multiple PSRs are counted for each PSR. Call signs are counted within every PSR for which they have a fixed primary location.
- d. Data has been adjusted to reflect the change in the band plan in the Atlanta area pursuant to the FCC's October 5, 2005 Memorandum Opinion and Order.
- e. STA call signs are excluded from this analysis. The TA does not generally send FPRs for STA call signs unless requested by the licensee for the purposes of planning funding or contract negotiation.

Appendix 6
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| | | 1-5 Days | 6-10 Days | 11-15 Days | 16-20 Days | 21 Days or | |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|-------|
| | | from | from | from | from | More from | |
| Public Safety | | Receipt | Receipt | Receipt | Receipt | Receipt | Total |
| Region (PSR) | PSR Name | N | umber of Fr | equency Rec | onfiguration A | greements (F | RAs) |
| Wave 1 | Subtotal | 294 | 47 | 13 | 0 | Ö | 354 |
| | Multiregion | 73 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| 6 | Northern California | 31 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 7 | Colorado | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 8 | Metropolitan NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT) | 29 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 11 | Hawaii | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 13 | Illinois | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 14 | Indiana | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 19 | New England | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 20 | MD; DC; VA - Northern | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 27 | Nevada | 18 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 28 | Eastern Pennsylvania | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 35 | Oregon | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 41 | Utah | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 42 | Virginia | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 45 | Wisconsin | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 54 | Southern Lake Michigan | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Wave 2 | Subtotal | 167 | 35 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 205 |
| | Multiregion | 47 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 4 | Arkansas | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 12 | Idaho* | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 15 | lowa | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 16 | Kansas | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 22 | Minnesota | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 24 | Missouri | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 25 | Montana | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 32 | North Dakota* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Oklahoma | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 39 | Tennessee | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 40 | Texas (Central & Northeast) | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 44 | West Virginia | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 46 | Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | Texas - Central (Austin Area) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 51 | Texas - East (Houston Area) | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 52 | Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |

Appendix 6
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety | | 1-5 Days from Receipt | from Receipt | from Receipt | 16-20 Days from Receipt | More from Receipt | Total | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs) | | | | | | | | | |
| Wave 3 | Subtotal | 216 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 239 | | | | |
| | Multiregion | 63 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 69 | | | | |
| 1 | Alabama | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | | | | |
| 9 | Florida | 50 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 58 | | | | |
| 10 | Georgia | 33 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | | | | |
| 18 | Louisiana | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | | | | |
| 23 | Mississippi | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | | | | |
| 31 | North Carolina | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22 | | | | |
| 37 | South Carolina | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | | | |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | | | |
| 48 | US Virgin Islands | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | | | | |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | 104 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 112 | | | | |
| | Multiregion | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 | | | | |
| 2 | Alaska | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | | | | |
| 3 | Arizona* | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | | | | |
| 5 | CA - South* | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | | | | |
| 10 | Georgia | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | |
| 18 | Louisiana | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | | | | |
| 21 | Michigan* | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | |
| 23 | Mississippi | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | |
| 29 | New Mexico* | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | | | | |
| 30 | Eastern Upstate NY* | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | | | |
| 33 | Ohio* | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | | | | |
| 36 | Pennsylvania* | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | | | | |
| 43 | Washington* | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | | | |
| 50 | TX - El Paso* | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | | | | |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio* | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | | | | |
| 54 | MI portion of Chicago* | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | | | | |
| 55 | New York - Buffalo* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| 63 | Guam* | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Totals for Wave | es 1-4 | 781 | 108 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 910 | | | | |

^{*} Public Safety Region includes international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.

Appendix 7
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | 1-5 Days from Receipt | 6-10 Days from Receipt Iumber of Fre | 11-15 Days from Receipt equency Reco | 16-20 Days from Receipt onfiguration A | 21 Days or More from Receipt greements (F | Total RAs) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|--|---------------|
| Wave 1 | Subtotal | 246 | 39 | 9 | | 0 | 294 |
| | Multiregion | 30 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| 6 | Northern California | 25 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 7 | Colorado | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 8 | Metropolitan NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT) | 36 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 11 | Hawaii | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 13 | Illinois | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 14 | Indiana | 15 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 19 | New England | 37 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 20 | MD; DC; VA - Northern | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 27 | Nevada | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 28 | Eastern Pennsylvania | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 35 | Oregon | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 41 | Utah | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 42 | Virginia | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 45 | Wisconsin | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 54 | Southern Lake Michigan | 31 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Wave 2 | Subtotal | 174 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 202 |
| | Multiregion | 16 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 4 | Arkansas | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 12 | Idaho* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Iowa | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 16 | Kansas | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 22 | Minnesota | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 24 | Missouri | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 25 | Montana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 32 | North Dakota* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Oklahoma | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | Tennessee | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 40 | Texas (Central & Northeast) | 27 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| 44 | West Virginia | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 46 | Wyoming | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | Texas - Central (Austin Area) | 13 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 51 | Texas - East (Houston Area) | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 52 | Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Appendix 7
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2008

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | 1-5 Days from Receipt | 6-10 Days from Receipt | 11-15 Days from Receipt equency Reco | 16-20 Days from Receipt | 21 Days or More from Receipt | Total |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|
| | Subtotal | 144 | 35 | o Quericy Reco | | greements (r | 179 |
| vave 5 | Multiregion | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 1 | Alabama | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| | Florida | 32 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 10 | Georgia | 31 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 18 | Louisiana | 14 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| | Mississippi | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| | North Carolina | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 37 | South Carolina | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 48 | US Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | 33 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 37 |
| | Multiregion | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 2 | Alaska | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | Arizona* | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | CA - South* | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 21 | Michigan* | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 29 | New Mexico* | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 30 | Eastern Upstate NY* | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 33 | Ohio* | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 36 | Pennsylvania* | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 43 | Washington* | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 50 | TX - El Paso* | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio* | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| | MI portion of Chicago* | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 55 | New York - Buffalo* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals for Wave | s 1-4 | 597 | 101 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 712 |

^{*} Public Safety Region includes international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.

| | Stage 1 Completed FRAs* | TA Completion Certification | Deal |
|------|---|-----------------------------|------|
| | Deal Name | Receipt Date | Coun |
| Vave | 1 | · | |
| 1 | Cunningham, Barbara | 5/3/06 | |
| 2 | | 1/26/07 | |
| 3 | Thelen Sand & Gravel Inc | 7/10/07 | |
| 4 | Commonwealth Repeater Services, Inc. | 7/12/06 | |
| 5 | Henderson, Henry | 6/26/06 | |
| 6 | Vico Construction Company | 4/17/06 | |
| 7 | Cohen, Eliahu | 6/11/07 | |
| 8 | Anderson, Glen | 5/18/07 | |
| 9 | Smith, Alton | 5/18/06 | |
| 10 | Kuypers, John | 2/27/06 | |
| 11 | Reilly, Phillip | 6/5/07 | |
| 12 | Stoller Construction | 2/5/07 | |
| 13 | Wulf, Thomas | 7/10/06 | |
| 14 | Chapin, Barbara A. | 5/25/06 | |
| 15 | Estate of Rolland Gonsalves | 6/30/06 | |
| 16 | Ferma Corp | 9/20/06 | |
| 17 | Lodi Unified School District | 12/22/05 | |
| | Dick Anderson and Sons, Inc | 7/5/06 | |
| 19 | Cupertino Union School District | 6/6/06 | |
| 20 | York Cable Televison | 10/24/07 | |
| 21 | Billiou Ranch Inc. | 2/7/06 | |
| 22 | Murray City Power Department | 3/13/08 | |
| 23 | 841 Bishop, LLC | 7/6/06 | |
| 24 | Marco Polo Rebanding | 4/27/06 | |
| 25 | Ohio Valley Gas | 4/27/06 | |
| 26 | Resorts USA Inc. | 6/30/06 | |
| 27 | NBC Telemundo License Company | 10/9/06 | |
| 28 | Parrot Ranch Company | 2/15/06 | |
| | Hartford Hospital | 1/19/07 | |
| 30 | CSI Communications d/b/a Day Wireless Systems | 8/9/06 | |
| 31 | Hampden Communication Corp | 12/12/06 | |
| 32 | Mountaire Farms | 12/5/06 | |
| 33 | Ihilani Rebanding | 10/20/06 | |
| 34 | Binder Machinery Corporation | 4/27/06 | |
| | Adler, Philip | 2/1/07 | |
| 36 | Underground Inc | 6/21/06 | |
| 37 | Mammoth June Ski Resort, Inc. | 7/12/06 | |
| | Firstview Communications 2 | 1/27/06 | |
| | Levi Strauss & Co | 8/30/06 | |
| 40 | Browning Ferris Industries, Inc. 2005 | 6/12/07 | |
| | Total Networks Communications Inc | 8/3/06 | |
| 42 | M S Concrete | 7/26/06 | |
| 43 | Brenner, Jerry | 2/21/07 | |
| | Cure Brothers | 6/28/07 | |
| 45 | F & D Enterprises Inc. | 7/17/06 | |
| 46 | Boston Properties limited Partnership | 8/24/06 | _ |
| | A Teichert & Son Contract | 8/1/06 | |
| | Ready Mixed Concrete Company | 6/26/07 | |
| 49 | Bresnan Communications, LLC | 6/9/06 | |
| 50 | Rocky Mountain Motorists Inc. | 7/24/06 | |
| 51 | Coast Hotels and Casinos | 2/27/06 | |
| 52 | Henry Nelch & Son Co | 7/28/06 | |
| 53 | Gold Star FS INC | 4/18/06 | |

| Stage 1 Completed FRAs* | | |
|---|------------|-------|
| TA Completion Cer | tification | Deal |
| Deal Name Receipt Dat | | Count |
| 54 Church of Jesus Christ of LDS | 8/1/06 | |
| 55 Fruit Belt Service Co. Inc | 8/30/06 | |
| 56 Casino Queen INC | 7/10/06 | |
| 57 West County Transportation Agency | 6/19/06 | |
| 58 Emery, Connie R | 5/10/06 | |
| 59 Emery, Robert M | 6/6/06 | |
| 60 Steuben County 3 | 8/15/06 | |
| 61 Brandenburg, James: Brandenburg, Donald | 6/6/06 | |
| 62 Wireless Connections LLC | 10/17/06 | |
| 63 Brooks, Janis | 12/1/06 | |
| 64 Concord Body Repair LLC | 6/11/08 | |
| 65 Comcast of Connecticut, Inc. | 11/27/06 | |
| 66 San Jose Unified School District | 7/27/06 | |
| 67 Computer Car Inc. | 6/30/08 | |
| 68 Duncan Gordon L | 11/21/06 | |
| 69 Las Vegas Paving | 7/6/06 | |
| 70 Sobota, Carolyn | 6/16/06 | |
| 71 PK Smith Limousine Co., Inc.2 | 6/8/06 | |
| 72 Weleczki, Alan M | 12/28/06 | |
| 73 Rheins, Joy 2 | 5/23/07 | |
| 74 RCMH Car Company, Inc. 2 | 6/14/07 | |
| 75 Storer, Donald B. | 6/21/06 | |
| 76 Praire Group Inc. | 3/15/07 | |
| 77 Super Shuttle International 2 | 5/24/06 | |
| 78 Mathews Ferderick and Chas | 12/6/06 | |
| 79 O'Hare Midway Limousine Svcs, Inc. | 6/4/07 | |
| 80 Irving Materials | 7/28/06 | |
| 81 ISG Indiana Harbor Inc. | 5/16/06 | |
| 82 Perdue Farms Inc. | 6/23/06 | |
| 83 London Towncars Inc | 8/8/06 | |
| 84 Lowery, Nelda | 2/15/06 | |
| 85 New Star Fresh Foods LLC | 10/9/06 | |
| 86 Access Ag, Inc. | 5/24/06 | |
| 87 Blankenbechler, Steve | 8/1/06 | |
| 88 Fowlkes, Edddie | 8/31/06 | |
| 89 MCM Construction # 2 Swap | 2/12/08 | |
| 90 Wireless Market Source 2 | 1/26/06 | |
| 91 Transit Mix Concrete Co | 1/24/06 | |
| 92 Boyar, Adam | 4/25/06 | |
| 93 Sunset Scavenger Corp | 4/27/06 | |
| 94 Shuluk, Greg | 8/28/06 | |
| 95 Mc Clatchy Newspaper Inc. | 1/19/07 | |
| 96 Lely, Gerald | 7/12/06 | |
| 97 Jung, Mark | 9/11/06 | |
| 98 Crader, R David | 4/17/06 | |
| 99 Central Bucks School District | 4/13/07 | |
| 100 Peltzer Farm Management, Inc. | 3/31/08 | |
| 101 Patel, Shailesh N #3 (swap) | 6/14/06 | |
| 102 Wright Jr, Gary L | 10/23/06 | |
| 103 Yamaoka Bros Inc | 5/25/06 | |
| 104 Wilbur Ellis Company DBA Helm Fertilizers | 7/17/06 | |
| 105 Community Repeater Guidance CA | 8/1/06 | |
| 106 Communications Systems Specialist, Inc. | 6/9/06 | |
| 107 Radisson Hotel and Conference Center | 7/26/06 | |

| | Stage 1 Completed FRAs* | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| | otage i oonipicted i KAS | TA Completion Certification | Deal |
| | Deal Name | Receipt Date | Count |
| 108 | Gallagher Asphalt # 2 | 5/23/06 | |
| 109 | Hudson, County of | 4/24/08 | |
| 110 | Jeffersonville,City of | 8/4/06 | |
| | Black, Daniel | 6/1/06 | |
| | County of Ocean New Jersey | 3/15/07 | |
| | Steg, Bernard (2) | 5/10/06 | |
| | Stock, Marcia | 2/15/06 | |
| 115 | Secom Communications 2 | 7/10/06 | |
| | Whitley County Consolidated Schools | 8/16/06 | |
| | High Peak Communications, LLC | 4/21/06 | |
| | Central Jersey Irrigation | 7/24/06 | |
| | Conley, George | 11/2/06 | |
| | Clifford Broman & Sons Trucking Inc | 2/16/06 | |
| | Wheatridge, City of | 8/15/06 | |
| | Ruffin Gaming LLC | 4/23/06 | |
| | Denver Public Schools | 9/6/06 | |
| | Hernandez, David A | 6/12/07 | |
| | Emergency Radio Services Inc. 2 | 1/29/07 | |
| | Shearer Communications | 8/15/06 | |
| | Craig Antenna Service 2 | 12/20/07 | |
| | Tilden, Robert | 8/9/06 | |
| | Prentiss Properties (rebanding) | 7/13/06 | |
| | Espinoza, Raul | 4/27/06 | |
| | Adams, Don Jr. | 5/17/07 | |
| | Triangle Wireless Inc | 7/12/06 | |
| | Oregon, State of | 12/22/05 | |
| | Detweiler, Scott R. | 5/15/06 | |
| | Donald, C. Alger | 8/15/06 | |
| | Aggregate Inc. | 1/17/07 | |
| | Easton, Town of | 7/28/06 | |
| | Albany, City of | 5/3/06 | |
| 139 | Horizon Mobile Communications Inc. | 3/29/07 | |
| _ | RA Comm Inc | 1/10/06 | |
| | Mora, Albert | 7/2/07 | |
| | Salisbury, Town of | 5/9/06 | |
| | Sprague, Town of | 2/27/07 | |
| | Radon - Norcom | 3/15/07 | |
| | Laidlaw Transit | 5/20/08 | |
| | Lyon, Corey M | 8/4/06 | |
| | Jokers, Lloyd L | 2/7/06 | |
| | Taylor, Eugene J. | 4/21/06 | |
| | Methvin, Madeline | 9/5/06 | |
| | Clean Water Services | 7/21/06 | |
| | Denver Radio Electronics & Technology | 7/19/06 | |
| | Sangamon, County of (Courthouse) | 8/4/06 | |
| | Caterpillar | 2/15/07 | |
| | Townsend Booth | 5/23/07 | |
| | RSK World, Inc. Rebanding | 7/17/07 | |
| | Wecom Inc. | 12/6/06 | |
| | Soundview Spectrum, LLC | 3/15/07 | |
| | ANAT, LLC Rebanding | 7/23/07 | |
| | Orosz, Joseph Rebanding | 6/11/07 | |
| | G & G Communications Inc. Rebanding | 11/27/06 | |
| 161 | Excalibur Hotel Casino | 8/28/06 | |

| | Stage 1 Completed FRAs* TA Completion Certification | | |
|-----|--|--------------|---------------|
| | Deal Name | Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| 162 | Mandalay Corp | 8/3/06 | |
| - | Crane, Bert | 10/16/06 | |
| | Morgan, County of (WV) | 11/10/06 | |
| | Montgomery, County of 2005 | 10/24/07 | |
| - | Wireless US, LLC | 6/10/08 | |
| | Tooele, County Of | 5/9/06 | |
| | Herby, Clinton | 4/17/06 | |
| | Stamford Fire Department | 10/6/06 | |
| | Aluminium Company of America 1 | 7/28/06 | |
| 171 | Celco SND Comm Inc | 7/7/06 | |
| 172 | Lectro Communications Inc. | 8/9/06 | |
| | Lockheed Martin Corporation | 3/6/07 | |
| 174 | Waste Management Holdings Inc. (2) | 9/6/06 | |
| 175 | Mobile Radio of Kokomo Inc. | 10/5/06 | |
| 176 | Viking Land Mobile Communications, Inc. (2) | 5/9/06 | |
| 177 | Plantings By the Sea | 4/25/06 | |
| 178 | Hardy Plumbing , Heating & Air Conditioning, Inc | 8/16/06 | |
| | Globeground North America LLC | 11/20/06 | |
| | Hudson General -NY | 5/24/07 | |
| 181 | Slater, Stuart R | 12/23/05 | |
| 182 | Veach, Dorothy | 8/30/06 | |
| | Aeronautical Radio - NJ | 10/6/06 | |
| 184 | CNF Tranportation Inc. | 12/8/06 | |
| 185 | Connecticut State of , CCSU | 1/8/07 | |
| 186 | Salvation Army Harrisburg | 7/17/06 | |
| | Technology Associates, LLC | 8/29/06 | |
| | Sangamon, County of (Mobile Data) | 9/8/06 | |
| 189 | Taylor, Dorothy L | 4/27/06 | |
| 190 | Chicago, City of - Streets & Sanitation | 6/14/06 | |
| 191 | Myers + Brown # 6 | 5/27/08 | |
| 192 | Myers, Natalie | 5/18/06 | |
| 193 | Brock, Harold: Samuel: Dorothy (Nevada) | 7/6/06 | |
| 194 | Shledon Gwyneth A # 4 (swap) | 7/20/06 | |
| 195 | Motient (Deal I - L120) | 4/17/07 | |
| 196 | Township of Berkeley | 3/10/08 | |
| 197 | PCS Communications | 9/19/07 | |
| 198 | State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (2) | 9/26/06 | |
| 199 | State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (4) | 9/27/06 | |
| 200 | Frontier Radio | 2/9/07 | |
| 201 | Redland Genstar Inc. | 3/25/08 | |
| 202 | Colorado, State of (L-120) | 5/30/07 | |
| 203 | Cook Dupage Transportation | 8/21/06 | |
| 204 | New York Communications Company Inc. | 6/1/07 | |
| 205 | WP Company LLC | 3/2/07 | |
| 206 | Myers Brothers Inc | 10/23/07 | |
| 207 | Vectren Corporation/ Indiana Gas Co. Inc. | 5/31/07 | |
| 208 | Harris Communications / A-1-A TA Inc. | 4/14/08 | |
| 209 | CU Radio Enterprises, Inc. | 8/8/06 | |
| 210 | K5 Contruction | 1/24/07 | |
| 211 | Mid - State Mobile Radio Inc. | 12/8/06 | |
| 212 | Atlantic, County of | 10/3/07 | |
| 213 | Triple C Communications | 12/6/06 | |
| 214 | Hale, Robert | 5/6/08 | |
| 215 | Willard Agri Svc | 1/19/07 | |

| | Stage 1 Completed FRAs* | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------|---|---------------|
| Dea | al Name | TA Completion Certification Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| | age Resorts Inc. | 3/26/07 | Count |
| | nite Contruction Company | 9/8/06 | |
| | inia Commonwealth of DMV | 5/27/08 | |
| | dham, Town of | 11/20/06 | |
| | t Student, Inc. | 4/19/07 | |
| | A Mutual Associates Inc. | 1/23/07 | |
| | ler Communications Company | 11/1/06 | |
| - | aney Enterprises (2) | 3/8/07 | |
| | per Merion, Township of | 9/8/06 | |
| | LLC #2 (swap) | 3/21/07 | |
| | e Fresh Fruit | 1/25/07 | |
| | Cab Inc dba Nellis Cab Company | 5/11/07 | |
| | iam A. Hazel | 2/2/07 | |
| | ro Electronics | 3/16/07 | |
| | Enterprises | 2/21/07 | |
| | ght Communications Midwest LLC | 2/26/07 | |
| | ted Communications Co | 5/27/08 | |
| | eph J Albanese Inc | 2/15/07 | |
| | Boeing Company | 8/30/06 | |
| | Harbor, Township of | 9/7/07 | |
| | ois, State of | 10/12/06 | |
| | rada Ready Mix II | 2/27/07 | |
| | riden, City of | 3/21/07 | |
| | ode Island, State of | 3/20/07 | |
| 240 Mot | • | 4/20/07 | |
| | V. Miller & Sons Inc | 2/8/07 | |
| - | ere & Company | 11/2/06 | |
| | nton, City of | 4/6/07 | |
| 244 TKT | · | 11/2/06 | |
| | ntgomery Shuttle | 4/11/07 | |
| | silva Gates Const. | 7/2/07 | |
| - | awan Farming (Re-band) | 3/27/07 | |
| | rari, Julia (Plote) | 6/16/06 | |
| | J Gallo Winery | 8/14/06 | |
| | y Ellen Brewer (Lundy) | 2/26/07 | |
| | nington, Agnes | 5/15/07 | |
| | cksilver Express Courier | 5/19/08 | |
| 253 Petr | | 10/17/06 | |
| | jinia, Commonwealth of - CNU | 5/27/08 | |
| - | stonbury Police Department | 3/15/07 | |
| | e City Taxi Coach Association, Inc. | 4/30/07 | |
| | er Rock Crushing Company | 11/27/06 | |
| | on, County of | 10/25/07 | |
| | derick County, MD | 2/9/07 | |
| | noma County, ND | 3/1/07 | |
| | rid Whiteside | 6/26/07 | |
| | . Wireless, INC | 1/29/07 | |
| | ham School services - PHI, PA | 5/1/07 | |
| | ham School services - CHI, IL | 5/1/07 | |
| | ham School Services - Decatur, IL | 5/23/07 | |
| | ham Scool Services - North CA | 5/1/07 | |
| - | nberland, County of | 6/5/07 | |
| | idemy Express | 7/10/07 | |
| | n E Sola Estate | 7/10/07 | |
| 209 JUN | וו ב טטומ באנמנס | 1/23/07 | |

| | Stage 1 Completed FRAs* | | |
|------|--|---|---------------|
| | Deal Name | TA Completion Certification Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| 270 | Johnson, County of | 1/31/08 | |
| | Frederick County, MD Board of Education | 2/8/07 | |
| | VCF Inc. | 7/24/07 | |
| | PG & E (Re-Band) | 5/15/07 | |
| | Wille Brother Company | 1/25/07 | |
| | Waterbury, City of | 10/24/06 | |
| | Nevada Ready Mix | 1/15/08 | |
| | DuPage, County of | 1/14/08 | |
| | Hawaii, State of | 3/16/07 | |
| | Illinois Cooperative Assoiciation, INV #4 | 4/11/08 | |
| | Ragan Communications, Inc #3 (swap) | 6/22/07 | |
| | Rock County, WI | 11/13/06 | |
| | New Haven, City of | 1/25/07 | |
| | Peco Energy Company | 2/1/08 | |
| | Ray's Radio #2 | 1/11/08 | |
| | Bethlehem, City of | 6/27/07 | |
| | State of Connecticut | 12/4/08 | |
| | Ocean City, Town of | 10/1/08 | |
| | Illinois Power Company | 7/12/07 | |
| | Chesterfield County, VA | 5/9/08 | |
| | Coastal SMR/ARC | 11/16/07 | |
| 290 | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1 | 11/16/07 | 290 |
| Wave | | | 230 |
| | | 11/18/08 | |
| | Conduff, Archie | 4/21/06 | |
| | Fischer, Craig D | 6/1/06 | |
| | Frontier El Dorado Refining TFM Comm Inc. | 7/13/06 | |
| | | 10/4/06 | |
| | Gateway Wireless Services 3 | 7/6/06 | |
| | Butler, Edward | 1/10/07 | |
| | Curtis Well Servicing Champion Communications Services | 3/14/06 | |
| | ' | 6/6/06 | |
| | J Lee Milligan | | |
| | Balis, Gregory K WASTE CONNECTIONS OF TENNESSEE | 6/28/06 | |
| | | 6/10/08 | |
| | Malavia, Jim | 5/9/06 | |
| | Telebeep Inc. | 6/1/06 | |
| _ | Best D & D | 5/18/06 | |
| _ | Nebco Inc. | 2/27/06 | |
| | Herby, John | 7/27/06 | |
| | Airport Fast Park | 7/23/07 | |
| | Graybill Electronics Inc. | 5/25/06 | |
| | Triple D Communications Inc | 4/27/06 | |
| _ | Seba Bros Farms Inc | 4/27/06 | |
| | Electronic Specialties, Inc | 3/8/06 | |
| _ | Fred Weber Inc. | 7/19/06 | |
| | Gerbus Bros Contruction Co | 2/28/07 | |
| | West Central Communications 2 | 7/12/06 | |
| | Scott Strouts DBA AMC Taxi | 7/5/06 | |
| | Maplewood, City of | 1/3/06 | |
| | Boyar, Chris | 6/9/06 | |
| | Roadrunner Transportation | 6/6/06 | |
| | Douglas E Morris Profit Sharing Trust | 6/29/06 | |
| | Corey Seppmann Well Drilling | 1/17/07 | |
| 31 | Davis Jr, Clifton | 8/15/06 | |

| | Stage 1 Completed FRAs* | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------------|-------|
| | | TA Completion Certification | Deal |
| | Deal Name | Receipt Date | Count |
| _ | Allied Services LLC | 7/26/06 | |
| | Battles Communications 2 | 5/15/06 | |
| 34 | Meek,Larry (Rebanding) | 10/23/07 | |
| 35 | Houston 900 Network | 6/23/06 | |
| 36 | Smith, Eleanor (2) | 5/18/06 | |
| 37 | Mattson Well Company | 2/19/07 | |
| 38 | Williamstown, City of | 8/7/06 | |
| 39 | Metro Communications LLC | 4/27/06 | |
| 40 | Hewlett Packard Company Inc. | 5/5/06 | |
| 41 | Minnesota, State of | 5/15/06 | |
| 42 | Lyondell Citgo | 3/27/06 | |
| 43 | Burkhardt, Phillip | 7/17/08 | |
| 44 | Zenk, John | 1/10/06 | |
| 45 | Johnson, Christian | 3/14/06 | |
| 46 | Myers, L E | 6/2/06 | |
| 47 | Steier, Tim | 4/21/06 | |
| 48 | Ames Construction Inc. | 8/15/06 | |
| 49 | Stier Transportation Services Inc. | 8/18/06 | |
| 50 | Herby, Martha A | 8/16/06 | |
| 51 | Raabe, Richard | 11/8/06 | |
| 52 | First Student, Inc. | 4/17/06 | |
| 53 | Alperowitz, Francine | 7/28/06 | |
| 54 | Radioland Inc. | 5/15/06 | |
| 55 | McMahon, Eric | 4/17/06 | |
| | Leonardt, Bruno | 8/1/06 | |
| - | Boulder AG | 3/20/07 | |
| 58 | Idaho Supreme Potatoes | 2/3/06 | |
| - | Wood Communications 2 | 6/28/06 | |
| - | Mid Tennessee third Mobile | 5/9/06 | |
| | Aeronautical Radio Inc | 10/27/06 | |
| | Raft River Rural Electric Corp | 1/5/06 | |
| | Lees Summit Board of Education | 12/6/05 | |
| | Alpha Wireless Communications Inc. | 3/13/07 | |
| - | Kenton County Airport Board | 6/19/06 | |
| \vdash | Lexington Fayette Urban County Government | 6/25/07 | |
| | Epperson, Jimmy A. | 5/25/06 | |
| | Miller, Cleo | 8/24/06 | |
| | Melba School District 136 | 6/6/06 | |
| | North Kansas City, City of (School District) | 7/13/06 | |
| | Airtel Wireless, LLC | 8/28/06 | |
| | Ashland Construction Communications | 7/6/06 | |
| | Craighead, County of AR | 8/16/06 | |
| | Crittenden County, AR | 11/1/06 | |
| | Grant County, AR | 3/5/07 | |
| | Holsum Bakers Inc DBA Caprock Communications | 6/9/06 | |
| | Pine Bluff, City of, AR | 6/16/06 | |
| | Stinnett Heater Treater Repairs | 5/24/06 | |
| | Sebastian, County of, AR | 4/13/07 | |
| | Minneapolis, City of | 6/19/06 | |
| | Hopkins, Terry | 6/26/06 | |
| | | 5/26/06 | |
| | Minnesota, State of - Dept. of Corrections | | |
| | Cox Communications - Wichita, KS | 5/15/06 | |
| | Wenner Farms | 3/14/07 | |
| 85 | 21st Century Wireless (L120 Rebanding) | 8/9/06 | |

| | Stage 1 Completed FRAs* | | |
|-----|---|-----------------------------|-------|
| | | TA Completion Certification | Deal |
| | Deal Name | Receipt Date | Count |
| | Comm Center /Phoenix Distributing | 4/3/07 | |
| | Bismark, City of | 12/27/06 | |
| | Entergy | 2/27/08 | |
| | Levake, Martin 2005 | 5/27/08 | |
| | Molitor Farms Inc | 8/9/06 | |
| | W G Block Co | 1/17/07 | |
| | Young, Chris: Paschall, Larry A | 3/15/07 | |
| | Hopkins, City of | 10/31/06 | |
| | Lehman Roberts Main Street Portners | 9/15/06 | |
| | Main Street Partners | 6/7/07 | |
| | Crescent, The Watonwan Farm Service | 2/26/07 9/27/06 | |
| | Eastman Chemical Company | 12/1/06 | |
| | | 10/3/06 | |
| | Hearthstone Enterprises Inc | 12/15/06 | |
| | Mustang, City of, OK Intermountain Communications of Southern Idaho Inc. #6 | 5/2/07 | |
| | | 8/24/06 | |
| | Co Op Agriculture Center Bellar Communications Co | | |
| | Hertz Rent A Car | 8/14/06 7/2/07 | |
| _ | | 10/18/07 | |
| | Laidlaw (Wave 2 and 4) Minnesota Mobile Telephone | 8/24/06 | |
| | Septran, Inc. | 12/12/07 | |
| | Michael Howell | 10/12/07 | |
| | Schmidtke, Dave | 11/1/06 | |
| | Estate of Joseph C Thames | 7/21/06 | |
| _ | Green Isle Countryside Farms | 3/28/07 | |
| | Charleston Housing | 3/22/07 | |
| | Hillard, Harold 2 | 10/17/07 | |
| | Covington, City of | 5/27/08 | |
| | City of Derby | 11/16/07 | |
| | City of Dakota Dunes | 8/28/06 | |
| | C & W Comm (Steve Gill) | 11/9/06 | |
| | Gem State | 2/5/07 | |
| | Mid American Energy Company | 4/29/08 | |
| | Snyder, Kenneth C. | 2/8/07 | |
| | AVR Inc. | 10/6/06 | |
| _ | Bass Enterprises Construction Company | 7/23/07 | |
| | C S Leasing | 11/27/06 | |
| | Midwest City | 11/2//06 | |
| | Bridgeport Tank Trucks | 8/15/07 | |
| | Grays Knob Coal Co | 10/17/07 | |
| | Hennepin County | 3/15/07 | |
| | Union Carbide Corp | 12/8/06 | |
| | Griffin, Bryan | 1/3/07 | |
| | Berry Hill, City of | 5/14/07 | |
| | Sater, Gary N. | 2/12/07 | |
| | G & D Communications | 4/19/07 | |
| | Brush, Peter | 2/1/07 | |
| | Aeronautical Radio - MS | 11/29/06 | |
| | A Communications LLC | 6/12/07 | |
| _ | John Knox Village | 11/10/06 | |
| | Baptist Medical System | 10/17/07 | |
| | Dallas Count, TX | 11/27/06 | |
| | Northwest Airlines | 3/15/07 | |
| 100 | | 3/13/01 | |

| | Stage 1 Completed FRAs* | | Deal |
|----------|--|---|-------|
| | Deal Name | TA Completion Certification Receipt Date | Count |
| 1/10 | Ford Communications | 5/24/07 | Count |
| | Anchorage, City of | 2/5/07 | |
| | W A Ellis Construction Company | 4/9/07 | |
| | Xcel Energy Services Inc | 1/18/07 | |
| | Durham School Services - MO | 5/1/07 | |
| | County Douglas - KS | 1/3/07 | |
| _ | Bliss, Robert D | 10/10/07 | |
| | Raymer, Joe R | 5/17/07 | |
| | Leavenworth, County of, KS | 6/4/07 | |
| | Quicksilver Express Courier | 7/16/08 | |
| | Action Radio & Communications INC | 10/23/06 | |
| | CenterPoint Energy Gas Transmission | 8/7/08 | |
| | Holes Inc | 2/27/07 | |
| | MO ARK Communications Inc. | 4/11/07 | |
| | Denton, City of | 1/14/08 | |
| | Peacock, John F | 8/24/07 | |
| | Electronic Engineering Co. 3 | 2/26/07 | |
| | Self Radio, Inc. and Self Brothers Oil Company | 2/18/08 | |
| _ | SR Communications Associates | 3/6/07 | |
| | Sedgwick, County of, KS | 2/19/08 | |
| | Cemstone Products Company | 2/7/07 | |
| | Mobil Oil Telcom LTD | 3/21/08 | |
| | Aldredge, RW:Kay, AP:Weishuhn G | 7/2/07 | |
| | Chevron Phillips Chemical Co LP | 5/17/07 | |
| | Callahan Tower Joint Venture | 1/5/07 | |
| | Richardson, TX City of | 10/30/06 | |
| | Occidental Permiam LTD | 2/26/07 | |
| | Kansas, State of | 3/10/08 | |
| | Anheuser Busch | 5/5/08 | |
| | Challenger Construction INC. | 6/11/07 | |
| | First Digital Holdings LLC | 10/20/08 | |
| | ConocoPhillips Communications Inc. | 9/29/08 | |
| | Chattanooga SMR Inc | 1/3/07 | |
| | Athens Utilities Board, TN | 11/17/06 | |
| | SP100 South 5th Street LLC & SP150 South Street | 3/5/07 | |
| | JRJ Paving | 5/24/07 | |
| | American Red Cross | 12/1/06 | |
| _ | JP Jenkins, Inc. | 3/28/07 | |
| | Ebenhyoh, Daniel | 2/26/07 | |
| | Central Communications & Electronics | 6/11/07 | |
| | Gladstone, City of | 8/24/07 | |
| | Capital Aggregates Inc | 10/23/07 | |
| | Conway, City of, AR | 10/21/08 | |
| | Atofina Petrochemicals Inc | 4/9/07 | |
| 100 | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2 | 4/9/07 | 183 |
| Wave | | | .50 |
| | Time Warner Entertainment-Advance/Nethouse Partnership | 3/27/06 | |
| | Curry and Company Plumbing | 5/10/06 | |
| | BJM and Associated Inc | 10/17/06 | |
| | Cargill Juice North America, Inc. | 1/3/06 | |
| | Ben Hill Griffin | 7/11/06 | |
| | North Communications of PR | 5/10/06 | |
| | Boulware, Winston | 6/20/06 | |
| | Bright House Networks, LLC | 7/19/06 | |
| <u> </u> | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | .,.5/00 | |

| | Stage 1 Completed FRAs* | | |
|----|---|--|---------------|
| | Deal Name | TA Completion Certification Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| 9 | Highland Wireless Services, L.L.C. | 5/23/06 | |
| 10 | Modern Communications of Greenville Mississippi | 7/7/06 | |
| 11 | Quadratics Inc. | 7/14/06 | |
| 12 | Rodd Electronics Contract | 6/29/06 | |
| 13 | Shreveport Communications | 7/11/06 | |
| 14 | Fulk, Gray L. | 7/26/06 | |
| 15 | Wilemon, Jerry W | 5/17/06 | |
| 16 | State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (3) | 9/27/06 | |
| 17 | Columbus City of - Medical Center | 7/2/07 | |
| 18 | Gastonia, city of , NC | 8/15/06 | |
| 19 | Ashford, City of, AL | 8/30/06 | |
| 20 | Louisburg, Town of, NC | 8/15/06 | |
| 21 | Ingram Grove Service Inc | 8/9/06 | |
| 22 | Patterson Communications & Electronics | 12/11/06 | |
| 23 | Byrd, Alan P | 8/5/06 | |
| 24 | Houston County of AL | 7/21/06 | |
| 25 | Tri Co Communications | 8/10/06 | |
| 26 | Gaston, County of, NC | 2/21/07 | |
| 27 | Mears Destination Services | 8/15/06 | |
| 28 | AFLAC | 9/15/06 | |
| 29 | Oxford, City of, NC | 10/6/06 | |
| 30 | Jack M Berry Inc. | 12/13/06 | |
| 31 | Chatco Communications | 5/17/07 | |
| 32 | Tallulah, City of, LA | 11/29/06 | |
| 33 | White, James | 9/8/06 | |
| 34 | Chattaway, Paul S | 7/25/07 | |
| 35 | Morganton, City of, NC | 8/28/06 | |
| 36 | Gunby Communications Inc. | 9/4/07 | |
| 37 | Waters Brothers Contracting | 9/15/06 | |
| 38 | Monroe - Southern | 2/22/07 | |
| 39 | Buddys Phone Patching Inc. | 9/20/06 | |
| 40 | Dothan City Schools | 3/27/07 | |
| 41 | Waste Services of Florida | 11/17/06 | |
| 42 | Sugar Cane Growers | 2/15/07 | |
| 43 | Lykes Brothers Inc | 8/1/06 | |
| 44 | C & S Communications INC | 8/15/07 | |
| 45 | Micro Technology Southeast | 3/5/07 | |
| 46 | Tallapoosa, County Of, AL | 12/11/06 | |
| | Supershuttle International | 9/6/06 | |
| 48 | Woodard Communications Corporation | 11/7/06 | |
| | APM Terminals North America | 5/14/07 | |
| | Electronic Maintenance Company | 12/19/06 | |
| | Lakeland, City Of, FL | 4/6/07 | |
| | Two Way Communications, Inc. LA | 11/2/06 | |
| | Haralson, County Of, GA | 12/13/06 | |
| | Rabalais Jr, Ronald J | 10/11/06 | |
| | Cameron, Parish Of, LA | 3/26/07 | |
| | Wireless Technology Equipment Company Inc | 10/27/06 | |
| | Horn Lake, City of, MS | 1/18/07 | |
| | Alpharetta, City of, GA | 2/15/07 | |
| | Washington, County of, FL | 11/27/06 | |
| | Charlotte, City of, NC | 11/21/06 | |
| | Vestavia Hills, City Of, AL | 12/6/06 | |
| 62 | Neighborly Care Network, Inc. | 2/12/07 | |

| | Stage 1 Completed FRAs* | | |
|-----|---|-----------------------------|-------|
| | Stage i Completed FRAS | TA Completion Certification | Deal |
| | Deal Name | Receipt Date | Count |
| 63 | FCCA LLC | 12/15/08 | |
| 64 | North Sight Communications, INC. | 7/12/07 | |
| 65 | Mead Coated Board Inc | 6/10/08 | |
| 66 | CellSMR South Inc | 10/17/06 | |
| 67 | Sea Coast Communications Inc | 5/14/07 | |
| 68 | Everglades Communications Inc | 11/20/06 | |
| 69 | Amoco Oil Company | 9/13/07 | |
| 70 | Saint Joseph Hospital INC | 10/17/06 | |
| 71 | Anderson, City Of, SC | 3/2/07 | |
| 72 | Columbus, City of, GA | 1/17/07 | |
| 73 | Gainesville, City of, GA | 3/30/07 | |
| 74 | Clyde W Sell - PR | 5/19/08 | |
| 75 | Wireless Services of Puerto Rico Inc | 3/11/08 | |
| 76 | North Carolina, State of - DOC | 2/2/07 | |
| 77 | Re Max Agents Realty Inc | 3/5/07 | |
| 78 | John Herby - VI | 4/11/08 | |
| 79 | Carroll, County Of, GA | 6/4/07 | |
| 80 | Louisiana Transit Company Inc. | 1/30/07 | |
| 81 | Forest Park, City Of, GA | 4/19/07 | |
| 82 | Martha Herby - Virgin Islands | 3/27/07 | |
| 83 | George W Garland - Virgin Islands & PR | 10/16/08 | |
| 84 | Richard Raabe - Virgin Islands & PR | 4/7/08 | |
| 85 | BCW INC | 9/18/07 | |
| 86 | McDonald Construction Corporation | 7/31/07 | |
| 87 | City of Wilson | 3/20/07 | |
| 88 | Roswell, City of, GA | 4/2/07 | |
| 89 | Mac Bee Harvesting Inc | 5/5/08 | |
| 90 | Florida, State of - State Attorney | 3/21/08 | |
| 91 | S C Department Of Mental Health, SC | 1/3/07 | |
| 92 | Orange City, Of, FL | 8/6/07 | |
| 93 | Orange, County of, FL | 10/23/07 | |
| 94 | Huntsville Radio Service | 3/27/07 | |
| 95 | Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority | 5/15/07 | |
| 96 | Shaw Industries Inc | 3/21/07 | |
| 97 | Tampa Electric Company | 11/21/06 | |
| | Carroll County Water Authority, GA | 10/1/07 | |
| | Tower Communications, Inc | 7/26/07 | |
| | Vincent, Perry | 7/25/07 | |
| | United States Sugar Corporation | 2/15/07 | |
| 102 | Palm Springs, Village of, FL | 6/19/07 | |
| 103 | Florida Hospital | 2/27/07 | |
| 104 | Rutherford Electric Membership Corp | 8/13/07 | |
| 105 | Purser, Mark | 2/26/07 | |
| | Palm Beach County Solid Waste Authority | 1/31/07 | |
| | Desoto, County of, FI | 3/28/07 | |
| | Decatur, City of, GA | 7/10/07 | |
| 109 | Donn Barr - Virigin Islands | 2/2/07 | |
| | Action Community Center | 11/27/06 | |
| | Sunstate Communications Inc | 3/2/07 | |
| 112 | Richland, City of, MS | 3/26/07 | |
| 113 | High Tech Communications Services Inc | 3/16/07 | |
| | Palm Beach, County of, FL | 3/15/07 | |
| | Atlanta, City of, GA | 9/18/07 | |
| 116 | Perry, County of | 12/27/06 | |

| | Stage 1 Completed FRAs* | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| | otage i completed i inas | TA Completion Certification | Deal |
| Deal Name | | Receipt Date | Count |
| 117 Consolidated Freightways Corpora | ation | 6/1/07 | |
| 118 Pineville, Town of, NC | | 3/12/07 | |
| 119 Communications Leasing Corp | | 4/3/07 | |
| 120 Lantana, Town of, FL | | 3/5/07 | |
| 121 Madison, Parish of, LA | | 11/17/06 | |
| 122 Hattiesburg-Laurel Regional Airpo | rt Authority, MS | 12/27/06 | |
| 123 Miami Springs, City of, FL | | 9/14/07 | |
| 124 Exxon Communications Company | | 6/23/08 | |
| 125 Fayette, County of, GA | | 4/22/08 | |
| 126 Car Comm / End of Line | | 12/14/07 | |
| 127 Wackenhut Corporation | | 6/10/08 | |
| 128 Florida, State of - Ranger Drainag | e District | 12/28/06 | |
| 129 Florida, State of - DOC | | 8/14/08 | |
| 130 M. E. Diversified Electronics, Inc | | 2/23/07 | |
| 131 Federal Express Corporation | | 3/13/07 | |
| 132 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins | urance Companies | 3/27/07 | |
| 133 South Sales Communications Inc | | 8/6/07 | |
| 134 MERIDIAN, CITY OF, MS | | 9/2/08 | |
| 135 FLOYD HEALTHCARE MANAGE | MENT INC DBA FLOYD MEDICAL CENTER | 1/17/07 | |
| 136 CHATHAM, COUNTY OF, GA | | 4/23/08 | |
| 137 GLYNN, COUNTY OF, GA | | 7/18/07 | |
| 138 MEDLEY, TOWN OF, FL | | 10/16/07 | |
| 139 Whitley's Communications Service |) | 3/1/07 | |
| 140 Two Way Radio of Carolina | | 8/13/07 | |
| 141 SAINT PETERSBURG, CITY OF, | FL | 3/5/07 | |
| 142 PINELLAS, COUNTY OF, FL | | 10/8/07 | |
| 143 Monsanto Company | | 4/18/08 | |
| 144 CONCRETE SUPPLY COMPANY | , | 7/16/07 | |
| 145 SUMITOMO LIFE REALTY NY IN | С | 8/6/07 | |
| 146 Targa Midstream Services Limited | Partnership | 3/24/08 | |
| 147 GWINNETT, COUNTY OF, GA | | 1/30/07 | |
| 148 LAWRENCEVILLE, CITY OF, GA | | 2/27/07 | |
| 149 SNELLVILLE, CITY OF, GA | | 4/19/07 | |
| 150 COLLINS, ROLAND | | 10/3/07 | |
| 151 Bushnell, City of FL / Bushnell Util | ity Company Inc | 9/25/07 | |
| 152 GAINESVILLE, CITY OF, FL | | 10/16/07 | |
| 153 Alabama, University of | | 4/16/07 | |
| 154 CORAL SPRINGS, CITY OF, FL | | 8/6/07 | |
| 155 LAUDERHILL, CITY OF, FL | | 4/14/08 | |
| 156 PALM BEACH, TOWN OF, FL | | 10/25/07 | |
| 157 University of West Florida | | 9/4/07 | |
| 158 OGLETHORPE POWER CORP | | 8/24/07 | |
| 159 ARC TRANSIT INC | | 12/15/06 | |
| 160 Chevron USA Mississippi | | 10/7/08 | |
| 161 Mississippi DOT | | 3/27/07 | |
| 162 MECKLENBURG, COUNTY OF, N | NC | 8/13/07 | |
| 163 VoiceLink Communications, Inc. | | 9/7/07 | |
| 164 NORTH CAROLINA, STATE OF | | 3/23/07 | |
| 165 BRUNS & MC KENNA 2 INC | | 7/12/07 | |
| 166 HARDRIVES OF DELRAY INC | | 4/6/07 | |
| 167 DAPHNE, CITY OF, AL | | 3/11/08 | |
| 168 MIAMI SHORES, VILLAGE OF, F | | 9/12/07 | |
| 169 MACON, CITY OF, GA | | 12/2/08 | |
| 170 Fulton, County of, GA | | 12/2/08 | |

| | Stage 1 Completed EBAs* | | |
|------|--|---|---------------|
| | Stage 1 Completed FRAs* Deal Name | TA Completion Certification Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| 171 | | 7/23/07 | Count |
| | COLUMBUS, CITY OF, MS GREATER ORLANDO AVIATION AUTHORITY, FL | | |
| | TERREBONNE, PARISH OF, LA | 2/27/08 8/15/07 | |
| | | 3/13/08 | |
| | TIFT, COUNTY OF, GA WHITE MOUNTAIN COMMUNICATIONS INC | 11/16/07 | |
| | JACKSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY, MS | 2/11/08 | |
| | | 4/14/08 | |
| | LAMAR, COUNTY OF, MS JEFFERSON LEVEE BOARD, LA | 4/14/08 | |
| | , | 10/31/08 | |
| | COCONUT CREEK, CITY OF, FL | | |
| 180 | MOSS POINT, CITY OF, MS Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3 | 5/27/08 | 180 |
| Wave | | | 100 |
| | | 4/27/06 | |
| | Keller, Mike L. Johnson, Harold L #3 | 4/27/06 3/27/06 | |
| | Hopkins, Terry (800 Rebanding) | 6/6/06 | |
| | | | |
| | J.R. Simplot Company | 12/23/05 | |
| | Josh Klassen Inc. | 6/1/06 | |
| | Rehoboth Mckinley Christian Hospital | 12/6/06 | |
| | Texas Communications Inc. | 2/5/07 | |
| | Wulf, Barbara J # 2 (swap) | 6/2/06 | |
| - | Kronenfeld, Mark | 7/12/06 | |
| | Kronenfeld, Kurt #2 (Swap) | 7/25/06 | |
| | Pagel Partnership | 3/20/07 | |
| | Sims, Vicki | 9/15/06 | |
| | Kuhn, Dale F:Kuhn, Trenton DBA Kuhns Network | 9/19/06 | |
| - | Rizzo, Alice P. | 11/9/06 | |
| - | Middlesex, Township of, PA | 9/20/06 | |
| | Schatzlein, David A. #2 | 8/1/06 | |
| | Gerhard, Stephen | 5/23/07 | |
| | Bumgarner, Jeffrey W | 5/23/07 | |
| | Geter, Kim:Geter, Regina | 10/17/07 | |
| | Chingas, Joseph | 9/18/06 | |
| | Waste Management Holdings, Inc. | 2/9/07 | |
| - | Skitronics EA Swap | 11/16/07 | |
| | Batelle Memorial Institute Inc, WA | 1/2/07 | |
| | Santa Fe, City of | 11/25/08 | |
| | Aksala Electronics Inc. | 2/21/07 | |
| | Telebeeper Guam EA Swap | 5/14/08 | |
| | Abilene, City of, TX | 6/14/07 | |
| | TUCKER, ALAN | 8/13/07 | |
| | NEW PENN MOTOR EXPRESS | 3/27/07 | |
| | SOUTHWEST WASHINGTON MEDICAL CENTER | 3/27/07 | |
| - | B&M Enterprises | 5/14/07 | |
| | PETRIE, GLENN | 5/17/07 | |
| | BLASDELL, JAMES A | 3/5/07 | |
| _ | Brock, Harold:Samuel:Dorothy | 5/14/07 | |
| | SAMNADDA, TERRY | 5/14/07 | |
| | GLEN J PETERSON AND SHIRLEY L PETERSON REVOCABLE TRUST | 4/12/07 | |
| | ELLIS COMPANY PARTNERSHIP | 8/13/07 | |
| | ABRAHAM, KYE A | 3/9/07 | |
| - | BRAUCHLE, JACK W | 2/27/07 | |
| | RON MIEDEMA CEMENT CONTRACTOR INC | 4/30/07 | |
| | STELLER, JO ANN | 4/12/07 | |
| 42 | WELLMON, STEVE | 4/30/07 | |

| Stage 1 Completed FRAs* | | | |
|-------------------------|---|---|---------------|
| | Deal Name | TA Completion Certification Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| | SMITH, JOY L | 5/25/07 | |
| | HAMILTON, COUNTY OF, OH | 8/13/07 | |
| - | SPRAGUE, JO A | 8/6/07 | |
| | WESTMORELAND, COUNTY OF, PA | 7/28/08 | |
| | KARY, LAVERNE E:KARY, EMIL J DBA KARY GROUP | 9/14/07 | |
| | P & R Spectrum Resources, Inc. | 3/10/08 | |
| | CONWAY SR, ROBERT J | 9/23/08 | |
| | GRAND RAPIDS, CITY OF, MI | 8/12/08 | |
| | WYCKOFF FARMS INC | 3/21/08 | |
| | BOLTHOUSE FARMS INC | 5/20/08 | |
| | | 8/13/07 | |
| | New York, State of - State Police/Albany Police HAMILTON, CITY OF, OH | 1/9/08 | |
| | ARROW INDUSTRIES CORP | 7/10/07 | |
| | | 8/28/07 | |
| | Capital Digitronics, Inc. CELLSMR LICENSE HOLDINGS LLC | 7/30/08 | |
| | | | |
| | SOMERSET, COUNTY OF, PA | 3/10/08 | |
| | GRAND TRAVERSE, COUNTY OF, MI | 11/21/07 | |
| | Kenneth and Eva Held Trust #2 Reband 800 | 4/30/08 | |
| | DAYTON, CITY OF, OH | 12/8/08 | |
| | Anheuser Busch Wave 4 | 7/16/08 | |
| | MESA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO 4, AZ | 6/16/08 | |
| | CINCINNATI LIMOUSINE SERVICE INC | 4/30/08 | |
| | Specialty CorporationGill | 1/11/08 | |
| | Durham School Services | 8/1/08 | |
| | PENN HILS, MUNICIPALITY OF, PA | 3/25/08 | |
| | OTTAWA, COUNTY OF, MI | 12/3/07 | |
| | HUMBLE, GARY:LEEPER, DEBRA | 12/7/07 | |
| 70 | MORAINE MATERIALS CO INC | 5/30/08 | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4 | | 70 |
| Total | Completed FRAs | | 723 |

^{* &}quot;Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the reconfiguration as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8 Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that are Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of December 31, 2008

| | Stage 1 FRAs Pending TA Completio | n Certification Review | |
|------------|--|---|---------------|
| De | eal Name | TA Completion Certification Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| Wave 1 | | | |
| 1 Ch | narter, Tom or Perry DBA - T&P Farms | 7/16/2008 | |
| 2 Ar | nheuser Busch Wave 1 | 7/16/2008 | |
| 3 Ur | nited Illuminating Company | 10/14/2008 | |
| 4 La | a Rue Communications | 6/23/2008 | |
| 5 Ma | anassas, City of | 5/27/2008 | |
| Sı | ubtotal FRA count for Wave 1 | | ţ |
| Wave 2 | | | |
| 1 Fa | ayette, County of | 9/13/2007 | |
| 2 Pit | tcock and Williams | 10/1/2008 | |
| Sı | ubtotal FRA count for Wave 2 | | 2 |
| Wave 3 | | | |
| 1 Mo | ountain Park, City of, GA | 2/26/07 | |
| 2 C/ | APE CORAL, CITY OF, FL | 3/11/08 | |
| Sı | ubtotal FRA count for Wave 3 | | 2 |
| Wave 4 | | | |
| 1 Cl | ark County, OR | 10/30/08 | |
| 2 KE | ENT, COUNTY OF, MI | 11/11/08 | |
| Sı | ubtotal FRA count for Wave 4 | | 2 |
| Total FRAs | Pending TA Completion Certification Review | | 11 |

| | Stage 1 Completed PFAs* | | | |
|------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|--|
| | 511 | TA Completion Certification | Deed Green | |
| Wave 1 | Deal Name | Receipt Date | Deal Count | |
| | Illinois Power Company | 7/12/2007 | | |
| | Boston, City of - Police (MA) | 5/12/2008 | | |
| | Roanoke, County of (VA) | 12/15/2006 | | |
| | Chesterfield County, VA | 1/15/2008 | | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1 | 1/13/2000 | | |
| Wave 2 | | | | |
| 1 | Total Petrochemicals, USA, Inc. | 12/1/2006 | | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2 | | 1 | |
| Wave 3 | | | | |
| 1 | Chevron USA Mississippi | 9/29/2008 | | |
| 2 | JONES, COUNTY OF, MS | 4/14/2008 | | |
| 3 | LAMAR, COUNTY OF, MS | 9/28/2007 | | |
| 4 | DAPHNE, CITY OF, AL | 4/29/2008 | | |
| 5 | ALBERTVILLE, CITY OF, AL | 4/21/2008 | | |
| 6 | Duke Energy Company - Rebanding | 4/22/2008 | | |
| 7 | Pasco, County OF, FL | 7/7/2008 | | |
| 8 | Rockdale County of, GA | 11/10/2008 | | |
| 9 | COCONUT CREEK, CITY OF, FL | 4/17/2008 | | |
| 10 | TIFT, COUNTY OF, GA | 5/12/2008 | | |
| 11 | BOCA RATON, CITY OF, FL | 4/23/2008 | | |
| 12 | HOUSTON, COUNTY OF, GA | 10/15/2007 | | |
| 13 | DeKalb County Police Department, GA | 8/29/2008 | | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3 | • | 13 | |
| Wave 4 | | | | |
| 1 | SOMERSET, COUNTY OF, PA | 1/24/2008 | | |
| 2 | SLIDELL, CITY OF, LA | 1/18/2008 | | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4 | | 2 | |
| Total Comp | leted PFAs | | 20 | |

^{* &}quot;Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the PFA as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

| | Stage 2 Completed FRAs* | | |
|-------|---|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | | TA Completion Certification | |
| 1 | Deal Name | Receipt Date | Deal Coun |
| ave 1 | Milwaukee Area Tech College | 9/15/06 | |
| | Poughkeepsie, Town Of, NY | 8/6/07 | |
| | Fair Lawn, Boro of, NJ Ph II | 9/17/08 | |
| | Bluffton, City Of, IN PH II | 1/17/07 | |
| | Pendleton, Town Of, IN PH II | 1/17/07 | |
| | Bloomington, City OF | 3/15/07 | |
| | New Albany, City Of | 10/2/06 | |
| | Campbell, City of, CA | 8/11/08 | |
| | Bolingbrook, Village of, IL | 12/3/08 | |
| | Lagrange County Communications, IN | 9/11/08 | |
| | Emeryville, City of, CA | 9/16/08 | |
| | WHITE PLAINS, CITY OF, NY PH II | 7/22/08 | |
| | ADAMS, COUNTY OF, PA PH II | 7/10/07 | |
| | PORTER, TOWN OF, IN PH II | 7/16/08 | |
| | WALWORTH, COUNTY OF, WI PH II | 7/2/07 | |
| | SOUTH HADLEY, TOWN OF, MA PH II | 10/1/08 | |
| | ELWOOD, CITY OF, IN PH II | 5/17/07 | |
| | WILMINGTON, CITY OF, DE PH II | 3/15/07 | |
| | SOUTHWEST CENTRAL DISPATCH, IL PH II | 1/25/08 | |
| | WISCONSIN CENTER DISTRICT, WI PH II | 8/13/07 | |
| 21 | REDWOOD CITY, CITY OF, CA PH II | 11/21/08 | |
| | RIVERSIDE REGIONAL JAIL AUTHORITY, VA PH II | 2/19/08 | |
| | LODI, CITY OF, CA PH II | 12/5/08 | |
| 24 | BRISTOL, CITY OF, CT PH II | 9/12/07 | |
| 25 | OREGON, STATE OF, OR PH II | 10/9/08 | |
| 26 | MIDDLETOWN, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II | 7/22/08 | |
| 27 | PACIFICA, CITY OF, CA PH II | 12/9/08 | |
| 28 | SCARSDALE, VILLAGE OF, NY PH II | 10/27/08 | |
| 29 | San Bruno, City of, CA PH II | 10/7/08 | |
| 30 | NORTH ANDOVER, TOWN OF, MA PH II | 12/9/08 | |
| 31 | WATERFORD, TOWN OF, CT PH II | 5/15/08 | |
| 32 | CONTRA COSTA, COUNTY OF, CA PH II | 11/24/08 | |
| 33 | NEWTON, CITY OF, MA PH II | 6/11/08 | |
| 34 | WESTPORT, TOWN OF, CT PH II | 8/4/08 | |
| 35 | NORTON, TOWN OF, MA PH II | 9/3/08 | |
| 36 | HOWELL, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II | 7/25/08 | |
| 37 | Monterey, County of (CA) | 6/27/08 | |
| 38 | MISHAWAKA, CITY OF, IN PH II | 9/29/08 | |
| 39 | GURNEE, VILLAGE OF, IL PH II | 9/29/08 | |
| 40 | New York, State of PH II Metro 21 | 9/3/08 | |
| 41 | WALLINGFORD, TOWN OF, CT PH II | 11/7/08 | |
| 42 | New York, State of PH II OCFS | 10/23/08 | |
| 43 | Wilbraham, Town of, MA PH II STA | 9/8/08 | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1 | | |
| ive 2 | | | |
| | Lexington Fayette Urban County Government | 1/3/07 | |
| | Louisville, City of, KY | 3/20/07 | |
| | DUNCANVILLE, CITY OF, TX PH II | 5/9/08 | |
| | SHERMAN, CITY OF, TX PH II | 8/28/08 | |
| | JONESBORO, CITY OF, AR PH II | 7/31/07 | |
| | SCOTTSBLUFF, COUNTY OF, NE PH II | 6/13/08 | |
| | GREENVILLE, CITY OF, TX PH II | 9/9/08 | |
| 8 | KENTUCKY, COMMONWEALTH OF PH II | 8/8/08 | |

| | Stage 2 Completed FRAs* | | |
|--------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Stage 2 Completed FRA | S TA Completion Certification | |
| | Deal Name | Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| 9 | TONGANOXIE, CITY OF, KS PH II | 7/9/08 | |
| 10 | LEXINGTON FAYETTE URBAN CTY GOVERNMENT PH II | 12/2/08 | |
| 11 | SEVIER, COUNTY OF, TN PH II | 4/11/08 | |
| 12 | MOUNTAIN HOME, CITY OF, AR PH II | 7/25/07 | |
| 13 | LINN, COUNTY OF, IA PH II | 10/16/07 | |
| 14 | Fremont, City of, NE PH II | 9/16/08 | |
| 15 | CRAWFORD COUNTY OF, KS PH II | 8/5/08 | |
| 16 | LIBERTY, CITY OF, MO PH II | 7/8/08 | |
| 17 | WILLIAMSON, COUNTY OF, TN PH II | 9/22/08 | |
| 18 | SHERBURNE, COUNTY OF, MN PH II | 7/9/08 | |
| 19 | JEFFERSON, COUNTY OF, AR PH II | 11/3/08 | |
| 20 | Richardson, County of, NE PH II | 10/1/08 | |
| 21 | BROWN, COUNTY OF, MN PH II | 8/11/08 | |
| 22 | KENTON COUNTY AIRPORT BOARD, KY PH II | 6/10/08 | |
| | Saline, County of, NE PH II | 7/21/08 | |
| | KENTUCKY STATE POLICE PH II | 9/16/08 | |
| | BENTON, COUNTY OF, MN PH II | 1/18/08 | |
| | KINGSPORT, CITY OF, TN PH II | 5/27/08 | |
| | Sebastian, County of, AR PH II | 3/11/08 | |
| | MADISONVILLE, CITY OF, KY PH II | 7/2/07 | |
| | BLOOMINGTON, CITY OF, MN PH II | 9/28/07 | |
| | MOWER, COUNTY OF, MN PH II | 12/10/07 | |
| | CITY UTILITIES OF SPRINGFIELD, MO PH II | 7/30/08 | |
| | GARFIELD, COUNTY OF, OK PH II | 7/14/08 | |
| | ASHLAND, CITY OF, NE PH II | 9/29/08 | |
| | PARKER, COUNTY OF, TX PH II | 9/9/08 | |
| | COUNCIL BLUFFS, CITY OF, IA PH II | 7/16/08 | |
| | Nemaha, County of, NE PH II | 8/20/08 | |
| | CAMPBELL, COUNTY OF, KY PH II | 8/27/08 | |
| | CONWAY, CITY OF, AR PH II | 4/11/08 | |
| | York, County of, NE PH II | 9/29/08 | |
| | Fillmore, County of, NE PH II | 10/8/08 | |
| | York, City of, NE PH II | 11/10/08 | |
| | LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT, KY PH II | 9/9/08 | |
| | Middle TN State Univ PH II | 11/11/08 | |
| 43 | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2 | 11/11/08 | |
| Vave 3 | Subtotal FRA Count for wave 2 | | |
| | AVENTURA CITY OF EL DU II | 7/10/09 | |
| | AVENTURA, CITY OF, FL PH II HATTIESBURG, CITY OF, MS | 7/10/08 7/23/07 | |
| | WASHINGTON, COUNTY OF, MS PH II | 1/14/08 | |
| | i i | | |
| | Crisp County School System, GA | 9/4/07 | |
| | FOREST PARK, CITY OF, GA PH II | 11/10/08 | |
| | SIMPSON, COUNTY OF E911 COMMUNICATION, MS PH | 11/3/08 | |
| | ROCK HILL, CITY OF, SC PH II | 7/3/08 | |
| | Columbia, County of, GA PH II | 11/10/08 | |
| | PICKENS, COUNTY OF, SC PH II | 3/20/08 | |
| | GRANVILLE, COUNTY OF, NC PH II | 3/10/08 | |
| | HUNTSVILLE MADISON COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY | 10/21/08 | |
| 12 | TAMPA, CITY OF, FL PH II | 7/23/08 | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3 | | |
| Vave 4 | T | | |
| | VANCOUVER HOUSING AUTHORITY, WA PH II | 1/25/08 | |
| | ALLEN, COUNTY OF, OH PH II | 11/17/08 | |
| 3 | AUGLAIZE, COUNTY OF, OH PH II | 7/25/08 | |

| | Stage 2 Completed FRAs* | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------|--|
| | Deal Name | TA Completion Certification Receipt Date | Deal Count | |
| 4 | VICTORIA, CITY OF, TX PH II | 11/24/08 | | |
| 5 | COLONIE, TOWN OF, NY PH II | 8/8/08 | | |
| 6 | LONG BEACH, CITY OF, CA PH II | 10/20/08 | | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4 | | 6 | |
| Total Comp | leted FRAs | | 104 | |

^{* &}quot;Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the reconfiguration as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8 Summary of Stage 2 FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of December 31, 2008

| | Stage 2 FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review | | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------------|-------|--|
| | | TA Completion Certification | Deal | |
| | Deal Name | Receipt Date | Count | |
| Wave 1 | | | | |
| 1 | BLACKSBURG, TOWN OF, VA PH II | 8/19/2008 | | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1 | | 1 | |
| Wave 2 | | | | |
| 1 | FINNEY, COUNTY OF, KS PH II | 10/10/2008 | | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2 | | 1 | |
| Wave 3 | | | | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3 | | 0 | |
| Wave 4 | | | | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4 | | 0 | |
| Total FR | As Pending TA Completion Certification Review | | 2 | |

| | Stage 2 Completed PFAs* | | |
|--------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| | Stage 2 Completed PF | TA Completion Certification | |
| | Deal Name | Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| Wave 1 | | | |
| 1 | Monterey, County of (CA) | 5/11/07 | |
| 2 | Maui, County of, HI PH II | 5/21/08 | |
| | Brown, County of WI | 4/14/08 | |
| | ELK GROVE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, CA PH II | 4/29/08 | |
| | DAVIS, CITY OF, CA PH II | 8/18/08 | |
| | NORTHWEST CENTRAL DISPATCH SYSTEM, IL PH II | 4/21/08 | |
| | EAGLE, COUNTY OF, CO PH II | 3/20/08 | |
| | Nashua, City of | 4/11/08 | |
| | University of California - Berkeley | 6/11/08 | |
| | VACAVILLE, CITY OF, CA PH II | 1/14/08 | |
| | Colorado, State of PH II | 3/10/08 | |
| | University of California - Santa Cruz | 9/17/08 | |
| | SALEM, CITY OF, OR PH II | 6/11/08 | |
| | University of California - Merced | 11/24/08 | |
| | LA CROSSE, CITY OF, WI PH II | 4/29/08 | |
| | PORTSMOUTH, CITY OF, VA PH II | 4/11/08 | |
| | EAST CHICAGO, CITY OF, IN PH II | 6/9/08 | |
| | SPRINGFIELD, CITY OF, IL PH II WALLINGFORD, TOWN OF, CT PH II | 4/7/08 7/9/08 | |
| | ALLEN, COUNTY OF, IN PH II | 3/12/08 | |
| | ROCK, COUNTY OF, WI PH II | 6/11/07 | |
| | SHEBOYGAN, COUNTY OF, WI PH II | 3/20/08 | |
| | ROANOKE, COUNTY OF, VA PH II | 11/11/08 | |
| | WAUKESHA, COUNTY OF, WI PH II | 8/19/08 | |
| | HOPEWELL, CITY OF, VA PH II | 7/2/08 | |
| | UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, CT PH II | 4/11/08 | |
| | Cook, County of, IL PH II | 8/1/08 | |
| | ALEXANDRIA, CITY OF, VA PH II | 12/19/08 | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1 | | 28 |
| Wave 2 | | - | |
| 1 | DYERSBURG, CITY OF, TN PH II | 7/30/2007 | |
| | RICHARDSON, CITY OF, TX PH II | 5/9/2008 | |
| | COLLIN, COUNTY OF, TX PH II | 5/14/2008 | |
| | Sullivan, County of, TN | 6/17/2008 | |
| | CEDAR PARK, CITY OF, TX PH II | 11/20/2008 | |
| | East Texas Medical Center, TX PH II | 5/14/2008 | |
| | MINNESOTA, STATE OF PH II | 5/9/2008 | |
| | PLANO, CITY OF, TX PH II | 5/5/2008 | |
| | LONGVIEW, CITY OF, TX PH II | 5/14/2008 | |
| | BI STATE INFORMATION CENTER, TX PH II | 4/18/2008 | |
| | AUSTIN, CITY OF, TX PH II | 5/1/2008 | |
| | | | |
| | LEE, COUNTY OF, TX PH II | 6/23/2008 | |
| | Middle TN State Univ PH II | 3/27/2008 | |
| | LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT, KY PH II | 6/24/2008 | |
| | STEARNS, COUNTY OF, MN PH II | 8/11/2008 | |
| | JEFFERSON, COUNTY OF, OH PH II | 5/15/2008 | |
| | BELMONT, COUNTY OF, OH PH II | 6/24/2008 | |
| | METRO GVT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON CTY PH II | 8/14/2008 | |
| | BUCHANAN, COUNTY OF, MO PH II | 6/11/2008 | |
| | RAMSEY, COUNTY OF, MN PH II | 3/31/2008 | |
| | Franklin, City of, TN PH II | 7/10/2008 | |
| 22 | CARROLLTON, CITY OF, TX PH II | 3/26/2008 | |

| | Stage 2 Completed PFAs* | | |
|--------|---|--|------------|
| | Deal Name | TA Completion Certification Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| 23 | BEAUMONT, CITY OF, TX PH II | 6/30/2008 | |
| | WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, NE P | 6/17/2008 | |
| 25 | BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF, KY PH II | 6/13/2008 | |
| | OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT, NE PH II | 8/12/2008 | |
| | North Kansas City Police | 5/9/2008 | |
| | DALLAS AREA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY, TX PH II | 6/17/2008 | |
| | Prairie Village, City of, KS PH II | 11/11/2008 | |
| | JACKSONVILLE, CITY OF, AR PH II | 6/10/2008 | |
| | | | |
| 31 | Knox County Sheriff TN PH II | 4/29/2008 | 31 |
| Wave 3 | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2 | | 31 |
| | Hall, County of | 12/15/2008 | |
| | EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, MS | 3/11/2008 | |
| | | | |
| | HINDS, COUNTY OF, MS PH II | 5/19/2008 | |
| | PANAMA CITY, CITY OF, FL | 4/21/2008 | |
| | BAY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, FL PH II | 4/18/2008 | |
| | OPELIKA, CITY OF, AL PH II | 4/21/2008 | |
| | ALBANY, CITY OF, GA | 4/18/2008 | |
| | JACKSONVILLE BEACH, CITY OF, FL PH II | 3/21/2008 | |
| | SARASOTA, COUNTY OF, FL PH II | 4/18/2008 | |
| | CHARLOTTE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II | 4/14/2008 | |
| | CONCORD, CITY OF, NC PH II | 4/14/2008 | |
| | STONE MOUNTAIN PARK PH II | 6/4/2008 | |
| | GWINNETT, COUNTY OF, GA PH II | 12/8/2008 | |
| - | CADDO PARISH COMMUNICATIONS DIST 1, LA PH II | 10/17/2008 | |
| | LAKELAND, CITY OF, FL PH II | 4/4/2008 | |
| | GAINESVILLE, CITY OF, FL PH II | 6/11/2008 | |
| | FAYETTE, COUNTY OF, GA PH II | 5/5/2008 | |
| | DUKE UNIVERSITY PH II | 7/16/2008 | |
| | SULPHUR, CITY OF, LA PH II | 7/1/2008 | |
| | HORRY, COUNTY OF, SC PH II | 12/8/2008 | |
| | MINT HILL, TOWN OF, NC PH II | 6/2/2008 | |
| | DESOTO, COUNTY OF, FL PH II | 12/2/2008 | |
| | FORSYTH, COUNTY OF, GA PH II | 4/14/2008 | |
| | LEE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II | 9/29/2008 | |
| | PINEVILLE, TOWN OF, NC PH II | 12/15/2008 | |
| | MARION, COUNTY OF, FL PH II | 5/15/2008 | |
| | SAINT CHARLES, PARISH OF, LA PH II | 11/19/2008 | |
| | MOORESVILLE, TOWN OF, NC PH II | 7/9/2008 | |
| | CALCASIEU PARISH COMMUNICATIONS DISTRICT PH | 6/11/2008 | |
| | FLORENCE, COUNTY OF, SC PH II | 5/9/2008 | |
| - | CLEVELAND, COUNTY OF, NC PH II | 6/30/2008 | |
| - | SHELBY, CITY OF, NC PH II | 9/3/2008 | |
| | MYRTLE BEACH, CITY OF, SC PH II | 7/25/2008 | |
| | KERNERSVILLE, TOWN OF, NC PH II | 7/24/2008 | |
| | CALCASIEU, PARISH OF, LA PH II | 11/26/2008 | |
| | IBERVILLE, PARISH OF, LA PH II | 4/23/2008 | |
| | EMORY UNIVERSITY, GA PH II | 12/15/2008 | |
| | CHARLESTON, CITY OF, SC PH II | 11/26/2008 | |
| | TARBORO, TOWN OF, NC PH II | 7/10/2008 | |
| - | SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA PH | 9/25/2008 | |
| | LENOIR, COUNTY OF, NC PH II | 12/2/2008 | |
| 42 | PITT, COUNTY OF, NC PH II | 11/24/2008 | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3 | | 42 |

| Stage 2 Completed PFAs* | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | | TA Completion Certification | |
| | Deal Name | Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| Wave 4 | | | |
| 1 | CLARK, COUNTY OF, WA PH II | 4/29/2008 | |
| 2 | HARRISON, COUNTY OF, OH PH II | 11/26/2008 | |
| 3 | WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY, MI PH II | 3/21/2008 | |
| 4 | HOBBS, CITY OF, NM PH II | 12/19/2008 | |
| 5 | LIVE OAK, CITY OF, TX PH II | 11/25/2008 | |
| 6 | YAKIMA, CITY OF, WA PH II | 12/8/2008 | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4 | | 6 |
| Total Comp | leted PFAs | | 107 |

^{* &}quot;Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the PFA as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8 Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that are Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of December 31, 2008

| | Stage 2 PFAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | l | TA Completion Certification | Deal | | | | |
| | Deal Name | Receipt Date | Count | | | | |
| Wave 1 | | | | | | | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1 | | 0 | | | | |
| Wave 2 | | | | | | | |
| • | WEST DES MOINES, CITY OF, IA PH II | 10/8/2008 | | | | | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2 | | | | | | |
| Wave 3 | | | | | | | |
| • | DELRAY BEACH, CITY OF, FL PH II | 10/16/2008 | | | | | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3 | | | | | | |
| Wave 4 | | _ | | | | | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4 | | | | | | |
| Total PFAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review | | | | | | | |

Appendix 9 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC Fees and Expenses through December 31, 2008

| | Quarter Ending March 31, 2008 | Quarter Ending June 30, 2008 | Quarter Ending September 30, 2008 | Quarter Ending December 31, 2008 | Year-to-Date |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Fees | | | | | |
| Public Safety Outreach | \$1,754,573 | \$1,546,700 | \$1,537,139 | | \$4,838,412 |
| Reconfiguration Management | \$1,221,893 | \$1,152,763 | \$1,249,179 | \$689,164 | \$4,312,998 |
| Frequency Management | \$616,558 | \$570,893 | \$566,935 | \$559,380 | \$2,313,765 |
| Financial Management | \$905,551 | \$912,902 | \$818,221 | \$870,892 | \$3,507,566 |
| General Counsel/Regulatory Management | \$1,658,624 | \$1,768,191 | \$1,672,026 | \$1,548,747 | \$6,647,588 |
| Stakeholder Implementation Management* | \$905,869 | \$818,902 | \$1,068,704 | \$2,685,963 | \$5,479,438 |
| TA Systems Support | \$455,581 | \$419,052 | \$413,379 | \$413,385 | \$1,701,397 |
| Program Management Support | \$552,671 | \$484,487 | \$486,776 | \$460,547 | \$1,984,480 |
| Alternative Dispute Resolution | \$1,441,066 | \$1,192,878 | \$1,002,203 | \$1,159,587 | \$4,795,734 |
| Fees Subtotal | \$9,512,385 | \$8,866,767 | \$8,814,561 | \$8,387,665 | \$35,581,379 |
| Expenses | \$185,390 | \$136,475 | \$144,077 | \$121,746 | \$587,688 |
| Total Fees and Expenses | \$9,697,775 | \$9,003,242 | \$8,958,639 | \$8,509,411 | \$36,169,067 |

^{*} The Public Safety Outreach (PSO) and Stakeholder Relationship Management (SRM) teams have been consolidated to form Stakeholder and Implementation Management (SIM) to capitalize on efficiencies and streamline processes. As of the Quarter Ending December 31, 2008, fees will be reported under the SIM team.